

## EuroMeeting 2009 Cover Image



2009 is a special year to be in Berlin, marking the 20th anniversary of the fall of the Berlin Wall. After The Wall was opened in 1989, hundreds of artists from all over the world gathered and transformed the East Side Gallery, a 1.3km-long section of the wall near the centre of Berlin in celebration and reflection of this freedom achieved. Approximately 106 paintings by artists from all over the world cover this memorial for freedom and make it the largest open air gallery in the world. With the recognition of its special connection to the past and the present, an artists' initiative was formed to preserve this "gallery" and later won Historical Monument status. To support the East Side Gallery, please visit: [www.eastsidegallery.com](http://www.eastsidegallery.com).

The EuroMeeting cover image chosen for Berlin 2009 reflects the global, diverse ethos of the DIA itself. It is of a East Side Gallery mural by artist Kiddy Citny. Kiddy Citny is a musician and fine artist, born in Stuttgart in 1957. He grew up in Bremen, moved to West Berlin in 1977, lived in Amsterdam and London (1979), in Zurich (1980), Bern (1989/90), Los Angeles (1993/94), and also used a studio in Munich until 2000. Citny is a famous Berlin Wall graffiti artist who began playing cat and mouse with German border guards back in 1985. His work features the themes of love and mutuality, peace and freedom, sensitivity and tenderness, willpower and responsibility. Kiddy Citny communicates complex issues with simple metaphors: "Herzgesichter" (heart-shaped faces), "Welt im Arm" (the world in your arms), "Königskinder" (royal children).

## EuroMeeting Key Contacts

Go to the EuroMeeting website ([www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org)) and click on the EuroMeeting icon) for up-to-the-minute information, to register for the EuroMeeting or for a pre-conference tutorial, to download the programme and to book hotel rooms and tours.

### Accounting Queries

For accounting inquiries please contact Suzanne de Zilva at [suzanne.dezilva@diaeurope.org](mailto:suzanne.dezilva@diaeurope.org) or +41 61 225 51 50

### Advertising Opportunities

Enquiries should be directed to Frank Vivian at [fvivian@ki-lipton.com](mailto:fvivian@ki-lipton.com) or +1 267 893 56 75

### Exhibitors

Enquiries from exhibiting companies or enquiries regarding the product locator, company summary book, exhibitor mailings, exhibitor kiosk and/or hospitality suites should be directed to Phyllis Suter at [phyllis.suter@diaeurope.org](mailto:phyllis.suter@diaeurope.org) or +41 61 225 51 54

### Press Passes/Press List/Press Release Programme

All enquiries regarding press passes and/or press lists should be directed to Talana Bertschi at [talana.bertschi@diaeurope.org](mailto:talana.bertschi@diaeurope.org) or +41 61 225 51 49

### Registration Queries

For attendees with last names A-M please contact Roxann Schumacher at [roxann.schumacher@diaeurope.org](mailto:roxann.schumacher@diaeurope.org) or +41 61 225 51 38

For attendees with last names N-Z please contact Simona Ponzer at [simona.ponzer@diaeurope.org](mailto:simona.ponzer@diaeurope.org) or +41 61 225 51 69

### Speakers/Session Chairs

Enquiries from speakers should be directed to Sharon Evans Schuler at [sharon.evans@diaeurope.org](mailto:sharon.evans@diaeurope.org) or +41 61 225 51 44

### Poster Presenters and DIA EuroMeeting Fellowships

Further information is available from Maureen McGahan at [maureen.mcgahan@diaeurope.org](mailto:maureen.mcgahan@diaeurope.org) or +41 61 225 51 60

For all other queries or for unresolved issues, please contact Dermot Ryan, Senior Event Manager (EuroMeeting) at [dermot.ryan@diaeurope.org](mailto:dermot.ryan@diaeurope.org) or +41 61 225 51 32

## REGISTRATION FORM - ID# 09101

**21st ANNUAL EUROMEETING - MARCH 23-25, 2009 - INTERNATIONALES CONGRESS CENTRUM, BERLIN, GERMANY**

Join the DIA now to qualify for the early-bird member rate! Please mark the box indicated below if you wish to take this option.

To qualify for the early-bird discount, registration form and accompanying payment must be received by the date below.

The early-bird rate does not apply to government, student or academia/nonprofit attendees.

**+ MEMBERSHIP**

**€ 130.00**

EARLY-BIRD DEADLINE On or before JANUARY 23, 2009				MEMBER	NON-MEMBER (with optional membership)				NON-MEMBER (without optional membership)				
FEE	VAT 19%	TOTAL	FEE		VAT 19%	MEMBERSHIP	TOTAL	FEE	VAT 19%	TOTAL			
Early-bird Industry	€ 1'545.00	€ 293.55	€ 1'838.55	<input type="checkbox"/>	EARLY-BIRD NOT AVAILABLE				EARLY-BIRD NOT AVAILABLE				
Industry	€ 1'695.00	€ 322.05	€ 2'017.05	<input type="checkbox"/>	€ 1'695.00	€ 322.05	€ 130.00	€ 2'147.05	<input type="checkbox"/>	€ 1'825.00	€ 346.75	€ 2'171.75	<input type="checkbox"/>
Charitable/Non-profit/ Academia (Full-Time)	€ 1'271.25	€ 241.54	€ 1'512.79	<input type="checkbox"/>	€ 1'271.25	€ 241.54	€ 130.00	€ 1'642.79	<input type="checkbox"/>	€ 1'401.25	€ 266.24	€ 1'667.49	<input type="checkbox"/>
Government (Full-Time)	€ 847.50	€ 161.03	€ 1'008.53	<input type="checkbox"/>	€ 847.50	€ 161.03	€ 130.00	€ 1'138.53	<input type="checkbox"/>	€ 977.50	€ 185.73	€ 1'163.23	<input type="checkbox"/>
Student (Full-Time)	€ 100.00	€ 19.00	€ 119.00	<input type="checkbox"/>	€ 100.00	€ 19.00	€ 34.50	€ 153.50	<input type="checkbox"/>	€ 134.50	€ 25.56	€ 160.06	<input type="checkbox"/>

Tutorials € 350.00 € 66.50 € 416.50 ☐

Monday, March 23, 2009

Please indicate the tutorial number you wish to attend (see page 7 for full description of the tutorials): \_\_\_\_\_

☐ **TOTAL AMOUNT DUE:** € \_\_\_\_\_

**NOTE:** Payment due 30 days after registration and must be paid in full by commencement of the event.

**GROUP DISCOUNTS AVAILABLE! PLEASE CONTACT US FOR MORE INFORMATION.**

**09101DIAWEB**

### REGISTRANT

☐ Prof. ☐ Dr. ☐ Ms. ☐ Mr.

Last Name \_\_\_\_\_

First Name \_\_\_\_\_

Company \_\_\_\_\_

Job Title \_\_\_\_\_

Street Address / P.O. Box \_\_\_\_\_

Postal Code \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_

Country \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

Telefax (Required for confirmation) \_\_\_\_\_

Email (Required for confirmation) \_\_\_\_\_

Please indicate your professional category: ☐ Academia ☐ Government  
☐ Industry ☐ Contract Service Organisation

### PAYMENT METHODS

☐ **Please charge my credit card** - credit card payments by VISA, Mastercard or AMEX can be made by completing the relevant details below. Please note that other types of credit card cannot be accepted.

☐ VISA ☐ MC ☐ AMEX

Card Number \_\_\_\_\_

Exp. Date \_\_\_\_\_

Cardholder's Name \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Cardholder's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

☐ **Cheques** should be made payable to: Drug Information Association. Mail your cheque together with the registration form to facilitate identification of attendee to: DIA, Elisabethen Anlage 25, Postfach, 4002 Basel, Switzerland.

☐ **Bank transfers** When DIA completes your registration, an email will be sent to the address on the registration form with instructions on how to complete the bank transfer. Payment should be in EURO and your name and company, as well as the Meeting ID# 09101 and invoice number, must be included on the transfer document to ensure correct allocation of your payment. **Payments must be net of all charges and bank charges must be borne by the payee. Bank transfers will only be accepted until February 13, 2009.**

**Persons under 18 are not allowed to attend DIA meetings.**

**TO MAKE REGISTRATION EVEN  
SIMPLER, PLEASE ATTACH THE  
REGISTRANT'S BUSINESS CARD HERE**

### CANCELLATION POLICY

**All cancellations must be in writing and be received at the DIA office by 17:00 CET on March 13, 2009**

**Cancellations received in writing on or before March 13, 2009** – An Administrative fee that will be deducted from fee: Full Meeting Cancellation: Member/Nonmember = EUR 200.00  
Government/Academia/Nonprofit (Member/Nonmember) = EUR 100.00. Registrants who do not cancel by the date above, and do not attend, will be responsible for the full registration fee. Registrants are responsible for cancelling their own hotel reservations. DIA Europe reserves the right to alter the venue if necessary.

#### Transfer Policy

You may transfer your registration to a colleague prior to the workshop start but membership is not transferable. Substitute registrants will be responsible for the non-member fee, if applicable. Please notify DIA Europe office of any such substitutions as soon as possible.

**Hotel and travel reservations should be made ONLY after receipt of written registration confirmation from the DIA. If you have not received your confirmation letter via fax within five working days, please contact DIA.**

### HOW TO REGISTER

**Online** [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org)

**By Fax** +41 61 225 51 52

**Email** [diaeuropa@diaeurope.org](mailto:diaeuropa@diaeurope.org)

**Mail** DIA European Office  
Postfach, 4002 Basel, Switzerland

**All registrations received at the DIA European Office by 18:00 CET on February 20, 2009, will be included in the EuroMeeting Attendee List.**

DIA European Office: Elisabethen Anlage 25, 4002 Basel, Switzerland / Phone: +41 61 225 51 51 / Fax: +41 61 225 51 52  
Email: [diaeuropa@diaeurope.org](mailto:diaeuropa@diaeurope.org) / [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) / [www.diaeurope.org](http://www.diaeurope.org)



# EUROMeETING Berlin 2009

## ADVANCE PROGRAMME



MARCH 23-25, 2009

INTERNATIONALES CONGRESS CENTRUM  
BERLIN, GERMANY

Special early-bird registration fees until January 23, 2009

**Register NOW and save €150.00!**

21st  
Annual

*DIA's 21st Annual  
EuroMeeting -  
a neutral global forum  
for industry, academia  
and regulatory  
professionals from  
over 50 countries*

- The largest event of its kind in Europe
- Choose from over 125 sessions in 16 themes
- Attend presentations by more than 350 speakers
- Hear representatives from the EMEA, FDA and regulatory agencies throughout Europe
- Attend one of the 21 pre-conference tutorials
- Visit student and professional poster sessions
- Take advantage of excellent multidisciplinary networking opportunities
- Join over 240 exhibitors on one of the largest exhibition floors in Europe to showcase your company, products and services
- Return of the popular Japanese Regulatory Session
- Hot Topic sessions

## Welcome from the EuroMeeting 2009 Co-Chairs - Barry Burnstead and Susanne Keitel

Dear Colleague,

We were delighted to be invited by the DIA to chair the 2009 EuroMeeting and particularly enthused by the location selected, Berlin. Delight turned to apprehension as the responsibilities associated with co-chairing became clear. Nevertheless, our resolve is firm and our determination to build upon the success of 2008 unquestioned. The theme approach was very well received in Barcelona and will be retained in Berlin. Certain refinements around the theme sessions have been identified that will support the quest to have all disciplines interacting at our event.

Alongside the traditional areas of drug development, regulation and patient safety, our desire is to broaden the appeal of the EuroMeeting by introducing complementary topics. Information technology has established itself in a role that is unquestionable, central to all our activities, and this is reflected as a prominent theme in the programme. The vital importance of appreciating the patient's perspective on drug information features strongly and the connection between the worlds of drugs and medical devices is strengthened by once more tackling the challenging subject of combination products.

The key to a successful event is for the organising committee to construct a programme that truly represents our members' interests. This year's organising committee has performed impressively and has demonstrated commitment complemented by high levels of expertise.

Our sights are firmly focused on Berlin in March 2009 with the clear target of delivering an event that not only fulfils but actually exceeds your expectations.

See you there.

**Barry Burnstead** - Consultant, SelectCRO, UK



Barry Burnstead has worked in the pharmaceutical industry for 35 years. Formative years were spent in chemistry, formulation sciences, project management and biometrics at SmithKline Beecham. He entered the CRO world in 1991, and a year later with ex-SB colleagues founded a CRO in Germany and established CRUs in Sweden and the UK.

In 1999, he decided to concentrate on consulting and joined Domain Pharma. When Phase Forward acquired Domain, he became global Head of Programme Management and latterly held responsibility for product commercialisation. Barry joined i3 Statprobe in August 2006 as Director of Project Management and subsequently moved to a central role leading standards implementation. Since August 2008, Barry has been working as a consultant at SelectCRO.

**Susanne Keitel** - Director, European Directorate of the Quality of Medicines and Healthcare (EDQM), EU



Susanne Keitel joined BfArM in 1997 after ten years in pharmaceutical development in industry. In the following ten years with BfArM, she held, amongst others, the positions of Head of Pharmaceutical Quality and Head of EU, International Affairs. She has been a member of various EU working parties, including QWP, PEG, NtA, and, on an international level, ICH Expert Groups. Since October 2007, Susanne has been Director of the Council of Europe's European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines and HealthCare (EDQM).

## About the DIA EuroMeeting

The Drug Information Association's Annual EuroMeeting is global in scope, attracting well over 3,000 professionals from over 50 countries. It brings together professionals from the biopharmaceutical industry, contract service organisations, academic research centres, regulatory agencies, health ministries, patients' organisations and trade associations. This convergence affords attendees the opportunity to network with professional colleagues from around the world.

## About the Drug Information Association

**The DIA is a financially independent non-profit organisation that funds itself from meeting and membership fees. To preserve its neutrality, the DIA does not accept any form of sponsorship.**

The DIA is a professional association of approximately 18,000 members worldwide who are involved in the discovery, development, regulation, surveillance or marketing of pharmaceuticals or related products. We are committed to the broad dissemination of information on the development of new medicines or generics and biosimilars, with continuously improved professional practice as the goal. The DIA is a financially independent non-profit organisation that funds itself from meeting and membership fees. The voluntary efforts of DIA members and speakers allow the DIA to organise conferences, workshops and training courses and provide publications at reasonable, competitive costs. Go to [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) to find out more about the benefits of becoming a DIA member.

## Table of Contents



Welcome from the EuroMeeting 2009 Co-Chairs	2
Theme Leaders	4
Programme Advisors	5
Accreditation and Credit Designation	5
Disclosure Policy	5
Plan Your EuroMeeting Experience	6
Opening Plenary Session	7
German Satellite Session	8
Student Session	8
Japanese Regulatory Session	8
Emerging Professionals Session	8
DIA EuroMeeting Fellowships	9
Student/Young Professional Guidance Scheme	9
Student Poster Abstracts/Professional Poster Abstracts	10
Session Topics in Specific Interest Areas	11-13
Pre-Conference Tutorials	14-19
Sessions: Theme 1-16	20-51
Hot Topics and Stand-Alone Sessions	52-53
Exhibitors	54
About Berlin	55
Accommodation in Berlin	56
Berlin Tours	57-58
Berlin Underground Map	57-58
Networking Opportunities	60-61
EuroMeeting at a Glance	62-64
Key Contacts	65
Registration Form	66

## Theme Leaders

The EuroMeeting 2009 is structured around 16 broad themes, each of which focuses on a pivotal aspect of healthcare or drug development, led by a group of dedicated and highly qualified theme leaders



**Valdo Arnera**  
General Manager - Europe,  
PHT Corporation, Switzerland



**Sabina Hoekstra-van den Bosch**  
Senior Advisor, Ministry of Health,  
Welfare and Sport, The Netherlands



**Monika Pietrek**  
Drug Development and Safety Expert,  
Germany



**Peter Bachmann**  
Senior Expert European Affairs, BfArM,  
Germany



**Wills Hughes-Wilson**  
Director Health Policy Europe,  
Genzyme, Belgium



**Jean-Louis Robert**  
Head, Department of Medicines Control  
Laboratory, National Health Laboratory,  
Luxembourg



**Norbert Clemens**  
Head Clinical Development, CRS  
Mannheim GmbH, Germany



**Alar Irs**  
Deputy Director General, State Agency  
Medicine, Estonia



**Gabriele Schwarz**  
Head, GCP Inspection Services, BfArM,  
Germany



**Ros Coulson**  
PhC, MSc, FR, PharmS, UK



**Michael J. James**, Head of CMC  
Regulatory Advocacy and Intelligence,  
Global Regulatory Affairs,  
GlaxoSmithKline, UK



**Dagmar Stará**  
Head of the EU Affairs Coordination  
Unit, State Institute for Drug Control,  
Slovak Republic



**Suzy De Cordt**  
Group Leader, Senior Project Manager,  
Merck Serono, Switzerland



**Suzette Kox**  
Senior Director, Scientific Affairs, EGA,  
Belgium



**Barbara Sickmüller**  
Deputy Director General, German  
Pharmaceutical Industry Association  
(BPI), Germany



**Nikos Dedes**  
Co-chair, Patients' and Consumers'  
Working Party (PCWP), EMEA, EU



**Jürgen Kübler**  
Global Head, Statistical Safety Sciences,  
Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland



**Peter Stokman**  
Senior Director Clinical Data  
Management, Organon Schering-  
Plough, The Netherlands



**Kerstin Franzén**  
Senior Director Worldwide Regulatory  
Policy and Intelligence, Pfizer AB,  
Sweden



**Yann Le Cam**  
CEO, EURORDIS, France



**Linda Surh**  
Director, CEDD Global Regulatory  
Affairs, Neurology and  
Pharmacogenetics, GlaxoSmithKline, UK



**Andreas Grund**  
General Manager, GCP-Service,  
Germany



**Christine Mayer-Nicolai**  
Regulatory Coordination Europe and  
Global Regulatory Intelligence, Merck  
KGaA, Germany



**Helena Van den Dungen**  
Global Head Country Management  
CQA, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland



**Bert Haenen**  
Toxicologist, Organon Schering-Plough,  
The Netherlands



**Carolin Miltenburger**  
Director Health Economics and  
Outcomes, i3 Innovus, Germany



**John Wise**  
Senior Director, Informatics, Daiichi  
Sankyo, UK



**Robert Hemmings**  
Statistics Unit Manager, MHRA, UK and  
CHMP



**Klaus Olejniczak**  
Scientific Director, BfArM, Germany



**Michael Zuehlsdorf**  
Biomarker Development Global Head,  
Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

## Programme Advisors

### Christelle Anquez-Traxler

Regulatory and Scientific Affairs  
Manager, AESGP, Belgium

### Christer Backman

EU Coordinator, Senior Expert, MPA  
Sweden

### Gerd Bode

Lecturer, University of Göttingen and  
Consultant, Germany

### Klaus Cichutek

Head, Department of Medical  
Biotechnology, Paul-Ehrlich-Institute,  
Germany

### Hazel Collie

Global Head, Project Management,  
Gruenthal GmbH, Germany

### Joseph DeGeorge

Vice President Safety Assessment,  
Merck & Co, USA

### Hans-Georg Eichler

Senior Medical Officer, EMEA, EU

### Ralf Eulentrop

Head of Project Leadership Training,  
Merck Serono, Germany

### Christoph Gleiter

Director, Coordination Centre for  
Clinical Trials, CenTrial GmbH,  
Germany

### David Iberson-Hurst

Vice President, Technical Strategy,  
CDISC, UK

### Truus Janse-de Hoog

Staff Member European Cluster,  
Medicines Evaluation Board, The  
Netherlands

### Angelika Joos

Regulatory Policy Europe, Merck  
Sharp & Dohme (Europe) Inc.,  
Belgium

### Ingrid Klingmann

President, Pharmaplex, Belgium

### Jos Kraus

Senior Inspector, Health Care  
Inspectorate, The Netherlands

### Marisa Papaluca Amati

Deputy Head of Sector, Safety and  
Efficacy of Medicines, EMEA, EU

### Francesco Pignatti

Scientific Administrator, Safety and  
Efficacy of Medicines, EMEA, EU

### Johann Prüve

Global Head, Data Management,  
Bayer Schering Pharma, Germany

### Per Spindler

Director, BioLogue, University of  
Copenhagen, Denmark

### Ursula Streicher-Saied

Head of Global R & D Quality, Bayer  
Health Care AG, Germany

### Fergus Sweeney

Principal Scientific Administrator, GCP  
and Pharmacovigilance Inspector,  
EMA, EU

### Joachim Vollmar

Executive Consultant, International  
Clinical Development Consultants,  
USA

### Ralph White

Director, PPMLD Ltd., UK

### Beat Widler

Global Head, Clinical Quality  
Assurance, F. Hoffmann-La Roche  
Ltd., Switzerland

### Matthias Wilken

Head of Drug Regulatory Affairs  
Europe, German Pharmaceutical  
Industry Association (BPI), Germany

## Accreditation and Credit Designation



The Drug Information Association is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

The American Medical Association has determined that physicians not licensed in the US who participate in this CME activity are eligible for AMA PRA category 1 credit.

Select sessions may offer AMA RA Category 1 Credits and will be clearly identified in the final programme

The Drug Information Association (DIA) has been approved as an 'Authorized Provider' by the International Association for Continuing Education and Training (IACET), 8405 Greensboro Drive, Suite 800, McLean, VA 22102.

The DIA is authorised by IACET to offer 1.5 CEUs for this programme.

If you would like to receive a statement of credit, you must attend the programme, return your evaluation form and complete the online credit request process through My Transcript at [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org). Participants will be able to download a statement of credit upon successful submission of the credit request.

## Disclosure Policy

It is Drug Information Association policy that all faculty participating in continuing education activities must disclose to the programme audience (1) any real or apparent conflict(s) of interest related to the content of their presentation and (2) discussions of unlabelled or unapproved uses of drugs or medical devices. Faculty disclosures will be included in the course materials.

## Plan Your EuroMeeting Experience

- Knowledge
- Networking
- EuroMeeting Information

### Sunday, March 22, 2009

- 15:00-17:00 Conference Registration/Information Open. Avoid the rush on Monday by picking up your badge on Sunday afternoon.
- 18:30-19:30 DIA Reception for representatives of Patients' Organisations - Patients Only

### Monday, March 23, 2009

- 07:30-19:00 Conference Registration/Information Open
- 08:30-09:00 Patients' Representatives Briefing - Patients Only
- 08:30-09:00 Students Briefing – Students Only
- 09:00-12:30 21 Pre-Conference Tutorials
- 09:00-10:30 Student Session (in partnership with the European Pharmaceutical Students Association)
- 11:00-12:30 Emerging Professionals Session
- 12:30-13:30 Students/Young Professionals Networking Lunch
- 12:30-13:30 Regulators and Patients Reception: An opportunity for patients and regulators to come together - Invitation Only.
- 12:30-14:00 Lunch
- 12:30-18:00 Exhibition Opens

#### CONFERENCE OPENS

- 14:00-17:30 Opening Plenary Session
- 17:30-20:00 Jitterbug Networking Reception at the Palast am Funkturm with food, drink and entertainment

### Tuesday, March 24 2009

- 07:30-18:30 Conference Registration/Information Open
- 08:00-09:00 Welcome Coffee
- 08:00-18:30 Exhibition Open
- 08:30-09:00 Patients' Representatives Briefing – Patients Only
- 08:30-09:00 Students Briefing – Students Only
- 09:00-10:30 Session 1 (17 parallel sessions)
- 10:30-11:00 Coffee Break
- 11:00-12:30 Session 2 (17 parallel sessions)
- 11:00-12:30 Japanese Regulatory Session
- 12:30-14:00 DIA Special Interest Area Communities (SIACs) – Meet and Eat
- 12:30-14:00 Lunch
- 14:00-15:30 Session 3 (17 parallel sessions)
- 15:30-16:00 Coffee Break
- 16:00-17:30 Session 4 (17 parallel sessions)
- 17:30-18:30 The Tuesday Reception: Drinks Reception with entertainment on the Exhibition Floor
- 18:00-19:30 German Satellite Session

### Wednesday, March 25 2009

- 08:00-18:00 Conference Registration/Information Open
- 08:00-16:00 Exhibition Open
- 08:00-09:00 Welcome Coffee
- 08:30-09:00 Patients' Representatives Briefing – Patients Only
- 08:30-09:00 Students Briefing – Students Only
- 09:00-10:30 Session 5 (15 parallel sessions)
- 10:30-11:00 Coffee Break
- 11:00-12:30 Session 6 (15 parallel sessions)
- 12:30-14:00 Lunch
- 14:00-15:30 Session 7 (13 parallel sessions)
- 15:30-16:00 Coffee Break
- 16:00-17:30 Sessions 8 (14 parallel session)



The 21st Annual EuroMeeting will officially start on **Monday, March 23, 2009 at 14:00** in the Auditorium of the ICC Convention Centre and will run for three and a half hours, including a refreshment/networking break on the conference exhibition floor.

The title of the opening plenary is **Architecture of the Future Governance System**. The debate will be strategic and will enable the distinguished panel to set forth their vision for the next five years. Topics to be discussed will be broad and far-ranging, and will include the evolution of the European Regulatory Network and the contribution of the National Competent Authorities, changes in pharmacovigilance legislation, market access and what Member States will pay for new products, and the impact of counterfeit medicines. Panellists who are opinion leaders in their organisations will be invited.

**Confirmed panel participants:**

- **Christina R. Åkerman**, Director General, Medical Products Agency, Sweden
- **Daan J. A. Crommelin**, Scientific Director, Top Institute Pharma - TI Pharma, Netherlands
- **Alastair Kent**, Director, Genetic Interest Group, and President EGAN, UK and EU
- **Thomas Lönngren**, Executive Director, EMEA, EU
- **Martin Terberger**, Head of Unit, European Commission, Enterprise and Industry DG Pharmaceutical Unit, EU
- **Lisette Tiddens-Engwirda**, Secretary General, Standing Committee of European Doctors, EU
- **Floortje van Nooten**, Senior Research Associate – Health Economics, Health Care Analytics Group, United BioSource Corporation, Belgium

The plenary will also feature the DIA Awards session, which recognises the contributions of several distinguished award winners to the development of the Drug Information Association in Europe.



**Opening Plenary Musical Highlight**

The opening plenary session of the EuroMeeting attempts to give attendees a taste of the EuroMeeting host city. This year's musical highlight will feature a short performance by the Berlin Children's Choir. Founded over 60 years ago, the choir continues to delight audiences at home and abroad. Led by its artistic director, Veronica Pietsch, the Berlin Children's Choir is made up of approximately 60 children.

## Special Sessions

### Emerging Professionals Session

**Monday, March 23, 2009, 11:00-12:30**

#### **YOUR FUTURE IN THE WORLD OF THE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY AND HEALTHCARE SYSTEM**

Session Chair:

**Sonja Pumplün**, Head Global Drug Regulatory Affairs, Actelion Pharmaceuticals, Switzerland

The Emerging Professionals Session is for those who are new to the pharmaceutical profession and for students who are interested in finding a way into the profession. Discuss issues of interest with different key players in the pharmaceutical industry and healthcare system. Learn about different job profiles and opportunities to enter the pharmaceutical industry or healthcare system.

#### **Finding the Right Job in the Pharmaceutical Industry**

Detlef Niese, Head External Affairs, Novartis Pharma A.G., Switzerland.

#### **Job Opportunities at the Health Authority**

EMA Speaker invited

#### **How to Best Present Myself: the View of Human Resources**

Alex Khatuntsev, Human Resources Director, Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Switzerland

### Japanese Regulatory Session

**Wednesday, March 25, 2009, 11:00-12:30**

#### **PMDA UPDATE: INITIATIVES AND CHALLENGES FOR PROMOTING GLOBAL DRUG DEVELOPMENT INCLUDING JAPAN**

Session Chair:

**Kyoichi Tadano**, Director, International Affairs Division, PMDA, Japan

In this session, the Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency (PMDA) will explain the current PMDA/Japanese drug regulatory environment and present PMDA perspectives for successful global drug development including Japan.

#### **Future Directions of PMDA**

Tatsuya Kondo, Chief Executive, PMDA, Japan

#### **PMDA Perspectives and Challenges to Promote Global Drug Development**

Satoshi Toyoshima, Executive Director and Director, Center for Product Evaluation, PMDA, Japan

#### **Current Projects for Promoting Global Drug Development including Japan**

Yoshiaki Uyama, Review Director, Office of New Drugs III, PMDA, Japan

### Student Session

in partnership with the European  
Pharmaceutical Students Association (EPSA)

**Monday, March 23, 2009, 09:00-10:30**

#### **EUROPEAN HIGHER EDUCATION: CURRICULA FOR THE PHARMACEUTICAL WORLD**

Participants will learn in an informal atmosphere about the education students need to lead them into the pharmaceutical world. They will hear what students themselves all over Europe think about their curricula (EPSA European research project) and will have the opportunity to put questions to and exchange opinions with industry professionals and academia.



This session is being developed by the EPSA with the support of the DIA.

The EPSA represents over 120,000 pharmacy students in 32 European countries. The objective of EPSA is to develop the interests and opinions of European pharmacy students and to encourage contact and co-operation between them.

### German Satellite Session

**Tuesday, March 24, 2009, 18:00-19:30**

#### **THE MARKETING AUTHORISATION AND SUPERVISION OF MEDICINAL PRODUCTS AND PATIENTS, DOCTORS AND INDUSTRY EXPECTATIONS**

This session will discuss the tasks, duties and cooperation of the regulatory agencies in Germany, the Federal Institute for Drugs and Medical Devices and the Paul-Ehrlich-Institute, in a changing scientific environment.

Session Co-Chairs:

**Birka Lehmann**, Director and Professor, Head of Licencing Division 3, BfArM

**Christian Schneider**, Acting Head, Division EU Cooperation/Microbiology and Acting Head, Section EU Cooperation Biomedicinal Products, Paul-Ehrlich-Institute

#### **Global Challenges, European Adaptation and National Transformation**

Harald Enzmann, Director and Professor, Head of Licencing Division 2, BfArM

Klaus Cichutek, Vice- President and Professor, Department of Medical, Biotechnology, Paul-Ehrlich-Institute

#### **The Concept of Benefit-Risk Evaluation**

Karl Broich: Director and Professor, Head of Licencing Division 4, BfArM

#### **Paediatric Population and Medicinal Products**

Dirk Mentzer, Head, Department of Pharmacovigilance 1, Paul-Ehrlich-Institute

#### **Advanced Therapies**

Klaus Cichutek, Vice- President and Professor, Head, Department of Medical Biotechnology, Paul-Ehrlich-Institute

#### **Pharmacovigilance**

Brigitte Keller-Stanislawski, Director and Professor, Head of Department Safety of Medicinal Products and Medicinal Devices, Paul-Ehrlich-Institut  
Ulrich Hagemann, Director and Professor, Head of Department Safety of Medicinal Products and Medicinal Devices, BfArM

## DIA EuroMeeting Fellowships



Would you like to receive complimentary admission to the 21st Annual EuroMeeting in Berlin, March 23-25, 2009, featuring approximately 130 sessions, over 350 speakers and numerous networking opportunities?

### **DIA Young Professional Fellowship:**

**Application Deadline December 1, 2008**

DIA welcomes the involvement of young professionals in the EuroMeeting and is providing complimentary admission to the EuroMeeting for 15 young professionals in full-time employment under the age of 30.

### **DIA Student Fellowship:**

**Application Deadline December 1, 2008**

DIA welcomes the involvement of students in the EuroMeeting and is providing complimentary admission to the EuroMeeting for 15 students in full-time education.

### **DIA Patient Fellowship:**

**Application Deadline December 1, 2008**

The DIA Patient Fellowship, now in its fourth successful year, is a programme to promote the participation of patients' organisation representatives in the EuroMeeting. In 2009, the DIA in Europe will support 22 patients' representatives' participation in the EuroMeeting by covering their complete travel and accommodation costs plus complimentary admission to the EuroMeeting in Berlin and is offering 25 additional patients' representatives complimentary registration for the EuroMeeting, thus enabling a total of 47 patients' representatives to actively participate in the EuroMeeting in Berlin.

- Complimentary admission to conference and pre-conference tutorial of choice
- Specific DIA Patient Fellowship Booth to act as a focal point to network and to distribute information
- Travel costs covered
- Up to 3 nights complimentary hotel accommodation provided
- Patient Fellowship Reception on Sunday evening and daily briefings to encourage information and skills sharing

### **Fellowship Application Process:**

Application packs for all three fellowships can be obtained by contacting Maureen McGahan: [maureen.mcghan@diaeurope.org](mailto:maureen.mcghan@diaeurope.org) or by calling +41 61 225 51 60 or by going to [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) and clicking on the EuroMeeting icon.

## EuroMeeting 2009 Student/Young Professional Guidance Scheme

### **Purpose and Benefits**

The DIA is committed to the active participation of students and emerging professionals in the EuroMeeting and to maximising the quality of their experience while attending. Targeted activities at the EuroMeeting have been increasing over a number of years. The EuroMeeting Student/Young Professional Guidance Scheme is the latest step in increasing the value of the EuroMeeting for students and emerging professionals. It will complement existing activities planned for the 21st Annual EuroMeeting. These include:

- Fully supported packages for up to 20 student poster presenters
- Student Fellowship offering 15 complimentary registrations
- Student/Emerging Professional Reception
- Daily Student Orientation Briefing
- Special Student and Emerging Professional sessions
- DIA Young Professional Fellowship offering 15 complimentary registrations

### **Benefits for the student/young professional include**

- An opportunity to learn from an experienced professional
- Guidance in choosing which EuroMeeting sessions to attend
- Discussion and feedback on sessions attended
- Insight into the pharmaceutical world from the perspective of a senior professional
- Advice on potential career paths
- Advice on skills required for career development
- Advice on improving professional and personal networks

### **Benefits for the experienced professional include**

- An opportunity to share experience and expertise
- A sense of personal satisfaction in helping to contribute to the professional development of somebody just starting their career
- Being exposed to a fresh or different perspective

Please contact Maureen McGahan in the EuroMeeting team for further information about how you can get involved: [maureen.mcghan@diaeurope.org](mailto:maureen.mcghan@diaeurope.org) or call +41 61 225 51 60.

## Volunteers Sought for Student/Young Professional Guidance Scheme

Are you an experienced professional and willing to spare a couple of hours to offer guidance to a student or young professional during the EuroMeeting? If the answer is yes, then the EuroMeeting team would love to hear from you. The time commitment will be as much as you are able to offer, but a minimum of two hours contact with a student/young professional would be appreciated. It could involve activities such as attending the special networking lunchtime reception on Monday, March 23, 2009, spending some time reviewing the programme and perhaps attending a session together and discussing the content afterwards. This is a great opportunity to invest in the future of the pharmaceutical profession by sharing your expertise and experience. Please contact Maureen McGahan in the EuroMeeting team for further information about how you can get involved: [maureen.mcghan@diaeurope.org](mailto:maureen.mcghan@diaeurope.org) or call +41 61 225 5160.

## Student Poster Abstracts/Professional Poster Abstracts

### Call for Student Poster Abstracts: Deadline November 21, 2008



Chair of EuroMeeting 2009 Poster Committee:

**Rolf Bass**, Professor for Pharmacology and Toxicology, Charité - University Hospital Berlin

Full-time university students, residents and fellows are invited to submit abstracts for the Student Poster Session which will take place on Tuesday, March 24, 2009 at the EuroMeeting in Berlin. A maximum of 20 abstracts will be selected for the student poster presentation.

A total of EUR 1,800 in prize money will be awarded to student winners based on the following criteria:

- Bona fide research project
- Specific objectives and hypothesis
- Clear methods
- Analysis of actual data and results
- Conclusion

#### Eligibility:

Full-time students, residents or fellows at the time of the presentation. One author or the author's designee must attend the EuroMeeting.

#### Benefits:

- One fully supported registration for the EuroMeeting to include: return flight to Berlin, three nights hotel accommodation and complimentary registration for the EuroMeeting
- A second complimentary EuroMeeting registration for an accompanying co-author/professor
- Accepted abstracts will be printed in an early 2009 issue of the *Drug Information Journal*
- A total of EUR1,800 in prize money

#### Abstracts:

- All poster abstracts must be received by Friday, November 21, 2008. Submit your abstract online by going to [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) and clicking on the EuroMeeting icon.
- Abstracts are limited to 100 words
- A student may submit only one abstract
- Abstracts may not refer to specific brand names
- Abstracts should follow a structured format including all of the following: objectives, methods, results, conclusions
- Submissions must include complete contact information
- Abstracts will be reviewed and authors notified of results by Friday, December 12, 2008

### Call for Professional Poster Abstracts: Deadline November 21, 2008

A maximum of 40 abstracts from full-time professionals will be selected for the professional poster presentations to be held on Tuesday, March 24, 2009. Selected professional poster presenters will be required to pay the applicable meeting registration fee and will be responsible for all other meeting expenses.

#### Abstracts:

- All poster abstracts must be received by Friday, November 21, 2008. Submit your abstract online by going to [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) and clicking on the EuroMeeting icon.
- Abstracts are limited to 100 words
- Abstracts may not refer to specific brand names
- Abstracts should follow a structured format including all of the following: objectives, methods, results, conclusions
- Submissions must include complete contact information
- Abstracts will be reviewed and authors notified of results by Friday, December 12, 2008

If you require further information about Student or Professional Posters, please contact Maureen McGahan in the DIA European office: [maureen.mcghan@diaeurope.org](mailto:maureen.mcghan@diaeurope.org) or call +41 61 225 51 60.

# Session Topics in Specific Interest Areas

Please consult pages 20-53 for details of sessions  
and page 62-64 for the EuroMeeting 2009 session matrix

## Clinical Development and Research

- Paediatric Trials - Better Medicines for Children with Regulatory Efforts
- Patient-Reported Outcomes
- Infectious Diseases
- First-in-Man: Clinical Trials Issues
- Patient Recruitment
- Novel Approaches in Clinical Research
- Multinational Trials in China, Africa and South America
- Strategy of Clinical Development
- Safety Planning in Drug Development - Real Improvement or Yet Another Document?
- Addressing Key Safety Challenges in Clinical Drug Development: Signal Detection and Continuous Risk Assessment
- Statistics for Biomarkers and "Omics" – Anything New?
- Getting the Dose Right - Dose Finding and Exposure Response
- Building Health Technology Assessments into Product Development for Success
- Clinical Trials - The Start not the End. Building Integrated Data Sets in a European Context to Meet the Needs
- Project Management Tools, Processes and Organisation
- Is There Mistrust in Drug Research?
- Optimising the Dilemma of the Informed Consent Process Between Ethical Requirements and Organisational Burden
- Society, Patients and Advanced Therapy
- Can We Draw a New Social Contract in Drug Development?
- Are Benefits of eClinical Methods in Step with Regulations and Recent EMEA Guidance?
- Added Therapeutic Value - Are Common Criteria for Effectiveness Assessment Possible? What are the Discussions at the European Level?
- Advanced Therapies Implementation - Recent Developments and Open Questions
- New and Updated Regulations: How Do They Affect the Interface between Drugs and Devices?
- Roundtable: The Spirit of One Team Created from Two Worlds – the Key to Successful Development of Devices/Drug Combination Products
- Communicating Benefit – Risk to the Public: 12 Years after the Erice Declaration, How much Progress Has Been Made?
- Clinical Trials with Biological – IMPD Requirements

## GCP

- Regulatory Implications of Outsourcing Clinical Development to Emerging Markets
- Paediatric Trials - Better Medicines for Children with Regulatory Efforts
- Strategy of Clinical Development
- Novel Approaches in Clinical Research
- Performance and Quality Control in Pharmacovigilance and Risk Management – Too Much or Too Little? What is the Impact on Public Health?
- Safety Surveillance Plans: Strategies for Monitoring Safety during the Development Lifecycle
- The Spirit of One Team Created from Two Worlds – the Key to Successful Development of Devices/Drug Combination Products
- Inspection Findings on Investigational Sites and at the Sponsor of Drug Device Combination Trials
- The Transparency Imperative
- Optimising The Dilemma of the Informed Consent Process Between Ethical Requirements and Organisational Burden
- Integrating Different eClinical Data Streams: Needs and Benefits
- Advanced Therapies Implementation - Recent Developments and Open Questions
- Implementation of ICH in Non-ICH countries: Where Are We Now and What Will Be in the Future?
- International GCP Inspection Experiences
- Serious GCP Breaches Including Falsification and Fraud

## IT, eClinical and Data Management

- Integrating Different eClinical Data Streams: Needs and Benefits
- Are Benefits of eClinical Methods in Step with Regulations and Recent EMEA Guidance?
- Technology & Standards...How about the Process?
- The Loose Ends in EDC and How to Address Them
- A Look into the Future: What Is on the Horizon after We Have Managed EDC Properly?
- Experience with CDISC Standards
- CDISC End-to-End: To What Extent?
- CDISC as an Avenue to Process Improvement
- The 'Electronic-Only' Centralised Procedure - Now a Reality
- Towards 'Electronic-Only' Mutual Recognition, Decentralised and National Procedures
- Product Information Management (PIM) - Towards Full Implementation
- Product Partnering: The Effective Management of Information Assets
- Risk Management and Pharmacovigilance - Between a Regulatory Rock and the Litigation Hard Place
- Project Management Applied in Functional Areas – Is PM the New Middle Management?
- Drug Safety Personnel – Which Qualification and How Much Education is Needed? Where do Regulators and Industry Find Talent?
- Audits and Inspections on e-CRFs and e-Source Data
- Hot Topic in Telematics

## Generics

- Safeguarding Public Health: Balancing Early Access with Safety and Affordability Aspects
- Regulatory Implications of Outsourcing Clinical Development to Emerging Markets
- Ways to Improve the Current Regulatory System for Assessment of Medicinal Products with Known Active Substances
- Drug Counterfeiting in Europe: Trends and New Initiatives
- Implementation of ICH in Non-ICH countries: Where Are We Now and What Will Happen in the Future?
- Known Active Substances and Bibliographic Applications - Current Problems, Possibilities and Solutions

# Session Topics in Specific Interest Areas

## Manufacturing and GMP

- Advanced Therapies Implementation - Recent Developments and Open Questions
- Regulatory Implications of Outsourcing Clinical Development to Emerging Markets
- Quality Assurance Systems for Drug Device Combination Manufacturers: Experiences of QA Manager and Auditors
- Special Requirements for the Safety of Biologicals and Biosimilars
- Expanding our Regulatory Horizon to Deliver Device and Drug Combination Products Successfully to Market: Where Could Drugs and Devices Meet?
- Drug Counterfeiting in Europe: Trends and New Initiatives
- Implementation of ICH in non-ICH countries: Where Are We Now and What Will Be in the Future?
- Sourcing of API in a Globalised Environment
- Innovative Technologies in Drug Development and Manufacture

## Medical Devices

- New and Updated Regulations: How Do They Affect the Interface between Drugs and Devices?
- Quality Assurance Systems for Drug Device Combination Manufacturers: Experiences of QA Manager and Auditors
- Expanding our Regulatory Horizon to Deliver Device and Drug Combination Products Successfully to Market: Where Could Drugs and Devices Meet?
- Roundtable: The Spirit of One Team Created from Two Worlds – the Key to Successful Development of Devices/Drug Combination Products
- Borderlines between Devices and Other Product Categories: Opportunity or Mystery?
- Medical Assessments and Safety-Evaluations for Medical Devices, Drugs and their Combinations
- Inspection Findings on Investigational Sites and at the Sponsor of Drug Device Combination Trials
- New Developments in Drugs and Devices: Therapeutic Shift or Synergy?

## Non-Clinical Development

- Use of Imaging in Non-Clinical Toxicity Testing: What Is its Value? What about Regulatory Acceptance?
- Non-Clinical Evaluation of Hepatotoxicity: Where do We Stand? How Sceptical is the Pharmaceutical Industry?
- Non-Clinical Support for First-in-Man Studies
- Update on Anti-cancer Drug Guideline ICH9
- Update on ICH S6 Non-Clinical Safety Testing of Biotechnology-Derived Products: Part 1
- Update on ICH S6 Non-Clinical Safety Testing of Biotechnology-Derived Products: Part 2
- New Concepts in the Assessment of Genotoxicity
- Update on the Use of Juvenile Animal Studies for Paediatric Drug Development
- Translating siRNA to Medicines
- Safety Surveillance Plans: Strategies for Monitoring Safety during the Development Lifecycle

## Patient Representation

- Benefit–Risk
- What Does the User Consider Value? Including the Patients' Voice in Assessments
- Patient Recruitment
- The Transparency Imperative
- Optimising The Dilemma of the Informed Consent Process Between Ethical Requirements and Organisational Burden
- Communicating Benefit – Risk to the Public: 12 Years after the Erice Declaration, How much Progress Has Been Made?
- Can We Draw a New Social Contract in Drug Development?

## Pharmacovigilance and Safety

- Surveillance: Diseases and Pharmacovigilance – How Good are our Baseline Data?
- Safety Surveillance Plans: Strategies for Monitoring Safety during the Development Lifecycle
- Special Requirements for the Safety of Biologicals and Biosimilars
- Performance and Quality Control in Pharmacovigilance and Risk Management – Too Much or Too Little? What is the Impact on Public Health?
- EU-Harmonised Pharmacovigilance Requirements versus Global Pharmacovigilance – Supplement or Contradiction?
- Safety Decision Making – How much Science is Deployed? An update on Methodology
- Drug Safety Personnel – Which Qualification and How much Education is Needed? Where do Regulators and Industry Find Talent?
- Post-Marketing Studies – Commitments and Reality
- Safety Planning in Drug Development - Real Improvement or yet Another Document?
- Addressing Key Safety Challenges in Clinical Drug Development: Signal Detection and Continuous Risk Assessment
- Optimising The Dilemma of the Informed Consent Process Between Ethical Requirements and Organisational Burden
- Communicating Benefit – Risk to the Public: 12 Years after the Erice Declaration, How much Progress Has Been Made?
- Safeguarding Public Health: Balancing Early Access with Safety and Affordability Aspects
- Benefit–Risk
- Key Initiatives in Drug Development Optimisation
- Risk Management and Pharmacovigilance - Between a Regulatory Rock and the Litigation Hard Place
- New and Updated Regulations: How Do They Affect the Interface between Drugs and Devices?
- Medical Assessments and Safety-Evaluations for Medical Devices, Drugs and their Combinations
- Optimising The Dilemma of the Informed Consent Process Between Ethical Requirements and Organisational Burden
- Introduction of Biosimilar Medicines into Clinical Practice
- Audits and Inspections on Pharmacovigilance
- Quality Risk Management and Risk Detection

# Session Topics in Specific Interest Areas

## Regulatory

- Safeguarding Public Health: Balancing Early Access with Safety and Affordability Aspects
- Benefit – Risk
- Applications of Novel Pharmacometric Approaches for Internal Decision Making and Regulatory Submission
- How Can Regulators Engage in Novel Drug Development? Revisiting the Regulator – Industry Dialogue
- Building HTA into the Process: Meeting the Needs of Licensing Authorities, Payers and Patients
- Enabling Early Access
- Communicating Benefit – Risk to the Public: 12 Years after the Erice Declaration, How much Progress Has Been Made?
- Regulatory Implications of Outsourcing Clinical Development to Emerging Markets
- Optimising The Dilemma of the Informed Consent Process Between Ethical Requirements and Organisational Burden
- Incremental Research - Important Benefits, Neglected in European Law?
- Drug Counterfeiting in Europe: Trends and New Initiatives
- Implementation of ICH in Non-ICH countries: Where Are We Now and What Will Be in the Future?
- Ways to Improve the Current Regulatory System for Assessment of Medicinal Products with Known Active Substances
- Known Active Substances and Bibliographic Applications - Actual Problems, Possibilities and Solutions
- “Smart Regulation” for Non-Prescription Medicines
- News on Rx to OTC Switch
- Patents and the EU Regulatory Systems
- The Transparency Imperative
- Society, Patients and Advanced Therapy
- The 'Informed Patient' - A Controversial Goal
- The Electronic-Only Centralised Procedure - Now a Reality
- Hot Topic in Telematics
- Harnessing Industry and Authority Experience: Working Together to Achieve Effective Guidelines
- EU Agreement: Administrative Regulatory Simplification – The Next Phase?
- International GCP Inspection Experiences
- Towards Electronic-only Mutual Recognition, Decentralised and National Procedures
- Regulatory Guidelines Compared to Medical Practice Guidelines: Their Places in Personalised Medicine
- Personalised Medicine: A Challenge for Drug Development?
- From Populations to Individuals: Industry Tools and Assessment of Benefit/Risk Balance
- Implementation of the New ICH Quality Paradigm
- Pharmacopoeias – Do They Have a Place in a Quality-by-Design Environment?
- The Paediatric Regulation – An Easy Way to Age-Appropriate Formulations?
- Revision of the Variations Regulations: An Update
- What's New in the Quality Arena?

## Research and Development

- Paediatric Trials - Better Medicines for Children with Regulatory Efforts
- Strategy of Clinical Development
- Infectious Diseases
- The EU Landscape
- The Electronic-Only Centralised Procedure - Now a Reality
- Towards Electronic-only Mutual Recognition, Decentralised and National Procedures
- Product Information Management (PIM) - Towards Full Implementation
- Product Partnering: The Effective Management of Information Assets
- Knowledge Management & Innovation
- Project Management Tools, Processes and Organisation
- Key Success Factors for Portfolio and Project Management
- Demonstrating Cost-Effectiveness in Challenging Situations and When Data is Limited
- Building Health Technology Assessments into Product Development for Success
- Clinical Trials - The Start not the End. Building Integrated Data Sets in a European Context to Meet the Needs
- Personalised Medicine: A Challenge for Drug Development?

## Statistics

- Surveillance: Diseases and Pharmacovigilance – How Good are our Baseline Data?
- EU-Harmonised Pharmacovigilance Requirements versus Global Pharmacovigilance – Supplement or Contradiction?
- Performance and Quality Control in Pharmacovigilance and Risk Management – Too Much or Too Little? What is the Impact on Public Health?
- Medical Assessments and Safety Evaluations for Medical Devices, Drugs and their Combinations
- Adaptive Designs – From Statistical Science to Regulatory Guidance
- Adaptive Designs – A Discussion with Stakeholders
- Safety Planning in Drug Development - Real Improvement or yet Another Document?
- Addressing Key Safety Challenges in Clinical Drug Development: Signal Detection and Continuous Risk Assessment
- Statistics for Biomarkers and “Omics” – Anything New?
- Getting the Dose Right - Dose Finding and Exposure Response
- Beyond Trial Design and Sample Size Estimation - The Utility of Clinical Scenario Assessments
- 'Missing Data' for Your 'Quantitative Benefit-Risk' Assessment Supporting 'Conditional Marketing Authorisation'? Where Next for Regulatory Guidance?

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Pre-Conference Tutorials 09:00-12:30

### TUTORIAL 1

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PHARMACOVIGILANCE SYSTEMS FOR MEDICINAL PRODUCTS FOR HUMAN USE: VOL. 9A AND EU REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

**Sabine Brosch**, Deputy Head of Sector Pharmacovigilance and Post-Authorisation Safety and Efficacy, EMEA, EU

**Gaby Danan**, Senior Director, Expert in Pharmacovigilance, Global Pharmacovigilance and Epidemiology, sanofi-aventis, France

This tutorial will allow attendees to discuss the regulatory requirements with regard to the Chapter of Volume 9A on the 'Description of Pharmacovigilance Systems for Medicinal Products for Human Use' also referred to as DDPS. It must be supplied with the application dossier and as part of supporting documentation that the Applicant must maintain and supply to the Competent Authorities on request.

Emphasis will be put on the main elements of the DDPS such as the role and responsibilities of the Qualified Person Responsible for Pharmacovigilance (QPPV), an outline of the pharmacovigilance procedures and the pharmacovigilance database(s) as well as methods for updating the DDPS and points to consider for preparation of inspections.

#### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, attendees will be able to:

- Interpret the potential implications of the requirements on pharmaceutical companies' business processes
- Share knowledge on how to prepare DDPS
- Adhere to the requirements for updating a DDPS
- Share knowledge on how to prepare for an inspection in relation to the DDPS and the pharmacovigilance systems

#### Target Audience

This tutorial is designed for:

- Qualified Persons Responsible for Pharmacovigilance
- Persons in charge of pharmacovigilance systems
- Experts in Regulatory Affairs
- Persons in charge of Quality and Compliance
- Sponsors of clinical trials

### TUTORIAL 2

#### PAEDIATRIC DEVELOPMENT AND INNOVATIVE ASPECTS OF PAEDIATRIC TRIALS

**Agnès Saint Raymond**, Head of Sector Scientific Advice and Orphan Drugs, EMEA, EU

Paediatric development and innovative aspects of paediatric trials are hot topics for agencies, industry and academia. Industry will need new skills at early phase development steps and academia will have to include more and more paediatric clinical trials as part of their activities. Families and patient groups will be key partners in this new process. New methodological approaches are needed.

#### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, attendees will be able to:

- Recognise the need to include paediatric development in early R&D and lifecycle management of medicinal products
- Identify requirements for success in submission of PIP, waivers or deferrals
- Use innovative aspects and new methodological approaches for setting paediatric trial protocols

#### Target Audience

R&D, regulatory, biometry, formulation, strategic planning, regulatory agency personnel and experts, and patients' associations.

### TUTORIAL 3

#### RISK MANAGEMENT: TURN PLANS INTO PRACTICE

**Rosalind Coulson**, PhC, MSc, FR, PharmS, UK

**Andrzej Czarnecki**, Director, Deputy Qualified Person for Pharmacovigilance, Global Product Safety, Eli Lilly and Company Ltd., UK

**Monika Pietrek**, Drug and Safety Expert, Germany

The New Medicines Legislation has provided a formal framework for risk management activities. Risk management plans need to be submitted within a marketing authorisation application or in response to a newly identified safety concern for a marketed product. Following discussions with regulatory authorities, such plans may require modification before risk management programmes can be fully or partially executed. Programmes have to be regularly evaluated and reported. This tutorial will focus on the principles of risk assessment and risk management, presenting examples of plans, investigations and minimisation. Special attention will be paid to the critical success factors of risk management programmes.

#### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, attendees will be able to:

- Summarise the New Medicines Legislation framework for risk management
- Discuss any risk management plan modifications that may be required
- List critical success factors of risk management programmes

#### Target Audience

This tutorial is designed for drug safety and clinical development professionals, with functional emphasis on medical directors, pharmacists, statisticians and epidemiologists.

### TUTORIAL 4

#### THE PSUR: A PHARMACOVIGILANCE AND MEDICAL WRITING VIEW WITH EMPHASIS ON PRACTICAL SOLUTIONS TO THE PITFALLS AND GREY AREAS

**Giovanni Furlan**, Head, Global Product Safety Analysis & Evaluation, Bracco Imaging SpA, Italy

**Alison Rapley**, Director, Medical Writing Services Europe, PAREXEL International Ltd, UK

This tutorial critically examines PSUR content and process from the pharmacovigilance and medical writing perspectives. The first half of the tutorial will review the source and content of the different PSUR sections. It will provide an overview of the relevant guidance documents, discuss the limitations of the PSUR basic components and explain, providing practical examples, how the content and data of a good PSUR should vary depending on the drug's characteristics. The second half of the tutorial will look at PSUR practical implications of pitfalls and grey areas and suggest possible solutions. The overlap and cross-link between PSURs and other regulatory documents will be highlighted. Differences between the issues of innovative vs generic drug PSURs will also be presented.

#### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, attendees will be able to:

- Explain why and how to prepare a PSUR
- Describe the content of each PSUR section and recognise how the content, presentation and analysis of the data should differ depending on the time since drug approval, the volume of data and the type of drug
- Recognise the PSUR pitfalls and grey areas and how they could be overcome
- Identify the links and partial overlap between PSURs and other pharmacovigilance/drug safety documents
- Discuss how a signal can be identified and analysed in the context of a PSUR

## Pre-Conference Tutorials 09:00-12:30

### Target Audience

- Medical writers and pharmacovigilance personnel relatively new to PSURs and those with some experience in writing, contributing to and/or analysing PSUR data
- Regulatory affairs professionals may also benefit from the tutorial

It is recommended that attendees with no previous PSUR experience read the ICH E2C guideline and 'Volume IXa of the Rules Governing Medicinal Products in the EU' before the tutorial.

### TUTORIAL 5

#### ANALYSIS OF SAFETY DATA FROM CLINICAL TRIALS

**Jürgen Kübler**, Global Head, Statistical Safety Sciences, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

**Joachim Vollmar**, Executive Consultant, International Clinical Development Consultants, USA

This tutorial is a combination of theory, guidelines, practical considerations and real-life solutions for those working in the clinical development environment (pharmaceutical, biotech industry or CRO). The aim of this course is to provide a basic understanding of the underlying methodology and the current guidelines on safety data. Aspects regarding the planning of clinical trials as well as the problems and pitfalls during the analysis of safety data will be presented. The presentations will also include case studies.

### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, attendees will be able to:

- Understand relevant guidelines and regulatory requirements
- Contribute to safety analysis plans
- Assess statistical safety analyses
- Identify pitfalls in safety analyses

### Target Audience

Clinical researchers, drug safety specialists, medical writers, investigators, biostatisticians and project managers

### TUTORIAL 6

#### OPERATION OF EUROPEAN REGISTRATION PROCEDURES: CENTRALISED, MUTUAL RECOGNITION AND DECENTRALISED PROCEDURES

**Truus Janse-de Hoog**, Staff Member European Cluster, Medicines Evaluation Board, The Netherlands, Chair CMD(h)

**Anu Tummavuori-Liemann**, Associate Director European Regulatory Liaison Celgene International Sarl, Switzerland  
**EMA representative invited**

Presented by representatives from a national authority, EMA and industry, this tutorial will provide a pragmatic view on how EU registration procedures work. The basic principles of the centralised, mutual recognition and decentralised procedures will be explained. Hints and tips will be given how to avoid delays in the procedures and how to prepare for submissions of applications that can involve 30 Member States.

### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, attendees will be able to:

- Discuss the basic principles of centralised and mutual recognition procedures
- Recognise the difficulties that can be experienced during the operation of these procedures and apply practical solutions
- Describe the changes in the procedures as a consequence of new legislation

### Target Audience

Regulatory affairs, pharmaceutical industry personnel and students

### TUTORIAL 7

#### INTERACTIONS BETWEEN THE REGULATORY REGIME AND THE LEGAL PRINCIPLES GOVERNING INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, COMPETITION, AND PRODUCT LIABILITY FOR NON-LAWYERS

**Geneviève Michaux**, Special Counsel, Covington & Burling, Belgium

Interactions between, on the one hand, the regulatory regime and, on the other hand, intellectual property, competition, and product liability rules are increasing. As a result, those matters can no longer be approached in isolation, and a more comprehensive perspective is required when addressing regulatory issues. The tutorial will explain key concepts of the laws governing intellectual property, competition, and product liability and discuss how they relate to the regulatory pharmaceutical regime. The tutorial is designed for non-lawyers with considerable regulatory experience and for regulatory lawyers who want to learn the basics of intellectual property, competition, and product liability rules as they apply in the pharmaceutical sector.

### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, attendees will be able to:

- Explain and discuss the basics of intellectual property, competition and product liability rules applicable to medicinal products
- Identify and better address the regulatory issues that present an intellectual property, competition or product liability aspect

### Target Audience

All persons involved in regulatory affairs and in-house regulatory lawyers.

### TUTORIAL 8

#### QUALIFIED PERSON FOR PHARMACOVIGILANCE: WHAT DO YOU NEED TO KNOW?

**Brian Edwards**, Director Pharmacovigilance and Drug Safety, NDA Regulatory Science Ltd., UK

**Keith Wimbley**, NDA Regulatory Science Ltd., UK

Recent European legislation, as well as Volume 9A, requires all marketing authorisation holders to have one qualified person for pharmacovigilance (QPPV) with responsibility for establishing and maintaining all aspects of the company's global pharmacovigilance system. Although acknowledged to be a vital function, there is little practical guidance on how QP responsibilities should best be conducted, while maintaining compliance with regulatory requirements. The jurisdiction of the QPPV stretches to wherever there is an active licence for a product authorised in the EU. Thus the role in many companies has a global impact. During this tutorial we will discuss and advise on current practice to help address issues such as:

- What does being qualified mean in practice for the QPPV and the organisation?
- What are appropriate contractual obligations and job descriptions to cover points such as 24-hour availability, workload, personal indemnity, delegation and adequate back-up?
- How QPPV activities should be documented to allow adequate quality assurance
- How inspectors and regulatory authorities regard the EU QPPV role and expectations for involvement in the entire PV system
- How to make the QPPV role work in practice using examples from both large and small companies, including CROs
- How to optimise interactions between the company as a whole and the QPPV to obtain adequate mutual oversight and support
- What should be QPPV input into post-authorisation commitments and risk management plans
- How should the interface between the QPPV and quality function work in practice
- How the QPPV function relates to national nominated individuals for safety

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Pre-Conference Tutorials 09:00-12:30

The speakers will also update the audience about whether there should be an appropriate forum to allow QPPVs across the industry to interact and share best practice to the mutual benefit of both public health and industry alike.

### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, attendees will be able to:

- Explain legal, regulatory and business implications surrounding the QPPV function to permit effective implementation of this role
- Describe the range of expectations to have about a QPPV
- Understand the different approaches taken by other companies
- Better understand the expectations of regulators and inspectors

### Target Audience

Professionals in companies who will shortly be setting up pharmacovigilance operations in Europe or with experience in post-marketing clinical safety and who are involved in:

- Pharmacovigilance
- Clinical Research
- Risk Management
- Medical product safety assessment
- Data analysis
- Epidemiology
- Labelling
- Quality Assurance/Quality Control
- Compliance
- Qualified persons for pharmacovigilance (QPPV)
- Deputy QPPVs
- Contract research organisations and consultants

### TUTORIAL 9

#### HEALTH ECONOMICS AND HTA – HOW TO DEMONSTRATE PRODUCT VALUE

**Carolyn Miltenburger**, Director Health Economics and Outcomes, i3 Innovus, Germany

**Linus Jönsson**, Vice President, i3 Innovus, Germany

This tutorial is a combination of concepts, guidelines, methods and case studies for those working in the clinical development and regulatory environment (industry, agency, CRO). The aim is to provide a basic understanding of the methods and standards in health economics and outcomes research such as study designs, modelling, and observational studies and retrospective database analysis.

- Economic modelling
- Retrospective database analysis
- Patient-reported outcomes
- Observational studies

### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, participants will be able to:

- Understand different types of economic analysis and the principles of health economic simulation models.
- Understand how data from different sources are integrated in a framework of costs and benefits based on a range of different data sources presented.

For patient reported outcomes, participants should have a good basic understanding of concepts and methodologies to quantify subjective assessment of health by patients. The use of health economics in development and commercialisation of health technologies will be presented from a practical perspective.

### Target Audience

Clinical development, regulatory affairs, medical affairs, pharmacovigilance/drug safety, corporate or government affairs, health policy

### TUTORIAL 10

#### MEDICAL DEVICE LEGISLATION FROM A PHARMACEUTICAL POINT OF VIEW: RECOGNISE THE DIFFERENCES AND THE ADVANTAGES

**Jos Kraus**, Senior Inspector, Health Care Inspectorate, The Netherlands

**Waldo Weijers**, Coordinator Consultation Procedures for Medicated Medicinal Devices, Medicines Evaluation Board, The Netherlands

In this tutorial the Medical Device Directive (92/42 upgraded with 2007/47) will be explained from a pharmaceutical point of view, with special focus on the following issues:

- How to overcome the hurdles of putting a combination product on the market
- The role of the Notified Body and Medicinal Product Agency in the design-to-market process
- The best strategy for combination products containing new chemical entities and for combination products with marketed pharmaceutical substances
- How to collect clinical data without performing clinical studies

### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, participants will be able to:

- Identify the main characteristics of the European Medical Device regulatory system
- Identify the main topics of the EU Medical Device Directive (Directive 93/42 as amended by Directive 2007/47), including the provisions on risk classification, combination products and delineation with pharmaceutical products
- Define how the system of conformity assessment by Notified Bodies works
- Identify the roles of Competent Authorities for Medical Devices and for Medicinal Product Agencies
- Set up a list of criteria for selection of a Notified Body

### Target Audience

- All those who are new to or not familiar with medical device legislation and who would like to have a condensed overview
- Trained professionals in pharmaceutical legislation, who are not familiar with the medical device legislation for combination products (medical device/medicinal product/biological)

### TUTORIAL 11

#### EUROPEAN PHARMA LAW FOR NON-LAWYERS: PRINCIPLES OF PHARMACEUTICAL LEGISLATION AND CASE LAW

**John A. Lisman**, Senior Associate, NautaDutilh N.V., The Netherlands

**Peter Bogaert**, Partner, Covington & Burling LLP, Belgium

The pharmaceutical industry and competent authorities work in a legal environment, but many workers in regulatory affairs are non-lawyers. In this tutorial, the legal background of regulatory affairs will be explained and discussed. The tutorial will address the sources of law and the main legal topics: patents, data exclusivity, parallel import, etc. Furthermore, the European procedures for Marketing Authorisations will be discussed in a legal context.

### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, participants will be able to:

- Explain the sources of law in the European Union
- Describe the key legal topics in relation to pharmaceutical legislation
- Analyse legal aspects of the marketing authorisation procedures in the EU

### Target Audience

This tutorial is designed for non-lawyers with an interest in European Union law related to regulatory affairs.

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Pre-Conference Tutorials 09:00-12:30

### TUTORIAL 12

#### FROM CREATION TO VARIATION: SUCCESSFUL ECTD COMPILATION

**Olaf Schoepke**, Managing Director, Extedo Limited, UK

During the tutorial, participants will be guided through the process of creating eCTD-ready documents, assembling these in an eCTD submission, and how to validate and publish eCTDs effectively. The process will focus on submissions in the EU with regional differences and expectations. Once submitted, the audience will learn how agencies validate and review their applications, from first submission to eCTD lifecycle.

#### Learning Objectives

The objective of this tutorial is to explain and demonstrate to the audience a clear path of how an eCTD is assembled, from document creation to eCTD variation.

#### Target Audience

Regulatory, IT, publisher, submission manager, document management

### TUTORIAL 13

#### COMMON SENSE COMPUTER COMPLIANCE PRACTICES FOR MEDICAL SITES AND CROs PERFORMING CLINICAL TRIALS

**Teri Stokes**, Director, GXP International, USA

This tutorial will provide answers to the following questions:

- Without being computer professionals, how do we identify those systems at our medical site that are subject to GCP/21 CFR Part 11 regulations?
- How do we perform a risk analysis and document the current compliance status of the GCP systems identified at our site for a specific trial and across trials?
- What support should we get from any study sponsor for the EDC technology they provide for a trial? (eCRF, IVRS, E-Diary, E-Device)
- Without being computer professionals, how can we prepare an Electronic Data Quality Plan for a specific trial at our site?
- How do we prepare for and host audits and inspections of computer systems at our site?

#### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, attendees will be able to:

- Identify and perform a risk analysis for computerised systems at their site that are subject to GCP/21CFR Part 11 regulations
- Document the current compliance status of GCP systems identified for a specific trial at a site
- Prepare an Electronic Data Quality Plan for a specific clinical trial at a site without being computer professionals
- Better host audits and inspections of site computer systems for site qualification or study inspection purposes

#### Target Audience

CRAs and monitors from industry and CROs, QA professionals, GCP/Part 11 Auditors and Inspectors, study site coordinators and clinical investigators, CRO and medical site IT practitioners

### TUTORIAL 14

#### SIGNAL DETECTION METHODS FOR BEGINNERS – OVERVIEW AND CASE STUDIES

**Stephen Jolley**, Vice President, Pharmacovigilance, Patni Life Sciences, USA

**David Olaleye**, Senior Analytical Consultant, SAS Institute Inc., USA

**William Smedley**, Associate Director, PV Operations, Shire Pharmaceuticals, USA

This tutorial will provide a theoretical and methodological review of the application of signal detection and data mining techniques to safety surveillance. An overview of strategies and specific situation applications will be presented.

#### Highlights

- Recommended approach to signal detection and use of data mining techniques
- Use of visualisation tools to enhance signal detection
- Comparison of approaches for a large and a small company

#### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, attendees will be able to:

- Recognise the basic concepts of data mining and principles of signal detection
- Outline how to apply these techniques within their company
- Discuss data mining techniques to analyse large volumes of adverse event report data

#### Target Audience

Clinical safety professionals involved in:

- Pharmacovigilance
- Pharmacoepidemiology
- Regulatory affairs
- Quality assurance
- Medical product safety assessment
- Labelling

### TUTORIAL 15

#### THE "QUALITY-BY-DESIGN" INITIATIVE – A LOOK INSIDE FROM MULTIPLE ANGLES

**Gert Thurau**, Associate Director, Merck & Co., Inc., USA

Quality-by-Design (QbD) is a concept that has the potential for introducing transformational changes to the way pharmaceutical products are developed, registered and manufactured. With the regulatory aspect of QbD anchored around the ICH guidelines ICH Q8, Q9 and Q10, industry has embraced QbD as beneficial for both the patient and their business processes in R&D and manufacturing. On the technical level QbD supports concepts like risk management, continuous improvement and the overall modernisation of pharmaceutical manufacturing. This new concept is also expected to be implemented into the EU Variations framework via the revised legislation. A reality check of the much described vision will be performed, based on recent implementation of QbD concepts into practice by several pharmaceutical companies both in newly developed and in line products. This tutorial will give an introduction to the overall framework of QbD as well as provide an overview of regulatory and technical approaches currently discussed and utilised to push the envelope beyond the previously accepted boundaries, including the utilisation of enablers like risk management and Process Analytical Technology (PAT). Both of these previously existing approaches have been recognised as core tools for QbD practitioners, leading to true benefits like continuous improvement or real time product release testing. Presentations on both industry and regulatory interpretations and expectations will be combined with an overview of currently available guidance and interpretation. Finally, real life examples of QbD will help to illustrate what opportunities QbD is opening up

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Pre-Conference Tutorials 09:00-12:30

and where its real potential lies for the enhancement of the post approval framework to ensure the safety and benefit of patients.

### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, attendees will be able to:

- Identify and analyse Quality by Design (QbD) approaches to product and process development
- Assess the current regulatory positions on QbD
- Define how the concept could be used in their own work to further patient safety and benefits.

### Target Audience

- Physicians, pharmacist and pharmaceutical scientists involved in product (synthesis and formulation) and manufacturing process development
- Regulatory personnel involved in drug registration (CMC)
- Manufacturing personnel with innovation needs
- Regulators with interest in real life QbD examples

### TUTORIAL 16

#### NEW STRATEGIES IN NON-CLINICAL SAFETY TESTING

**Gerd Bode**, Lecturer, University of Göttingen and Consultant, Germany  
**Klaus Olejniczak**, Scientific Director, BfArM, Germany

Relevant guidelines and new trends will be addressed. The focus will also be on the new draft ICH guidelines. The speakers share with you their proposals for improving strategies in the preclinical development phase. They give advice based on their long-term experience as topic leaders in the International Conferences on Harmonisation. Attendees will be updated in regulatory guidances and their interpretations.

### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, attendees will be able to:

- Recognise objectives and strategies in toxicology
- Improve the use of preclinical safety data
- Understand better the agencies' requirements for safety.

### Target Audience

Non-toxicologists or beginners in toxicology, regulatory affairs personnel, clinical colleagues, project team leaders and members.

### TUTORIAL 17

#### ADVANCED THERAPIES – A NEW LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK FOR CELL-BASED MEDICINAL PRODUCTS

**Christa Schröder**, Scientific and Regulatory Advisor, Paul-Ehrlich-Institute, Germany  
**Barbara Sickmueller**, Deputy Director General, German Pharmaceutical Industry Association (BPI), Germany  
**Matthias Wilken**, Head of Drug Regulatory Affairs Europe, German Pharmaceutical Industry Association (BPI), Germany

This tutorial will provide an overview of the new legislative framework regarding advanced therapy medicinal products (somatic cell therapy, gene therapy, tissue engineering): the new Regulation (EC) 1394/2007, Annex I to Directive 2001/83/EC, Guidelines of CHMP Working Parties. The established system regarding GMP, GCP and pharmacovigilance has to be adapted to these new products. In the context of medical device regulations the requirements of the Advanced Therapy Regulation will be discussed. Pros and cons of the European system for SME's (provisions for certification of quality and non-clinical data for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), pursuant to Article 18 of Regulation (EC) No 1394/2007, fees, etc.) will be considered. The tutorial will give a brief overview for people with basic knowledge in this field. For people

with advanced knowledge, a session on Advanced Therapies has been organised.

### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, attendees will be able to:

- Understand the new legislative framework regarding cell-based medicinal products
- Describe the implications of both Regulation (EC) 1394/2007 on Advanced Therapies Medicinal Products and Directive 2004/23/EC (European Cell and Tissue Directive)
- Identify key aspects of the new regulatory framework and put the new framework in the context of existing legislations and soft-law (e. g. GCP, GMP)

### Target Audience

R&D, regulatory affairs, strategic planning, regulatory agency personnel, all participants interested in gaining an overview in the emerging field of somatic cell therapy, gene therapy and tissue engineering

### TUTORIAL 18

#### ADAPTIVE DESIGNS FOR CONFIRMATORY CLINICAL TRIALS

**Norbert Benda**, Statistical Methodologist, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland  
**Heinz Schmidli**, Statistical Methodologist, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

This tutorial will give an introduction to the theory and practice of adaptive designs for pivotal clinical trials. Adaptive designs allow for mid-course design modifications such as the adjustment of sample size, the dropping of treatment arms or the selection of a subpopulation. We will review and discuss statistical methodology that allows such adaptations without compromising the overall type I error rate. All methods will be illustrated by examples. Several case studies will be presented, explaining in detail both methodological and practical issues which arise in designing and analysing an adaptive clinical trial.

### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, attendees will be able to:

- Understand the principles, opportunities and challenges for adaptive designs
- Understand operational considerations

### Target Audience

Clinical project team members within drug development.

### TUTORIAL 19

#### CAN EUROPEAN HERBAL MEDICINES BECOME AMERICAN BOTANICAL DRUGS? THE RISING GLOBAL MARKET OPPORTUNITY OF COMPLEMENTARY MEDICINE

**Nadina C. José**, Clinical Site Network Manager, Medicus Research LLC, USA  
**Jay Udani**, CEO and Medical Director, Medicus Research LLC, USA

European Herbal Medicines continue to experience growth with expansion into the Eastern European markets. Part of this rising trend is the movement towards harmonising systems of training, registration and the practice of complementary medicine in the European Union. This surge has still to make its way to a larger untapped opportunity which exists in the US. This tutorial will compare and contrast the regulatory, marketing, manufacturing and clinical development pathways of dietary supplements, medical foods and botanical drugs with those of Drugs/Devices and with European Herbal Medicines. Detailed knowledge, planning and experience are required to navigate the regulatory and marketing waters of dietary supplements, medical foods, herbal medicines and botanical drugs. Participants will be better prepared to formulate and evaluate their own global expansion plans with the knowledge acquired during this tutorial. An overview will be given of the current environment for

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Pre-Conference Tutorials 09:00-12:30

complementary/integrative medicine, followed by a presentation on the development cycle, regulatory pathway/or registration, marketing of herbal medicines/botanicals vs. drugs vs. biotech globally and, then concluding the tutorial, an interactive Q & A session.

### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this tutorial, attendees will be able to:

- Identify and describe the clinical development path required to maximise the dietary supplement, medical food, and/or botanical drug markets
- Compare and contrast the market opportunities for Herbal Medicines in Europe with dietary supplements, medical foods, and botanical drugs in the US
- Assess the current increase in the use of "alternative/complementary" (EU) or "integrative" (US) therapies in the global medical practice arena
- Differentiate the regulatory, manufacturing, and marketing requirements of dietary supplements, medical foods, and botanical drugs in the US market from those of Herbal Medicines in Europe

### Target Audience

- Scientific, Marketing, and Strategic executives of European Herbal Medicine companies who are considering global expansion of their market.
- Pharmaceutical and biotech project team members about to conduct clinical trials on herbal/botanical products

### TUTORIAL 20

#### CLINICAL STATISTICS FOR NON-STATISTICIANS

**Kerry Gordon**, Senior Director, Biostatistics, Quintiles Ltd., UK

This short course is designed to be an introduction of basic statistical concepts fundamental to clinical research, for professionals who have regular exposure to statistics either through studies or professional experience. The material is roughly equivalent to an introductory statistics course. While it includes a few formulae for individuals who are interested in computational details, the course emphasizes the application of statistical concepts to clinical investigation.

### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this course, participants should be able to:

- Discuss basic statistical concepts such as variability, confidence intervals, hypotheses testing and p-values
- Distinguish various study designs and identify techniques to avoid bias
- Understand the difference between superiority, equivalence and non-inferiority objectives
- Interpret some common statistics regularly used in clinical trials

### Target Audience

This course will particularly benefit professionals who must understand and work with statistical concepts related to clinical research. It assumes a basic understanding of statistics (either through professional experience or studies) roughly equivalent to an introductory statistics course.

### TUTORIAL 21

#### REFERRALS TO CHMP- ALL YOU WANT TO KNOW

**Marisa Papaluca Amati**, Deputy Head of Sector, Safety and Efficacy of Medicines, EMEA

**Zaide Frias**, Scientific Administrator, Legal/Regulatory Affairs, EMEA, EU

**Thomas Larsson**, EMEA, EU

**Mats Marfalt**, Cardiovascular Portfolio Leader, European Regulatory Affairs, AstraZeneca, Sweden

This tutorial is designed to increase the understanding of referrals procedure, promote available tools to reduce the likelihood of a referral and improve

transparency in this field. Topics to be covered will include:

- Referrals: The Regulatory Context
- Art 29 & 30: How CHMP reconciles areas of technical disagreement/benefits of referrals
- Art 31 Referrals: A Case Study
- Referrals: Industry Experience

### Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this course, participants should be able to:

- Understand the referrals procedure
- Identify available tools to reduce the likelihood of a referral
- Identify ways to improve transparency in this field



## 3RD ANNUAL CLINICAL FORUM NICE 2009

**BUILD YOUR OWN CONFERENCE!**



OCTOBER 19-22, 2009  
NICE ACROPOLIS  
NICE, FRANCE

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Theme 1

### Audits and Inspections – The Evolution of GCP into a Holistic Approach to Quality Management

#### Theme Leaders:

**Gabriele Schwarz**, Head, GCP Inspection Services BfArM, Germany  
**Helena Van den Dungen**, Head Country Management CQA, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

Sponsors of clinical trials as well as their contractual partners are forced to implement effective quality assurance and quality control arrangements in order to meet the growing expectations regarding the quality of clinical trials and products. These arrangements need to cover a wide range of facilities, functions and processes. In the theme "Audit and Inspections", experiences of specific topics such as audits and inspections of e-CRFs and e-source data, data analysis, pharmacovigilance and quality aspects of Advanced Therapy Products will be shared. The presentations will also cover challenges related to the delegation of sponsor functions to CROs and other third parties, quality risk management tools and issue escalation. As more and more products are developed and marketed in a global setting, ethical and quality standards need to be harmonised to enable the effective planning and execution of clinical trials, audits and inspections. Representatives from EU, US and other Third Country Regulatory Authorities will discuss their international GCP inspection programmes, procedures and experiences. Over the last few years, European Competent Authorities have obtained increasing information about serious GCP breaches, including falsification and fraud. One session will discuss sponsor, investigator and patient responsibilities and how to detect, report and avoid those cases.

**Tuesday, March 24, 2009**

**09:00**

**Session 1**

#### INTERNATIONAL GCP INSPECTION EXPERIENCES

Session Co-Chairs:

**Gabriele Schwarz**, Head, GCP Inspection Services BfArM, Germany  
**Fergus Sweeney**, Principal Scientific Administrator, GCP and Pharmacovigilance Inspector, EMEA, EU

This session will provide insight into clinical trial regulations in place in various regions such as the US, the EU and the Far East. It will be discussed how and to what extent data generated during clinical trials conducted in foreign countries/regions will be accepted. Furthermore the mechanisms of conducting GCP inspection in foreign countries/regions will be presented from the various authorities' point of view. The overall goal of the session will be to contribute to the harmonisation process of globally aligning the regulatory requirements on clinical trials that are subject to filing for marketing authorisations at any authority.

Yuichi Kato, Director, Office of Conformity Audit, PMDA, Japan

David Lepay, Senior Advisor for Clinical Science, Science and Health Coordination, OC, FDA, USA

**11:00**

**Session 2**

#### THE DRUG SAFETY SYSTEM: CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

Session Chair:

**Helen Motamen**, Associate Director GCS&P Quality Assurance, UCB, UK

Regulatory authorities throughout the world heavily regulate activities of drug safety and pharmacovigilance. As a consequence of recent drug safety-related regulatory actions and revision of the Rules Governing Medicinal Products in the European Union, regulatory agencies have substantially increased their focus and investment for drug safety surveillance activities – not only of internal processes, but also in regard to supervision of industry. Failure to comply with these requirements may result in significant negative regulatory, legal, and public opinion impact.

#### Creating Pharmacovigilance Quality Assurance

Helen Motamen, Associate Director GCS&P Quality Assurance, UCB, UK

#### The Drug Safety System: Challenges and Opportunities for Small/Start-Up Companies

Thierry Hamard, Director, PV Focus, France

#### Safety Management during Clinical Trials: Challenges from a Regulator's Perspective

Emmanuelle Pinès, PV Inspector, Afssaps, France

**14:00**

**Session 3**

#### AUDITS AND INSPECTIONS ON e-CRFs AND e-SOURCE DATA

Session Chair:

**Teri E. Stokes**, Director, GXP International, USA

Computers are a necessary part of every clinical trial today and the role of Inspectors and Auditors has refocused from verifying paper CRFs, paper patient diaries, and other paper medical records to verifying e-CRFs, e-diaries, e-medical records, and a variety of other electronic source data.

Speakers in this session will share their experiences with auditing electronic source data and the EU GCP Inspectors' white paper on the inspection process for e-source data in clinical trials.

#### Detecting Fraud in E-Source Data - A CRO Auditor's View

Sabine Brunschoen-Harti, Associate Director Quality, Process & Training, Clinical Operations Central Europe, PAREXEL International GmbH, Berlin, Germany

#### Electronic Source Documents in Clinical Trials - Inspection Issues

Lisbeth Bregnhøj, Medicines Inspector, Danish Medicines Agency, Denmark

#### Electronic Data Quality: Challenges and Opportunity for CRA's and Study Teams

Teri E. Stokes, Director, GXP International, USA

**16:00**

**Session 4**

#### DELEGATION OF SPONSOR FUNCTIONS TO CROS AND OTHER THIRD PARTIES – HOW TO STAY ON TOP OF THINGS

Session Chair:

**Ferdinand Hundt**, Director, Clinical Operations, sanofi-aventis Deutschland GmbH, Germany

The session will discuss needs and expectations of sponsors in selecting suppliers and will cover the practicalities of getting things started. This will include topics like preferred providers, project progress, how changes are reflected and performance measured. Finally the collaboration between sponsor and vendors before, during and after audits will be discussed, focusing on how to audit processes to detect, correct and prevent failures.

#### A Risk-Based Approach to Supplier Selection

Siegfried Schmitt, Principal Consultant, PAREXEL, UK

#### What is Expected from Contracts and Technical Agreements?

Liz Hilton, Head of GCP Study Audit Management Africa/Middle East/Asia, Bayer, UK

#### How to Audit the Role of the Vendor in the Conduct of Outsourced Studies

Kristel van der Voorde, Director WW Regulatory Compliance – Europe, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Belgium

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Wednesday, March 25, 2009

09:00

Session 5

### SERIOUS GCP BREACHES INCLUDING FALSIFICATION AND FRAUD – HOW TO DETECT, COMMUNICATE AND AVOID THEM

Session Chair:

**Helena Van den Dungen**, Global Head Country Management CQA, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

The discovery of a serious GCP breach in the conduct of a clinical trial results in an often highly difficult and complex situation for both the sponsor as well as for the Regulatory Authorities. In such a situation, ensuring patient protection and safety is of prime importance. Likewise, it is important to assess the implication for the quality and trustworthiness of the clinical trial data at the site as well as for the whole trial. To contain the problem, evaluate its impact on patients and trial data and to secure as much of the trial data are equally challenging. How can sponsor companies and Regulatory Authorities work best together to limit the damage to patients and clinical trial data.

#### Serious GCP Breaches: How Can We Deal with the Situation?

Helena Van den Dungen, Global Head Country Management CQA, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

#### Auditing In Third World Countries

Alec Deighton, Senior Director, QA & Compliance, PharmaNet, UK

#### Misconduct in Clinical Trials: The French Experience

Pierre-Henri Bertoye, Inspectorate and Companies Associate Director, Afssaps, France

11:00

Session 6

### NEW APPROACHES TO QUALITY RISK MANAGEMENT AND RISK DETECTION

Session Chair:

**Beat Widler**, Global Head, Clinical Quality Assurance, F. Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Switzerland

This session will consider recurrence of critical inspection or audit findings even when established systems and processes are used or when processes are managed by experienced stakeholders; evidence that quality cannot be effectively ensured through auditing and other compliance activities. The session will review how FMEA methodology combined with 'intel-ligent' data mining allows for a proactive and systematic oversight of processes and data.

#### Quality Risk Management - A Novel Concept for Quality Management

Peter Schiemann, Quality Risk Management Project Leader, Clinical Quality Assurance, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., Switzerland

#### Mining Study Clinical Data for Knowledge-Based Audit Planning

Grant Simmons, Head, CQA Operations, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, USA

#### Dynamic Auditing

Robrecht Tistaert, Associate Director CQA, PPD International, Belgium

14:00

Session 7

### THE QUALITY OF ADVANCE THERAPY PRODUCTS- REGULATORY AND PRACTICAL CHALLENGES

Session Chair:

**Margarida Menezes Ferreira**, R & D Coordinator, INFARMED, I.P., Portugal; Biologicals Working Party and Cell Products Working Party Member, EMEA

Advanced therapy medicinal products such as cell-based therapies, gene therapy and tissue engineering have particular features related to the highly complex nature of the active substance and final product, their manufacturing process and to the delivery to the patient. For these highly diverse medicines EU legislation introduces a risk-based approach to building development, marketing authorisation documentation and risk management. The quality requirements for marketing authorisation of these products as ultimate goal will be addressed with special focus on cell-based products. Process validation for the clinical development of the now well-established biological products will be presented to provide a platform for the discussion on validation challenges and GMP issues for the advanced therapy products where existing concepts can be built upon to accommodate the challenges of process validation associated with advanced therapies.

#### Harmony in Diversity-Regulatory Challenges with the Quality of Advanced Therapies

Margarida Menezes Ferreira, R & D Coordinator, INFARMED, I.P., Portugal; Biologicals Working Party and Cell Products Working Party Member, EMEA

#### Process Validation During Clinical Development of Biological Medicinal Products

Cecil Nick, Vice President (Biotechnology), PAREXEL Consulting, Uxbridge UK

#### Common Issues Raised During the Inspection of Advanced Therapy Medicinal Product Manufacturing Sites - An Inspector's Viewpoint

Kevin Page, Medicines Inspector, GMP Inspections Team, MHRA, UK

16:00

Session 8

### AUDITS AND INSPECTIONS OF DATA MANAGEMENT AND ANALYSIS

Session Chair:

**Ursula Streicher-Saied**, Head of Global R & D Quality, Bayer Schering Pharma, Germany

The session will address an approach to auditing the data management function/procedures in a globally acting company. It will also give an insight in best practice observed in conducting data center audits and provide a checklist for conducting such audits in future. Furthermore, it will consider the inspector's perspective with regard to challenges and pitfalls in data analysis.

#### Data Management System Audit - Experiences and Lessons Learned

Evelin Hänisch, Senior Global System Auditor, Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Germany

#### Auditing Data Centers and Formal Testing Practices

Teri E. Stokes, Director, GXP International, USA

#### Challenges and Pitfalls in Data Analysis from an Inspector's Perspective

Katharina Kurpanek, GCP Inspector, GCP Inspection Services BfArM, Germany

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Theme 2

### Regulatory Challenges and Controversies - Balancing Access with Safety and Affordability Aspects

#### Theme Leaders:

**Kerstin Franzén**, Senior Director Worldwide Regulatory Policy & Intelligence, Pfizer AB, Sweden

**Dagmar Stará**, Head of the EU Affairs Coordination Unit, State Institute for Drug Control, Slovak Republic

Efforts are being made today to bring new treatments to the market in a timely manner, especially in areas where there are no or insufficient treatments available. At the same time, safety awareness is key and increasingly of importance. Another factor to consider is the affordability of medical treatments. The early access to new treatments must be sensibly balanced with safety considerations and affordability aspects in order to safeguard public health. This is the vision for the theme as these aspects have important implications for the regulatory environment and impact the regulatory process. The sessions will discuss different factors playing into this equation, such as new pharmacometric approaches and the related regulatory dialogue; the benefit-risk aspects and how to communicate this in a way that can be understood by the lay people; cost aspects. The regulatory challenges connected with this will be highlighted.

**Tuesday, March 24, 2009**

**09:00**

**Session 1**

#### SAFEGUARDING PUBLIC HEALTH: BALANCING ACCESS WITH SAFETY AND AFFORDABILITY ASPECTS

Session Co-Chairs:

**Hans-Georg Eichler**, Senior Medical Officer, EMEA, EU

**Kerstin Franzén**, Senior Director Worldwide Regulatory Policy & Intelligence, Pfizer AB, Sweden

Timing for access to market for new medicines is an act of balancing the need for early access for patients with the need for adequate information and data on safety. Access by patients is increasingly driven by considerations of affordability. Safety awareness has increased over the past few years but must be weighed against the efficacy; there may be risks associated with the disease if medical treatment is not used or is not available. In this session it will be discussed how this balance can be approached in a sensible way, seen from the perspective of different stakeholders.

#### Role, Responsibility and Duty of the Payer to the Public

**Ann-Christin Tauberman**, Director General, The Dental and Pharmaceutical Benefits Agency (TLV), Sweden

#### How Can the European Commission Ensure Equitable Access to Drugs?

**Martin Terberger**, Head of Pharmaceuticals Unit, DG Enterprise and Industry, European Commission, EU

#### How Can the Pharmaceutical Industry Contribute to a Reasonable Balance?

**Susan Forda**, Vice President, Regulatory Affairs, Eli Lilly & Company Ltd., UK

**11:00**

**Session 2**

#### THE CHANGING PERCEPTIONS OF THE BENEFIT/RISK BALANCE

Session Chair:

**Eric Abadie**, Chairman, CHMP, EMEA, EU, General Directorate, Afssaps, France

The benefit-risk balance concept is evolving and CHMP has announced that they will look at how the balance might be viewed in a quantitative way. The balance might also be viewed differently depending on who the stakeholder is and whether risk is perceived the same way by all. These questions will be discussed in the session where some perhaps provocative views will be presented.

#### Patients' Perception of Benefit / Risk - Does It Make Any Difference?

**Mary Baker**, President, European Parkinson's Disease Association (EPDA), UK

#### Regulators' Assessment of Benefit and Risk: Between Realism and Protection

**Bruno Flamion**, Chair Scientific Advice Working Party, CHMP, Professor Clinical Pharmacology, University of Namur, Belgium

#### Perception of Benefit and of Risk at Different Stages of a Product Lifecycle and its Consequences

**Paul Coplan**, Senior Director, Risk Management, Global Safety Surveillance, Epidemiology and Labelling, Wyeth Research, USA

**14:00**

**Session 3**

#### APPLICATIONS OF NOVEL PHARMACOMETRIC APPROACHES FOR INTERNAL DECISION MAKING AND REGULATORY SUBMISSION

Session Chair:

**Robert Hemmings**, Statistics Unit Manager, MHRA, UK and CHMP

The session will focus on how new pharmacometric approaches can bring value to drug development and to the regulatory process. What is the current experience within the industry and in which areas will these methods prove most fruitful in the future? How will agencies receive these novel approaches and what is the procedure for 'qualification' of these methods? The pros and cons of use for decision making will be brought out through consideration of case studies.

#### Pharmacometric Approaches to Drug Development in Paediatrics

**Gerard Pons**, Vice-Chair Paediatric Committee (PDCO), Head Clinical Pharmacology, University René Descartes, France

#### Modelling and Simulation for Decision-Making – PK / PD modelling

**Peter Milligan**, Head of Clinical Pharmacometrics, Pfizer Global Research & Development, UK

#### PK/PD Modelling to Inform Dose-Selection Trial with an Adaptive Design

**Amy Racine**, Biostatistician, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

**16:00**

**Session 4**

#### HOW CAN REGULATORS ENGAGE IN NOVEL DRUG DEVELOPMENT? REVISITING THE REGULATOR – INDUSTRY DIALOGUE

Session Chair:

**Per Nilsson**, Head of Strategic Clinical Development, Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Switzerland

The biomarker qualification process will be covered, how and when could it contribute to early, and safe, access. What would be the perceived benefit for patients? Would an EU-US cluster on biomarkers be an advantage? Should Japan be involved? What is the value of the different regulator meetings for the development process; briefing meetings SAWP, joint SA, etc.? Could there be any potential conflict of interest? There will be an update from PSTC.

#### Qualifications of Biomarkers - A Model for the Way Forward?

**Spiros Vamvakas**, Acting Deputy Head of Sector, Scientific Advice and Orphan Drugs, EMEA, EU

#### Biomarkers and Other Topics for Early Meeting. Is there a Value and Who Should You Ask?

**Bertil Jonsson**, Senior Expert, MPA, Sweden

#### Scientific Advice for Innovative Approaches to Therapy: How to Make Most Out of It

**Andrea Stefanie Braun-Scherhaug**, Head of Regulatory Affairs, Roche Pharma AG, Germany

#### Early SA: What Are Companies Actually Doing and Why (Not)? From SME to Large Pharma - The Consultant's View

**Olof Tydén**, Associate Professor, Partner, Eureda, Sweden

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Wednesday, March 25, 2009

09:00

Session 5

### BUILDING HTA INTO THE PROCESS: MEETING THE NEEDS OF LICENSING AUTHORITIES, PAYERS AND PATIENTS

Session Co-Chairs:

**Dagmar Stará**, Head of Registration Unit, State Institute for Drug Control, Slovak Republic

**Clare McGrath**, Senior Director Evidence Based Strategies, Medical Division, Pfizer Ltd., UK

This session will clarify the distinction between licensing and purchasing decision making. It will also explore whether there are opportunities for these distinct systems to learn from each other, methods and processes that might address some of their current issues and optimise public health in the EU including access to medicines. What has already been learned from the development of the licensing processes in terms of scientific standards and processes? What are the specific needs of purchasers and patients? Can all of these requirements be anticipated for a drug development programme that needs to think 15 years down the line?

The session will be organised in the form of a mini-panel with short presentations followed by a discussion.

### Marketing Authorisation and HTA: Purposes, Scientific Standards and Opportunities to Progress

Hans-Georg Eichler, Senior Medical Officer, EMEA, EU

### Meeting the Needs of the Payer Community in EU Countries

Ad R. Schuurman, Head of Reimbursement Department, the Health Care Insurance Board (CVZ), Chair MEDEV, The Netherlands

### Health Technology Assessment and Regulatory Review of a Product - What Are the Proper Legal Criteria?

Peter Bogaert, Partner, Covington & Burling LLP, Belgium

### Challenges and Opportunities in Meeting the Needs of Reimbursement and Licensing Authorities in the Development Process

Clare McGrath, Senior Director Evidence Based Strategies, Medical Division, Pfizer Ltd., UK

### Key Success Factors for an EU Cooperation on HTA

Jérôme Boehm, Head of Pharmaceuticals Sector, Health and Consumers Directorate General, European Commission, EU

11:00

Session 6

### ENABLING EARLY ACCESS

Session Chair:

**Mike Doherty**, Global Head, Pharma Regulatory Affairs, F. Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Switzerland

Conditional approvals, as well as accelerated assessment, are to be covered. If CA and AA will become used more often, do we need more specified treatment criteria, more narrow patient subpopulations? How would an extended use of CA and AA affect patient affordability? Across MSs? Who is the beneficiary: industry, patients or payers? What are the implications for the reimbursement evaluation; how can this be managed? Orphan drugs are of specific interest here; what is the use of the early approval if reimbursement is subsequently denied? The Nexium approach (few indications initially) or the Glivec approach (several indications initially); what might be the most suitable?

### Earlier Access to New Medicines - Myth or Reality?

Angelika Joos, Regulatory Policy Europe, Merck Sharp & Dohme (Europe) Inc., Belgium

### Conditional marketing Authorisations: Regulatory Opportunities and Challenges

Silvia Chioato, Director, Regulatory Strategy, Pfizer Italia SRL, Italy

### Too Early Approval?

Bertil Jonsson, Senior Expert, MPA, Sweden

### Panel with Francesco Pignatti, Scientific Administrator, Safety and Efficacy of Medicines, EMEA, EU

14:00

Session 7 - In cooperation with Themes 4 and 8

### COMMUNICATING BENEFIT – RISK TO THE PUBLIC: 12 YEARS AFTER THE ERICE DECLARATION, HOW MUCH PROGRESS HAS BEEN MADE?: A PANEL DISCUSSION

Session Chair Co-Chairs:

**Ragnar Löfstedt**, Professor, Director of King's Centre for Risk Management, King's College, UK

**June Raine**, Director, Division of Vigilance Risk Management of Medicines, MHRA, UK and Chair of Pharmacovigilance Working Party

Patients and the public rightly demand to know more about their medicines. The session will discuss how to communicate not only the risks connected to a medicine but also the effects? Can patients be educated to absorb and understand the information? Risk management including post-marketing activities is now an important part of the lifecycle management. Are the commitments of value for public health protection, or do we risk requesting commitments for academic purposes? What is the true benefit for the patient and how should we communicate this? Is there impact on the cost for a medicine?

June Raine, Director, Division of Vigilance Risk Management of Medicines, MHRA, UK and Chair of Pharmacovigilance Working Party

Tony Whitehead, UK Medical Director, sanofi-aventis, UK

François Houyez, Health Policy Officer, EURORDIS, France

16:00

Session 8

### REGULATORY IMPLICATIONS OF OUTSOURCING CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT TO EMERGING MARKETS

Session Chair:

**David Verbraska**, Vice President, Worldwide Regulatory Policy & Intelligence, Pfizer, Inc, USA.

This session will explore the regulatory challenges and opportunities related to the pharmaceutical industry's external sourcing of aspects of clinical trials in emerging markets. The speakers from a regulatory agency, third party sourcing company and industry will discuss the issues from their perspectives.

### A Regulator's View

Alar Irs, Deputy Director General, State Agency Medicine, Estonia

### Challenges, Risks and Benefits of Conducting Clinical Trials in Developing Regions

Graciela Racaro, Senior Director, Study Start-Up, The Americas, PAREXEL International, LLC, USA

### Clinical Development in New Territories – Expected and Unexpected Challenges

Per Nilsson, Head of Strategic Clinical Development, Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Switzerland

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Theme 3

### Clinical Research – Increased Complexity by Integration of Real Life Settings

#### Theme Leaders:

**Norbert Clemens**, Head Clinical Development, CRS Mannheim GmbH, Germany

**Alar Irs**, Deputy Director General, State Agency Medicine, Estonia

Everybody has to focus on relevant patient data today, as these are the driving forces for licensing, treatment and reimbursement decisions. Randomised clinical trials of good quality represent the most scientifically valid study design for evaluating the safety and efficacy of new medical treatments. However, for patient-relevant data, the usual pivotal clinical trial in its current form may not always represent the most appropriate tool for generating information on the safety and cost-related issues of medical treatments. Recently a patients' group started an open and non-blinded, patient-driven trial, and an approach that has amazing potential, but increased risks. Another hot topic is the first-in-man studies with biologicals post TGN1412. Considerable effort has been put into providing guidance, which now needs to be transferred into practice. The requirements for paediatric studies have not completely arrived at the operational level of clinical development. The sessions of this theme will discuss these and other topics of current interest in the clinical field and will provide up-to-date guidance on future clinical research.

**Tuesday, March 24, 2009**

**09:00**

**Session 1**

#### PAEDIATRIC TRIALS- BETTER MEDICINES FOR CHILDREN THROUGH REGULATORY EFFORTS

Session Chair:

**Holger Maria Rohde**, Head of Preclinical & Medical Affairs, PharmaLex GmbH, Germany

Better medicines for children are reaching a global dimension. Since January 2007, when the paediatric regulation came into force in the EU, paediatric drug development statements are obligatory for any marketing authorisation in the EU. Regulatory and clinical experts take the challenge to meet European requirements with clinical trial development plans and practical paediatric protocol planning in compliance with current legal demands. This session will cover the major issues from a regulatory affairs as well as from the clinical perspective, including aspects for waiver, referral, informed consent, patient recruitment and the ethics of paediatric trials and will provide insights into how the regulatory framework translates into practice.

#### Better Medicines for Children: A Dialogue Reaching Global Dimensions

Klaus Rose, Head Paediatrics, F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Switzerland

#### Clinical Trials in the Paediatric Population

Susannah Lyon, Regulatory Associate, PRA International, UK

#### Practical Paediatric Protocol Planning to Prevent Your Company Losing Time and Money

Jane Lamprill, Paediatric Research Consultant/Specialist Paediatric Medical Writer, Paediatric Research Consultancy, UK

#### EMEA Experiences

EMEA speaker to be confirmed

**11:00**

**Session 2**

#### PATIENT REPORTED OUTCOMES

Session Chair:

**Heike Schön**, Managing Director, CSG, Germany

Patient reported outcomes data are gaining an increasing importance in drug development, market authorisation and in real life evaluations. The session will consider the regulatory perspective and the integration of PROs into the different stages of drug development and lifecycle management. This conceptual approach will be taken further, Looking at the use of ePROs and their acceptance by the regulatory agencies.

#### Patient Reported Outcomes - A Regulatory Perspective for Europe

Mira Pavlovic, Head of Scientific Advice Unit, Afssaps, France

#### Integrating PRO into Clinical, Non-Interventional and Health Economic Studies

Peter K. Schädlich, Department Head Health Economics and Outcomes Research, IGES Institut GmbH, Germany

#### ePRO Soup to Nuts: The Application of ePRO in Clinical Trials

Keith W. Wenzel, Product Director, ePRO, Perceptive Informatics, USA

**14:00**

**Session 3**

#### INFECTIOUS DISEASES

Session Chair:

**Irja Lutsar**, Professor in Clinical Microbiology, Tartu University, Estonia

Challenges in antibacterial and antiretroviral drug development will be discussed. Special attention will be paid to the most recent changes in guidelines on antibacterial agents. The pros and cons of conducting placebo-controlled trials in patients with respiratory tract infections will be critically analysed.

#### Challenges in the Development of HIV Products - Case Study: Maraviroc, A Novel CCR5 Antagonist for the Treatment of HIV-1

Elna van der Ryst, Senior Director, Clinical R&D, Pfizer Global Research and Development, UK

#### Important Issues to Be Considered in the Revision of the CHMP Guideline on Antibacterial Agents

Mair Powell, Senior Clinical Assessor, MHRA, UK

#### Placebo-Controlled Studies and Non-Inferiority Design in Respiratory Tract Infections

Ian Friedland, Chief Medical Officer, Calixa Pharmaceuticals Inc., USA

**16:00**

**Session 4**

#### FIRST-IN-MAN: CLINICAL TRIALS ISSUES

Session Chair:

**Walter Haefeli**, Medical Director, University of Heidelberg, Germany

First-in-Man applications of potential high-risk medicinal products are strictly regulated. In this environment the planning and conduct of studies, especially with biologicals is a complex and costly enterprise. This session will elaborate on innovative strategies for First-in-Man applications, on the regulatory view and on practical issues of these trials.

#### Innovative Strategies to Accelerate Early Clinical Proof of Concept

Robert Butz, Vice President Medical & Scientific Affairs, BioVail Technologies Ltd., USA

#### Safety Protocols in Practice and the Consequences for First-in-Man Studies with Biologicals

Walter Haefeli, Medical Director University of Heidelberg, Germany

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Wednesday, March 25, 2009

09:00

Session 5

### PATIENT RECRUITMENT

Session Chair:

**Andreas Reimann**, CEO, Cystic Fibrosis Association, Germany

Recruiting patients is one of the most critical success factors for running clinical trials efficiently. Different ways of attracting, recruiting and retaining patients have been successfully used. The session will provide an overview on these approaches. Participants will benefit from the expertise and different perspectives of the speakers from industry, a CRO and a non-for-profit network. After having attended this workshop, participants will be enabled to use up-to-date techniques in patient recruitment and retention when tackling day-to-day business.

#### Improving Patient Retention and Compliance on Clinical Trials through Patient Support Programmes

Radzhika Raizada, Senior Consultant, Infosys Technologies, UK

#### Global Patient Recruitment and Retention

Janet Jones, Senior Director, Strategic Patient Access, Kendle, UK

#### Accessing Patients through Disease-Specific Networks

Andreas Reimann, CEO, Cystic Fibrosis Association, Germany

11:00

Session 6

### NOVEL APPROACHES IN CLINICAL RESEARCH

Session Chair:

**Khazal Paradis**, Senior Vice President Clinical Research, Genzyme Europe BV, The Netherlands

This session will give an overview of the trends that have been observed in clinical research; that clinical trials are becoming more complex both for the sponsors and the investigators, ultimately leading to increased resources and costs. In addition to looking at what can be done to decrease the burden of clinical trials, new developmental tools will be presented that can enhance the quality and reliability of the data that are obtained.

#### Protocol Design Trends and Their Impact on Clinical Trial Performance

Kenneth A. Getz, Senior Research Fellow, Center for the Study of Drug Development, Tufts University; Chairman, CISCPR, USA

#### Wireless Transmission of Spirometric Measurements to ePRO Devices Used by Subjects With Asthma

Stephan Raymond, Chief Scientific Officer and Quality Officer, PHT Corporation, USA

#### Drug Telemonitoring for Compliance and Pharmacodiligence

Barbara Rapchak, CEO, Leap of Faith Technologies, Inc., USA

14:00

Session 7

### MULTINATIONAL TRIALS IN CHINA, AFRICA AND SOUTH AMERICA

Session Co-Chairs:

**Jean-Paul Deslypere**, Business Development Manager, Life Sciences - Asia Pacific, Singapore

**Fergus Sweeney**, Principal Scientific Administrator, GCP and Pharmacovigilance Inspector, EMEA, EU

Currently only a small percentage of clinical trials are conducted in the emerging markets, but year to year growth rates are higher than in the US or Europe. There are many good reasons to conduct clinical trials in Africa, Asia and Latin America but on the other hand one should only start working in these continents well prepared. Doing clinical trials in these countries has its pitfalls and particularities, which will be presented and discussed in this session.

### The Importance of a Contract Research Organisation (CRO) in Latin America: Professional Services for Partnering Solutions to the Pharmaceutical, Biotechnology and Healthcare Industries

Marlene Llopiz-Aviles, Regional Director for Latin America, Venn Life Sciences Clinical Research de Mexico, S.A. de C.V., Mexico

### The Role and Responsibility of a CRA in China

James Fan, Associate Medical Director, Asia Pacific Region, ICON Clinical Research Ltd., Singapore

### The Added Value of Including Emerging Markets in Global Clinical Trials

Renée Moore, President Global Operations, Progenitor International Research, Germany

16:00

Session 8

### STRATEGY OF CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT

Session Chair:

**Christoph Gleiter**, Director Coordination Centre for Clinical Trials, CenTrial GmbH, Germany

Guidelines provide a framework for the conduct of clinical trials but are subject to interpretation by their users. At the same time scientific facts have to be incorporated in the strategic planning of the development of a new entity. The session will focus on the implementation and interpretation of the regulatory framework and its adaptation to the scientific goals of a series of clinical trials during clinical development.

### Mapping the Shift from Transactional to Partner Based Outsourcing

Kenneth A. Getz, Senior Research Fellow, Center for the Study of Drug Development, Tufts University; Chairman, CISCPR, USA

### Global Oncology Product Development: Strategies for Successful Selection of Patient Populations and Study Endpoints in Early to Late Phase Clinical Development

Marga Oortgiesen, Senior Director, Integrated Drug Development, Cato Research, Ltd., USA

### Executing Phase IV Studies - Operational Challenges

Hugo Stephenson, President Strategic Research and Safety, Quintiles Transnational, USA

### Academic Trials in Oncology Drug Development

Francesco Pignatti, Scientific Administrator, Safety and Efficacy of Medicines, EMEA, EU

*Did you know?*

The Drug Information Association is a neutral, non-profit member association that is funded from meeting and membership dues.

To preserve its neutrality, DIA does not accept any kind of sponsorship.



This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Theme 4

### Safety throughout the Product Lifecycle: Strategies to Better Protect Public Health

#### Theme Leaders:

**Monika Pietrek**, Drug and Safety Expert, Germany

**Rosalind Coulson**, PhC, MSc, FR, PharmS, UK

In December 2007 the European Commission launched a public consultation on a strategy to better protect public health by strengthening and rationalising EU Pharmacovigilance. This is the latest of several regulatory initiatives to improve the safety of medicinal products. Both health authorities and the pharmaceutical industry are endeavouring to regain the confidence of the patients as well as the public. The different aspects identified should contribute to safer products: better understanding of disease mechanisms is aimed at the development of personalised medicines, specific risk minimisation activities and increased scrutiny of post-authorisation commitments additionally will support the protection of patients and consumers. The role of consumer reports has also been recognised in the EU, and this may provide further insight into the effects of medicinal products when exposed to large populations.

While industry and regulators are implementing the new regulatory requirements, globalisation and exploding costs of drug development and health care are the other major forces requiring pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies to re-adjust their working practices. Off-shore working, outsourcing and new technologies are considered to provide adequate solutions to such challenges. However, the impact of these changes will only be measurable after a number of years of experience. Therefore, it is important that the major stakeholders agree on common objectives to support public health. In particular, societies need to determine which treatments should be provided, and how benefits, risks and costs should be balanced.

Though public health and the availability of medicinal products remain a national responsibility, the safety of medicinal products is determined globally through e.g. clinical development, manufacturing, marketing and surveillance. The regulators in the US and the EU have acknowledged the need for harmonisation and simplification. The progress, however, will depend on the scope of such efforts and the time frame for implementation as well as on the involvement of health authorities in other jurisdictions, the involvement of other stakeholders and ultimately on effective communication.

**Tuesday, March 24, 2009**

**09:00**

**Session 1**

#### SURVEILLANCE: DISEASES AND PHARMACOVIGILANCE - HOW GOOD ARE OUR BASELINE DATA?

Session Chair:

**Susana Perez Gutthann**, Vice President, Global Head Epidemiology, RTI Health Solutions, Spain

The development, safety and risk management of therapeutics, particularly those for complex, chronic diseases requires strong information on the natural history of disease, patient and treatments characterisation, and background incidence rates of events occurring during the course of the disease. While general disease frequencies are often available, there is scarce information at the levels of granularity and quality required for decision making. The session will cover:

- An introduction to the current situation, and key challenges with examples
- A presentation for the newly set up European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) to describe the European-wide surveillance system for infectious diseases. This is of relevance, due to the Vol 9A 5.9. requirement to handle case reports on infections as serious adverse events.
- An overview of the sources of data with focus on Europe. What are the options, including population disease statistics, population databases, cohorts/registries, case-control network?

#### Challenges when Baseline Disease Epidemiology is Required

Marie-Ann Wallander, Senior Epidemiologist, Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Germany

#### The ECDC: Overview and Contributions to Infectious Disease in Risk Management

Piotr Kramarz, Deputy Head of Scientific Advice Unit, European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), Sweden

#### Sources of Disease Epidemiology in Europe

Susana Perez Gutthann, Vice President, Global Head, RTI Health Solutions, Spain

**11:00**

**Session 2**

#### SAFETY SURVEILLANCE PLANS: STRATEGIES FOR MONITORING SAFETY DURING THE DEVELOPMENT LIFECYCLE

Session Chair:

**Andrzej Czarnecki**, Director, Deputy Qualified Person for Pharmacovigilance, Global Product Safety, Eli Lilly and Company Ltd., UK

Surveillance plans are strongly associated with the pharmacovigilance plans compiled for risk management programmes (RMP). They should be well implemented during drug development as part of developmental RMP (DRMP) and later in the post-marketing RMPs. Drug safety during the product lifecycle as a concept was introduced over 20 years ago. It took some time to incorporate it into everyday practice of industry and regulators. This session will present, from different perspectives, views on monitoring safety during the product lifecycle and its impact on handling of safety.

#### Safe Use: Improving the Safety of Medicines

Nancy Smith, Director, Office of Training and Communications, FDA, USA

#### Adopting a Lifecycle Approach to Safety Risk Management

Christoph Hofmann, Drug Safety Officer, Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Germany

#### Interim Epidemiological Analyses of Selected Endpoints: A Way to Detect and Anticipate Potential Safety Issues

Alejandro Arana, Partner, Risk Management Resources España, SL, Spain

**14:00**

**Session 3**

#### SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE SAFETY OF BIOLOGICALS AND BIOSIMILARS

Session Chair:

**Glyn Belcher**, Vice President Drug Safety and Risk Management International, Biogen Idec Ltd., UK

Of newly approved medicinal products, an increasing number are biologics. In addition, biosimilars have now been approved in the EU and the FDA is consulting concerning its approach to licensing biosimilar products. This session will discuss safety issues specific to biological products both in the clinical development phase and the post marketing phase and including risk management for such products. The session will seek to address the different safety aspects of relevance to innovative products and to biosimilars.

#### Investigation of Post-Marketing Safety Issues with a Biologic and Recommendations for Post-Marketing Safety Monitoring of Biosimilars

Alexander Berghout, Head Global Clinical Research & Development, Sandoz Biopharmaceuticals, Hexall AG, Germany

#### Biosimilars - How to Manage Risks

Lia McLean, Practice Head, Process Design and Implementation, PopeWoodhead and Associates, UK

#### Specific Safety Issues to Be Considered During Clinical Development and Marketing of Biologics

Christian Schneider, Acting Head of Division EU Cooperation/Microbiology, Chairman Biosimilars Working Party, Paul-Ehrlich-Institute, Germany

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

16:00

Session 4

### PERFORMANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL IN PHARMACOVIGILANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT – TOO MUCH OR TOO LITTLE? WHAT IS THE IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH?

Session Chair:

**Sarah Daniels**, S Daniels Consulting, Ltd. UK

This session will examine performance and quality control (QC) in pharmacovigilance and risk management from three perspectives: Firstly, the role of QC, and how much is optimal in the interests of public health. Secondly which performance indicators really play a part in ensuring public health? Finally, a thought-provoking look at the airline industry, drawing parallels with the pharma industry and considering what, if anything, the pharma industry could learn.

#### Applying QA/QC to PV from a Holistic Perspective: Focusing on the Critical

Nigel Cryer, Interim Head of Global Quality Manufacturing & Supply Chain, Norgine Pharmaceuticals, UK

#### The Human Element: Asset or Liability? The Limitations We Pose on Complex Systems

Tor Krokstad, Senior Consultant, HUCON, Norway

#### Monitoring Quality throughout the Lifecycle: Signal Detection, Safety Risk Management and Benefit-Risk Assessment

Philippe van der Auwera, Global Head of Drug Safety and EU QPPV, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Switzerland

**Wednesday, March 25, 2009**

09:00

Session 5

### EU-HARMONISED PHARMACOVIGILANCE REQUIREMENTS VERSUS GLOBAL PHARMACOVIGILANCE – SUPPLEMENT OR CONTRADICTION?

Session Chair:

**Vicki Edwards**, Senior Director European Pharmacovigilance, Abbott Laboratories Ltd., UK

The session will aim to provide an industry and regulatory view of:

- Which initiatives are working well in the interests of harmonisation
- Which impediments exist for further harmonisation
- Why have ICH initiatives not solved the problem?
- What is different about the current EU legislative proposals that will secure success this time?

#### Harmonisation in Pharmacovigilance - an Industry Perspective

Karen Pattenden, Senior Director, Gilead Sciences International Ltd., UK

#### Current Status of International Harmonisation and Collaboration in Pharmacovigilance

Peter Arlett, Head of Sector for Pharmacovigilance and Risk Management, EMEA, EU

#### Challenges for the Industry

Vicki Edwards, Senior Director European Pharmacovigilance, Abbott Laboratories Ltd., UK

11:00

Session 6

### SAFETY DECISION MAKING – HOW MUCH SCIENCE IS DEPLOYED? AN UPDATE ON METHODOLOGY

Session Chair:

**Rosalind Coulson**, PhC, MSc, FR, PharmS, UK

One of the key factors for achieving a successful outcome to the application for a marketing application and to the continuance of the product on the market is the decision process associated with the safety assessment of benefit-risk. The importance of this process cannot be underestimated both to the benefit of public health and the innovator of the product and yet there is currently no

agreed approach on a methodology to quantify the overall benefit-risk balance to aid more accurate decision making. This session will discuss both the current position and thinking and explore potential methodology to improve consistency and transparency in the decision-making process.

#### The Regulatory Challenges in Identifying a Changing Benefit-Risk Balance in the Post-Marketing Phase of the Product Lifecycle: Consistency, Transparency and Communication of the Benefit-Risk Decision

June Raine, Director, Division of Vigilance Risk Management of Medicines, MHRA, UK and Chair of Pharmacovigilance Working Party

#### When Are Observational Studies Good for Decision-Making?

Nancy Dreyer, Senior Vice President, Chief Scientific Affairs, Outcome, USA

#### Optimal Methodology both to Establish and Support Continuous Evaluation of the Benefit-Risk Balance: A Dream or Reality?

Speaker invited

14:00

Session 7

### DRUG SAFETY PERSONNEL – WHAT QUALIFICATION AND HOW MUCH EDUCATION ARE NEEDED? WHERE DO REGULATORS AND INDUSTRY FIND TALENT?

Session Chair:

**Monika Pietrek**, Drug Development and Safety Expert, Germany

The steep increase in workload, enhanced risk management requirements and the evolving challenges associated with more complex biological compounds have triggered a great demand for suitably qualified drug safety personnel. However, no international curriculum of drug safety qualifications and training exists. This session will focus on the needs, both current and future, and the opportunities for drug safety staff, describe a drug safety training programme and provide recommendations for successful recruitment.

#### Drug Safety Personnel - Trends in Industry and at Regulatory Authorities

Arthur Meiners, Senior Medical Advisor, Benefit & Risk Management Services, Inc., A Division of Janssen Pharmaceutica NV, Belgium

#### Drug Safety Training and Professional Education – A Perspective

Monika Pietrek, Drug Development and Safety Expert, Germany

#### The Successful Placement of Drug Safety Personnel

Carl Metzdorff, Partner, ACES Healthcare, Belgium

16:00

Session 8

### POST-MARKETING STUDIES – COMMITMENTS AND REALITY

Session Chair:

**Nancy Dreyer**, Senior Vice President, Chief Scientific Affairs, Outcome, USA

Post-Authorisation Safety Studies (PASS) to identify and quantify safety risks for marketed products offer a strong approach to enhancing drug safety through systematic signal detection/investigation, but they also present an administrative nightmare for businesses. Population studies for PASS commitments can be an important resource that, with careful stewardship, is useful for safety and other business needs. This session will describe tools and resources, experience to date, including differences between EU and US approaches, and some controversies.

#### Practical Aspects: An Investigator's Perspective

Saad Shakir, Director, Drug Safety Research Unit, UK

#### Generating Evidence in the EU and US

Annalisa Rubino, Director of Pharmacoeconomic Research, Outcome Sciences Inc., UK

#### A Regulator's Perspective

Peter Arlett, Head of Sector for Pharmacovigilance and Risk Management, EMEA, EU

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Theme 5

### Knowledge Management – The Key to Inexpensive, Timely, Safe and Effective Drug Development and Approval

#### Theme Leader:

**John Wise**, Head of Informatics, Daiichi Sankyo, UK

This theme, broad in scope, will consider knowledge management (KM) across the pharma R&D value chain from clinical candidate selection through to dossier submission and review. It sets out to provide value for senior management wishing to catch the key strengths and concerns of the discipline while providing KM practitioners with a state-of-the-art update on the status of their discipline, its opportunities and future direction.

Key topics will be covered ranging from a review of current standards appropriate to KM and their near-term evolution, to mandatory and desirable “electronic” procedures with the EMEA and European National Competent Authorities, including a detailed assessment of the status and use of the eCTD and PIM. The urgent need better to integrate and mine phenotypic and genotypic information during drug development will be addressed. The potential for exploitation of KM to optimise the myriad processes supporting product cross-licensing will be examined by industry experts. The challenge of exploiting knowledge throughout the drug development lifecycle to support the construction of drug risk management plans - now an essential part of a marketing application - will be covered, leading up to a tour d’horizon of how effective innovation - so sorely needed in today’s hard-pressed biopharmaceutical industry - can be encouraged, initiated and enabled by KM. The theme will culminate in a key-note concluding address challenging the participants to consider the validity of the cry “the Chief Information Officer is dead, long live the Chief Knowledge Officer!”

**Tuesday, March 24, 2009**

**09:00**

**Session 1**

#### THE EU LANDSCAPE

Session Chair:

**Rene Ziegler**, Strategic IT Projects, Novartis International AG, Switzerland

This is a scene-setting session describing the landscape of working groups (industry, industry/agency, agency) on KM-related topics including emerging standards and a more in-depth review of the significance of ICH eCTD and the RPS.

#### Using KM to Spark Innovation and Raise Its Value

Steve Gardner, Senior Consultant, BioLauncher Ltd., UK

#### The Evolving Face of Electronic Interchange in the Regulatory Arena: Where Are We Heading and Why?

Andrew Marr, Director, Global eRegulatory Development, Global Regulatory Operations, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

#### From Data to Evidence and Knowledge Creation: Are the Regulators More Innovative than Pharma?

Isabelle de Zegher, Belgium

**11:00**

**Session 2**

#### THE “ELECTRONIC-ONLY” CENTRALISED PROCEDURE - NOW A REALITY

Session Chair:

**Andrew Marr**, Director, Global eRegulatory Development, Global Regulatory Operations, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

In February 2008, the EMEA announced its plan to implement the electronic-only submission of information in support of marketing authorisation applications in the centralised procedure by January 2009 and the use of the Electronic Common Technical Document (eCTD) as the required format by July 2009.

#### Towards the Paperless Centralised Procedure: Now a Reality

Claire Holmes, Project Management, Communications and Networking, PIM Project Manager, EMEA, EU

#### Transitioning to an e-only Centralised Procedure. A Case Study from a Larger Company

Adam Aparacio, Head, Global Regulatory Operations, Merck Serono, Germany

#### Transitioning to an e-only Centralised Procedure. A Case Study from a Smaller Company

Glynis Archibold, Head, Regulatory Operations, Norgine, UK  
Ruth Stone, Director, Comply Services, UK

**14:00**

**Session 3**

#### TOWARDS “ELECTRONIC-ONLY” MUTUAL RECOGNITION, DECENTRALISED AND NATIONAL PROCEDURES.

Session Chair:

**Geoffrey Williams**, Site Head of Regulatory Operations, Roche Products Ltd., UK.

Progress towards implementation of electronic-only submissions in procedures other than the Centralised Procedure has been limited in most Member States. Experience from a Member State that has progressed significantly will be reported, together with that from a Member State at the initiation of transition. Experience of running a multi-state procedure such as an eCTD and the challenges and opportunities that it provides will be shared.

#### Towards the “Electronic-Only” MRP, DCP and National Procedures – An Agency Viewpoint

Christa Wirthumer-Hoche, Head, Unit for Marketing Authorisation and Lifecycle Mgt of Medicinal Products, AGES PharmMed, Austria

#### Transitioning to Electronic-Only Submissions in the MRP, DCP and National Procedures: A Case Study for a Large Pharma Company

Alastair Nixon, GlaxoSmithKline

#### Transitioning to Electronic-Only Submissions in the MRP, DCP and National Procedures: A Case Study for a Small Company Working with a Services Organisation

Sibylle Teuchmann, Managing Director, Exalon GmbH, Germany

**16:00**

**Session 4**

#### PRODUCT INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (PIM) - TOWARDS FULL IMPLEMENTATION

Session Chair:

**Stephen Hasler**, Vice President, Global Regulatory Operations, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

The objective of PIM is to increase the efficiency of the management and exchange of product information (Summary of Product Characteristics, package leaflet and labelling) by all parties involved in the evaluation process. Where are we with respect to the Centralised Procedure and where are we going with the Decentralised, Mutual Recognition and National Procedures?

#### Full Implementation of PIM: Progress and Plans from an Agency Perspective

Timothy Buxton, Head of Sector, Project Management, Communications and Networking Unit, EMEA, EU

#### Experience of PIM as an Applicant: What is Needed for Full Implementation?

Leigh Sandwell, Associate Director, E-Regulatory, Pfizer Worldwide Regulatory Operations, UK

#### Challenges to Overcome: A Software Vendor’s Perspective

Andy Glemser, Chief Technology Officer, Glemser Technologies, USA

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

Wednesday, March 25, 2009

09:00

Session 5

#### KEY INITIATIVES IN DRUG DEVELOPMENT OPTIMISATION

Session Chair:

**John Wise**, Head of Informatics, Daiichi Sankyo, UK

Much needs to be accomplished to make clinical development quicker and cheaper. Integrating the electronic Health Record with EDC, merging phenotypic information with genotypic information and the use of modelling and simulation techniques can all contribute to optimising key processes within this much challenged domain.

#### Timely, High Quality Electronic Data in Clinical Trials - From Fiction to Reality

Gudrun Zahlmann, Director Imaging for Pharma, Siemens AG, Healthcare Sector Image and Knowledge Management – IKM, Germany

#### Modelling & Simulation - a Key Discipline to Quicker, Better, Cheaper Drug Development

Ferdinand Rombout, Director Modelling and Simulation, Daiichi Sankyo Development, Ltd.

#### Pharmacogenomics - a Non-Discretionary Discipline in Tomorrow's Drug Development

Lloyd Curtis, Global Clinical Safety & Pharmacovigilance, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

11:00

Session 6

#### RISK MANAGEMENT AND PHARMACOVIGILANCE - BETWEEN A REGULATORY ROCK AND THE LITIGATION HARD PLACE

Session Chair:

**Uwe Trinks**, Chief Information Officer, Sentrx, USA

Volume 9A of the Rules Governing Medicinal Products in the European Union: "Guidelines on Pharmacovigilance for Medicinal Products for Human Use" is demanding and in significant part requires support from knowledge management and its associated technologies deployed in a regulatory-compliant manner. From the transaction processing and reporting of Individual Case Safety Reports, through signal detection, to the support of the product risk management plan (RMP), KM needs to be involved and exploited.

#### The Challenge to Create a Global Regulatory Tracking Tool and Managing an Intelligence Database

Sharon Kinning, CTrack Manager, Quintiles, UK

#### Knowledge Management: Can We Improve the Signal Detection and Evaluation Processes

Trevor Gibbs, Executive Vice President, Chief Medical Officer, ii4sm, Switzerland

#### The Regulatory Framework for Risk Management - From the European PASS to the FDA REMS

Uwe Trinks, Chief Information Officer, Sentrx, USA

14:00

Session 7

#### PRODUCT PARTNERING: THE EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT OF INFORMATION ASSETS

Session Chair:

**Eldin Rammell**, Managing Director & Principal Consultant, Rammell Consulting Limited, UK

Product cross-licensing provides a very significant contribution to R&D productivity. Fundamental knowledge management processes are essential to the success of this activity, including the optimal presentation of intellectual business assets to a prospective purchaser, enabling electronic due diligence and the effective management of business assets – in a regulatory compliant manner – as a multiplicity of partners contribute to the development of a compound.

#### Critical Success Factors for the Management of Corporate Intellectual Property to Support Acquisition and In-Licensing Activities

Frank Hultschig, Information and Records Management Site Lead, Pfizer Ltd., UK

#### Effective Use of Technology for Knowledge Management

Chris Beckmann, Director, International DataSite, Merrill Corporation, Germany

#### Pitfalls to Avoid – Is This Your Experience with Product Licensing?

Jean Samuel, Director, STEP-IN Management Ltd., UK, 1996 RMS Records Manager of the Year

16:00

Session 8

#### KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT & INNOVATION

Session Chair:

**Sue Jackson**, Vice President, Business Development, Versant EuroVentures, UK

Innovation is much needed in the sorely pressed R&D domain. Many imaginative uses of KM are being deployed to support and create innovation in R&D and some key examples will be presented, including coverage of the important Innovative Medicines Initiative (IMI) created by the partnership of the European Community and EFPIA. A challenge will be offered to CIOs to re-invent themselves as CKOs – Chief Knowledge Officers.

#### Open Innovation in the Contemporary Biopharmaceutical Industry

Speaker invited

#### From CIO through CKO back to CIO - But the Last "I" stands for "Innovation"!

Guy Henninger, Senior Vice President, Business Development, Vertical\*i, USA

Experience

Personal Growth  
and Recognition

Become a DIA

Volunteer

[www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org)



This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Theme 6

### Medical Device Drug Combination: The Medical Opportunities and Regulatory Challenges of the 21st Century

#### Theme Leaders:

**Andreas Grund**, General Manager, GCP-Service, Germany

**Sabina Hoekstra-van den Bosch**, Senior Advisor, Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport, The Netherlands

The pharmaceutical and medical devices sectors could be considered as two separate sectors, which differ in history, culture, organisational structure, legislation and even in language. However, since the traditional boundaries between medical devices and drugs are blurring, there is a need for improvement in communication between the two sectors. Nowadays, the pharmaceutical and the device worlds meet each other in the combination products of drugs and devices and in the so-called 'borderline products'.

This theme will analyse the current similarities and differences between the drug and the device regulatory system and will focus on possibilities for working together on both the operational and regulatory levels, e.g. in clinical research, in adverse event reporting and in market access. This theme will also reflect the most recent developments in device regulation, such as the changes resulting from EU-Directive 2007/47 and from more recent initiatives. New and emerging technologies will have a huge impact on product development in both the pharmaceutical and the device sector and will result in many new products, some of which will be difficult to characterise as either a pharmaceutical or a medical device. New and emerging technologies could result in a 'therapeutic shift' from drugs to devices and vice versa. This theme will highlight some interesting developments. We will also proactively consider what efforts need to be made to harmonise the sectors and how the future regulation of pharmaceuticals and devices could be developed in order to offer the best of both worlds to both pharmaceuticals and medical devices.

**Tuesday, March 24, 2009**

**09:00**

**Session 1**

#### NEW AND UPDATED REGULATIONS: HOW DO THEY AFFECT THE INTERFACE BETWEEN DRUGS AND DEVICES?

Session Chair:

**Hugo Hurts**, Director of Pharmaceutical Affairs and Medical Technology, Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport, The Netherlands

This session will highlight the implications of the recent Review of the European Medical Device Directives, resulting in Directive 2007/47, for the interface between drugs and devices. Furthermore, in view of the announced recast of the Medical Device Directives, prospects for the (near) future of the drug-device interface will be explored.

#### The Recast of the Medical Device Directives and the Interface between Drugs and Devices

Georgette Lalis, Director ENTR/F, European Commission, EU

#### European Medical Device Regulation on the Move?

John Wilkinson, Chief Executive, Eucomed, Belgium

#### Global Developments in Drug and Device Regulation

David Feigal, Vice President, Global Regulatory Affairs, Amgen, USA

**11:00**

**Session 2**

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEMS FOR DRUG DEVICE COMBINATION MANUFACTURERS: EXPERIENCES OF QA MANAGER AND AUDITORS

Session Chair:

**Haydar Jaafar**, General Devices Group Manager, BSI Group, UK

Is a single Quality System (QS) approach for the manufacturing of combination products possible? Medical Device Quality System (EN ISO 13485) and Current Good Manufacturing Practice (CGMP) regulations overlap considerably in content and intent. Many facilities operate under just one of the relevant quality systems since compliance with both sets of regulations can be generally be achieved by either means. In this session we will show how medical devices manufacturers of combination products can demonstrate compliance with the applicable requirements under the Medical Devices Directive 93/42/EEC. A representative from a Notified Body will outline the challenges facing the QS of combination products, followed by a manufacturer's successful experience in this field. The last speaker, a medical device assessor, working for a Notified Body, will give an overview of auditing manufacturers of combination products.

#### Quality Challenges for Combination Products - A Notified Body Viewpoint

Gert Bos, Principal Certification Manager for Medical Devices, KEMA Quality B.V, The Netherlands

#### The Experience of a Medical Device Manufacturer of Operating a Quality Management System for Device Drug Combination Products

John Wilkins, Quality & Regulatory Affairs Manager, Insense Ltd., UK

#### Prospective Auditing Manufacturing Processes of a Device/Drug Combination Product Manufacturer

Stewart Brain, Principal Microbiologist/Team Manager, Healthcare, BSI Group, UK

**14:00**

**Session 3**

#### EXPANDING OUR REGULATORY HORIZON TO DELIVER DEVICE AND DRUG COMBINATION PRODUCTS SUCCESSFULLY TO MARKET: WHERE COULD DRUGS AND DEVICES MEET?

Session Chair:

**Sabina Hoekstra-van den Bosch**, Senior Advisor, Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport, The Netherlands

Combination products are subject to quality requirements from both the pharmaceutical and medical device regulatory regimens and could benefit from better coherence between these regimens. This session will analyse the existing regulatory approaches for quality in both the pharmaceutical and the medical device regulatory systems, compare these approaches and identify common elements. Possibilities to use these common elements as a starting point for improving coherence between both regulatory systems will also be explored.

#### The Pharmaceutical Approach: ICH Q8, Q9, Q10 and Quality-by-Design

Jacqueline Schumacher, Research Fellow, Global CMC, Pfizer, USA

#### ICH and GHTF: Does Freedom Oblige?

Michael Gropp, Vice President, Global Regulatory Strategy, Medtronic Inc., USA

#### ICH and ISO: Same Game, Different Rules

Jos Kraus, Senior Inspector, Health Care Inspectorate, The Netherlands

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

16:00

Session 4

### ROUNDTABLE: THE SPIRIT OF ONE TEAM CREATED FROM TWO WORLDS - THE KEY TO SUCCESSFUL DEVELOPMENT OF DEVICES/DRUG COMBINATION PRODUCTS.

Session Chair:

**Dario Pirovano**, Consultant - Regulatory and Technical Affairs, EUCOMED, Brussels, Belgium

Health technology is moving fast towards products which benefit from the innovations introduced both in the medical technology and in the medicinal product sectors. This development demands urgently a comprehensive, and possibly global, regulatory model which will allow the timely availability for patients of products in line with the scientific developments. In order to do so, the benefits from the consolidated regulatory regimes for devices and medicinal products shall be used as a solid basis for developing an appropriate combination product regulatory regime, which takes into account the differences between the "two worlds". This session will explore the current work ongoing in this direction.

#### Recent Developments: GHTF and European Plans

Brian R. Matthews, Senior Director, EC Registration, Alcon Laboratories Ltd., UK

#### BfArM Experience with the Consultation Procedure and Implementation Plan for Directive 2007/47/EC

Dirk Wetzels, Head, Medical Devices Division, BfArM, Germany

## Wednesday, March 25, 2009

09:00

Session 5

### BORDERLINES BETWEEN DEVICES AND OTHER PRODUCT CATEGORIES: OPPORTUNITY OR MYSTERY?

Session Chair:

**Dr. Luisa Carvalho**, Director, Infarmed, Portugal

The medical device world is one of fast innovation and development. The rules applied should be able to cope with regulators, industry and patients' needs. Discussing the borderline issues is essential to ensure the quality, efficacy, safety and availability of medical devices. In this session, borderlines of medical devices with adjacent product categories, such as pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, biocides and other healthcare-regulated products will be explained and discussed.

#### Borderlines: Don't Fence Me In

Peter M. Lassoff, Vice President Europe, PAREXEL Consulting, UK

#### Are Boundaries Clear Enough? The System Explained

David Jefferys, Vice President, Global Regulatory, Eisai Europe Ltd., UK

#### Aesthetic Implants - Towards a New Legal Regime?

Geneviève Michaux, Of Counsel, Covington & Burling LLP, Belgium

11:00

Session 6

### MEDICAL ASSESSMENTS AND SAFETY-EVALUATIONS FOR MEDICAL DEVICES, DRUGS AND THEIR COMBINATIONS

Session Chair:

**Leonardo Ebeling**, General Manager, Dr. Ebeling & Assoc. GmbH, Germany

#### Assessments, Conformity and Vigilance for Medical Devices and Borderline Products

Reinhard Berger, Head of Unit, AGES PharmMed, Austria

#### Vigilance for Drug-Device Combination Products in a Pharmaceutical Company - Challenges and Possible Solutions

Christine Benert, PV Contract Management, Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Germany

#### [Pharmaco] Vigilance systems - Consultation versus Outsourcing

Eva Thiemann, Pharmacovigilance Advisor, Medical Science & Affairs, Dr. Ebeling & Assoc. GmbH, Germany

14:00

Session 7

### INSPECTION FINDINGS AT INVESTIGATION SITES AND THE SPONSOR OF DRUG DEVICE COMBINATION TRIALS

Session Chair:

**Helena Van den Dungen**, Global Head Country Management CQA, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

This session will be evaluating the recent developments in this new area of clinical trials with medical devices. The development of the regulations and their impact on clinical research and the complexities related to drug-device combination trials will be discussed. Initial inspection findings will be explored from the inspector as well as from the viewpoint of those inspected.

#### Medical Devices and Drug Device Combinations

Esther Gil, Regulatory Director, PAREXEL International, Spain

#### Inspection of Clinical Trials on Medical Devices: French and European Context, Feedback of Experience

Pierre Henri Bertoye, Inspectorate and Companies Associate Director, Afssaps, France

#### Compliance Issues from Audits of Medical Device Clinical Trials: Over Thirteen years Experience from Cardiovascular Trials

Herman Pieterse, Head, Profess Medical Consultancy BV, The Netherlands

16:00

Session 8

### NEW DEVELOPMENTS IN DRUGS AND DEVICES: THERAPEUTIC SHIFT OR SYNERGY?

Session Chair:

**Lennart Philipson**, Director of Medical Devices, MPA, Sweden

New and emerging technologies will have a huge impact on product development in both the pharmaceutical and device sectors and could even result in a therapeutic shift from drugs to devices and vice versa. This session will give a broad overview of new developments in medical technology and will highlight two developments, in which convergence of different technology areas could directly benefit patients: artificial organs and theranostics.

#### Overview of New Developments in Medical Technology

Dario Pirovano, Consultant - Regulatory and Technical Affairs, EUCOMED, Brussels, Belgium

#### Converging Technologies in Drugs and Devices: Artificial Organs as a Case Study

Robert Geertsma, Senior Scientist/Project Leader, RIVM, The Netherlands

#### Theranostics: Is there a Benefit for Individual Patients in Diagnostic Therapy?

Richard Moore, Manager for Nanomedicines and Life Sciences, Institute of Nanotechnology, UK

**Showcase your company's product or service:**

**Exhibit at upcoming DIA events in Europe**

**[www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org)**



This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Theme 7

### Project Management in a Changing Environment

#### Theme Leader:

**Suzy De Cordt**, Group Leader, Senior Project Manager, Merck Serono, Switzerland

Driving drug development projects forward is at the heart of a pharma/biotech company, and it is a challenge in a world where the only constant is change. Project Management (PM) is a key success factor. The key is to rigorously plan and monitor timelines, and budget and coordinate the wide cross-functional scope of expertise, whilst staying lean, fast, agile and strategically focused. This theme will offer a forum to exchange views on PM tools, processes, organisations, management of projects and portfolio, applying PM in functions and partnerships.

**Tuesday, March 24, 2009**

**09:00**

**Session 1**

#### PROJECT MANAGEMENT TOOLS, PROCESSES AND ORGANISATION

Session Chair:

**Suzy De Cordt**, Group Leader, Senior Project Manager, Merck Serono, Switzerland

The session takes a broad-scope view on tools, processes and organisation of projects and portfolio management.

- How do different methods perform when applied to evaluating pharma R&D projects?
- How can process optimisation increase speed and quality and how does it relate to organisational change?  
Why do drug development projects differ from business change projects and how can they be put to work together successfully?
- Why do drug development projects differ from business change projects and how can they be put to work together successfully?

#### Application of Evaluating Methods in Pharmaceutical Research and Development Projects: A Case Study

Iris Ye Mao, Medical Information Associate, AstraZeneca, China

#### Benchmarking as a Companion of Process Optimisation: A Case Study

Birgit Schümmer, Junior Performance Manager, Gruenthal, GmbH, Germany

#### Drug Development Projects are from Mars, Business Change Projects Are from Venus: A Practical Guide for Improving Communication and Getting what You Want

David Bridges, Director, eChange Solutions Ltd., Ireland

**11:00**

**Session 2**

#### KEY SUCCESS FACTORS FOR PORTFOLIO AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Session Chair:

**Ralf Eulentrop**, Head of Project Leadership Training, Merck KGaA, Germany

Portfolio and project management organisations and processes are widely established in pharma companies. However there is often disappointment about the implementation of portfolio and project management processes. The session will focus on the factors, that are seen as key, ensuring portfolio and project management contribution to the company's success. Special focus will be on people working in the matrix organisation and their development, implementation of the right processes and the contribution of project management to the matrix organisation.

#### Portfolio Management – Time to Up the Game

Stephen Allport, Managing Director, SWA Consulting Ltd., UK

#### Recipe for Success: Add Management to your Project and Assure Quality

Nancy Meyerson-Hess, Senior Vice President Project Management International, Omnicare Clinical Research GmbH & Co. KG, Germany

#### The Role of Project Management in the Matrix Pharmaceutical Organisation

Isabel Saez-Pujol, Associate Director, Informatics, Daiichi Sankyo Ltd., UK

**14:00**

**Session 3**

#### PROJECT MANAGEMENT APPLIED IN FUNCTIONAL AREAS – IS PM THE NEW MIDDLE MANAGEMENT?

Session Chair:

**Hazel Collie**, Head Global Project Management & Global Biometrics, Gruenthal GmbH, Germany

Project management is increasingly being applied in a variety of functional areas and the need for people with good project management skills is growing. In this session we hear of project management principles being applied in three very different functional areas and ask ourselves the question: Is PM the new middle management?

#### Submission Management: Achieving the Optimum Result

James Reilly, Consultant Software Implementation, Octagon Research Solutions, Inc., USA

#### Adopting EDC - The Importance of Project Management

Laurence Charonnat, Principal Project Manager, Phase Forward, France

#### Doing the Project Planning for Large Multinational Clinical Trials

Diego Martin Glanczspigel, Vice President Latin America, PAREXEL, Argentina

**16:00**

**Session 4**

#### ROLE OF PROJECT MANAGEMENT IN PARTNERSHIPS

Session Chair:

**Ralph White**, Director, PPMLD Ltd., UK

Long gone are the days when a company could support all the functions needed for product development: much more reliance is now placed on external providers. In these three interrelated talks, the evolution of the service provider into successful partner is studied alongside the project leadership skills needed for it to thrive.

#### Cardiac Safety Core Lab Collaborations - From the Individual Project to a Successful Collaboration

Jeffrey Heilbraun, Senior Director, Business Development, Medifacts International, USA

#### Project Management Excellence: Balancing Art and Science

Rajeev Vasudeva, Director, Deloitte Consulting, USA

#### Will Strong Project Leaders Be Needed in the Future? An Einsteinian View!

John Faulkes, Consultant, TeamCommunications Development, UK

# Register now for upcoming DIA Conferences, Workshops and Training Courses in Europe and the Middle East

## 9th European Electronic Document Management Conference

co-located with the

### 3rd Cardiac Safety Conference

December 4-5, 2008 | BARCELONA, SPAIN

ID# 08106

ID# 08111

## Joint DIA - EMEA - CMD(h) Workshop on User Testing

December 5, 2008 | LONDON, UK

ID# 08112

## Practical Guide for Pharmacovigilance: Clinical Trials and Post Marketing

December 8-10, 2008 | PARIS, FRANCE

ID# 08552

## 8th Middle East Regulatory Conference (MERC 2009)

January 20-21, 2009 | MANAMA, BAHRAIN

ID# 09107

## Excellence in Pharmacovigilance: Clinical Trials and Post Marketing

February 9-13, 2009 | PARIS, FRANCE

ID# 09526

## Essentials of Clinical Study Management

February 18-20, 2009 | SPAIN

ID# 09528

## Qualified Person for Pharmacovigilance (QPPV) Forum

April 29-30, 2009 | LONDON, UK

## Medical Approach in Diagnosis and Management of ADRs

September 17-18, 2009 | PARIS, FRANCE

ID# 09532

## Excellence in Pharmacovigilance: Clinical Trials and Post Marketing

October 12-16, 2009 | BERLIN, GERMANY

ID# 09527

## US Regulatory Affairs

October 19-22, 2009 | BASEL, SWITZERLAND

ID# 09525

## 3rd Annual Clinical Forum

October 19-22, 2009 | NICE, FRANCE

ID# 09103

For more information, please visit: [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) > Educational Offerings >

Type the ID number into the keyword search field. The DIA Customer Services Team in Europe will be pleased to answer your questions and assist you with the registration process. Call us on +41 61 225 51 51 from Monday to Friday, 08:00-17:00 CET, or email: [diaeurope@diaeurope.org](mailto:diaeurope@diaeurope.org)

## DIA TRAINING COURSES IN EUROPE

The DIA offers training courses in Europe in the following areas:

*Clinical Research*

*Non-Clinical Sciences*

*Regulatory Affairs*

*Safety and Pharmacovigilance*

In 2009 we will also offer training courses on *Quality*

For more information on upcoming course dates, please visit:

[www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) > Educational Offerings > Training Courses > Instructor-Led Courses

[www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org)

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Theme 8 Media, Society and Research

### Theme Leaders:

**Nikos Dedes**, Co-chair, Patients' and Consumers' Working Party (PCWP), EMEA, EU

**Yann Le Cam**, CEO, EURORDIS, France

This theme aims to discuss society's perceptions and the role of media in research and drug development. It will analyse the needed paradigm shift in communication, the need for better information among the stakeholders, what is accurate information and who is responsible for delivering this information.

Erosion of public trust in drug research has been observed, often tarnishing the image of researchers, industry and regulatory agencies. The media plays an important role and can have a huge impact on the public. How can researchers, industry, regulators and legislators better work with the media? Is transparency on drug research and results a way to solve mistrust? What is the role of industry and regulators in educating patients and empowering them regarding their choice for potential treatments and getting involved in clinical trials taking into account legal and ethical obligations? How can we effectively communicate on the risk-related to drug and innovative therapies?

Through the sessions, we will attempt to identify possible ways to address these issues from the perspectives of patients, health professionals, industry and regulators.

## Tuesday, March 24, 2009

09:00

Session 1

### IS THERE MISTRUST IN DRUG RESEARCH?

Session Chair:

**Nikos Dedes**, Co-Chair, Patients' and Consumers' Working Party (PCWP), EMEA, EU

The session will describe the erosion of public trust in drug research, whether it be directed towards the researchers, the industry or the regulators who supervise. It will seek the causes and contributing factors and address the perceptions and attitudes of the public.

### Public Perceptions and Confidence in Clinical Research

Kenneth A. Getz, Senior Research Fellow, Center for the Study of Drug Development, Tufts University; Chairman, CISCRP, USA

### Asbestos, Tobacco and the Pharmaceutical Industry: Where Did It Go Wrong and How Can This Image Be Turned Around?

Beat Wilder, Global Head, Clinical Quality Assurance, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd

### Trust and Distrust in the Regulatory Process – A Legal Viewpoint

Geneviève Michaux, Of Counsel, Covington & Burling LLP, Belgium

11:00

Session 2

### MEDIA COVERAGE OF CLINICAL RESEARCH

Session Chair:

**Sarah Boseley**, Health Editor, *The Guardian*, UK

Media coverage of medical research has often been accused of sensationalism. Can the press and media help to educate and inform the public without being alarmist? Could it do more to monitor the practices of researchers, industry, regulators and legislators and hold them better to account?

### Media and Science - Who Manipulates Whom?

Gordon McVie, Professor, Cancer Research Campaign, UK

14:00

Session 3

### THE TRANSPARENCY IMPERATIVE

Session Chair:

**Georgette Lalis**, Director ENTR/F, European Commission, EU

In previous sessions we described the causes and contributing factors of the tarnished image of researchers, industry and the regulatory agencies. Is transparency across the board part of the solution? Clinical trial registries, publication of trial results, public access to data, clear definition of commercially sensitive information, elimination of ghost writing, full disclosure of funding relations between industry and researchers have all begun to be addressed more proactively. What more must we do?

### Increasing Transparency towards the Public: What's Next for the Competent Authorities?

Johannes Löwer, President, Paul-Ehrlich-Institut, Germany

Chris Strutt, Vice President, European and Corporate Government Affairs, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

### What Do Patient and Consumer Groups Expect?

Nikos Dedes, Co-Chair, Patients' and Consumers' Working Party, EMEA, EU

16:00

Session 4

### THE 'INFORMED PATIENT' - A CONTROVERSIAL GOAL

Session Chair:

**Monika Kosinska**, Secretary General, European Public Health Alliance (EPHA), EU

Better informed patients benefit all, and yet recent policy developments at European level are fraught with controversy. How can they overcome the barriers, empower themselves and gain access to the information they need? Who is responsible for informing the patient? What role should regulators, industry and health professionals play in moving towards better informed patients and offering more information about their choices.

### Changing Patient Behaviour: The Role of Information

Robert Horne, Professor of Behavioural Medicine, The School of Pharmacy, University of London, UK

### Information to Patients

Irene Sacristan-Sanchez, Administrator, DG Enterprise, European Commission, EU

### Information to Patients: The Pharmaceutical Industry's Perspective

Paul Woods, Global Compliance Policy Director in AstraZeneca and Chairman of EFPIA's "Informed Patient"

### The Informed Patient: Do Consumers Have the Tools To Make Healthcare Decisions?

Ilaria Passarani, Health Policy Officer, BEUC, Belgium

## Wednesday, March 25, 2009

09:00

Session 5

### OPTIMISING THE DILEMMA OF THE INFORMED CONSENT PROCESS BETWEEN ETHICAL REQUIREMENTS AND ORGANISATIONAL BURDEN

Session Chair:

**Ingrid Klingmann**, President, Pharmaplex, Belgium

There is no clinical trial without the participation of patients or healthy volunteers. Their voluntary contribution means – hopefully – a chance to get better treatment or to contribute to better treatments in the future. But it also means acceptance of additional risk as well as often additional physical, organisational and financial burden. Study participants need to be fully informed to be able to make the decision on their participation. In everyday medical practice, however, there is limited time available to present and discuss the lengthy patient information sheet so that the patient understands fully and

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

appropriate reflection can be ensured. Sponsors, ethics committees and investigators need to find ways to handle this process more efficiently in the interest of the patient and the fulfillment of their legal, ethical and moral obligations.

#### **The Ethical Aspects of the Informed Consent Process: From the Regulations and Guidelines to the Real World**

Silvia Zieher, Director, Clinical Operations, Head, Latin America Resourcing Solutions, MDS Pharma Services, Argentina

#### **Testing and Improving Readability of Clinical Trial Patient Information Sheets by User Testing**

Peter Knapp, Senior Lecturer, School of Healthcare, University of Leeds & LUTO Research Ltd., UK

#### **Patient Information in Cancer Trials: Experiences from CancerHelp**

Liz Woolf, Head of CancerHelp, Cancer Research, UK

11:00

Session 6

#### **SOCIETY, PATIENTS AND ADVANCED THERAPY**

Session Chair:

**Fergus Sweeney**, Principal Scientific Administrator, GCP and Pharmacovigilance Inspector, EMEA, EU

This topic is at the forefront of controversies capturing all aspects of this theme: a political session on research and therapy opportunities vs. the debate in society, the current state of legislation in the EU and the US and the current state of mind of public opinions, the civil society and policy makers.

Christian Schneider, Acting Head of Division EU Cooperation/Microbiology, Chairman Biosimilars Working Party, Paul-Ehrlich-Institute, Germany

Speakers invited

14:00

Session 7 - In cooperation with Themes 2 and 4

#### **COMMUNICATING BENEFIT – RISK TO THE PUBLIC: 12 YEARS AFTER THE ERICE DECLARATION, HOW MUCH PROGRESS HAS BEEN MADE? : A PANEL DISCUSSION**

Session Co-Chairs:

**Ragnar Löfstedt**, Professor of Risk Management and the Director of King's Centre for Risk Management, King's College, UK

**June Raine**, Director, Division of Vigilance Risk Management of Medicines, MHRA, UK and Chair of Pharmacovigilance Working Party

Patients and the public rightly demand to know more about their medicines. The session will discuss how to communicate not only the risks connected to a medicine but also the effects. ? Can patients be educated to be able to absorb and understand the information? Risk management including post-marketing activities are now an important part of the lifecycle management. Are the commitments of value for public health protection, or do we risk requesting commitments for academic purposes? What is the true benefit for the patient and how to communicate this? Is there impact on the cost for a medicine?

June Raine, Director, Division of Vigilance Risk Management of Medicines, MHRA, UK and Chair of Pharmacovigilance Working Party

Tony Whitehead, UK Medical Director, sanofi-aventis, UK

François Houyez, Health Policy Officer, EURORDIS, France

16:00

Session 8

#### **CAN WE DRAW A NEW SOCIAL CONTRACT IN DRUG DEVELOPMENT?**

Session Chair:

**Yann Le Cam**, CEO, EURORDIS, France

Patients with chronic diseases in Europe are faced with growing challenges: huge remaining unmet medical needs, very little true innovation in treatments, a high level of failure in drug development, and an administrative maze for their approval, lack of real patient access to approved medicines and strident tensions

in society about the rising prices and affordability of new medicines. Can we build society support for health research innovation? Can we move away from the damaging mirage of a "zero-risk society" to a new paradigm of policies and regulations geared at stimulating drug development for unmet medical needs? Can we reshape the regulatory framework of complex cumulative committees and lengthy procedures toward a system enhancing success rates in drug development and faster availability of drugs? Can we devise a European Medicine Agency that looks beyond quality, safety and efficacy at clinical added value and real-life studies? Can we have future democratic EU health technology assessment in future? Can we have a patient-centred decision-making system where patients and citizens will make decisions based on experts' opinions?

*This closing session of the theme on Media, Society and Research is organised with a panel of key speakers from the EuroMeeting who will contrast future options in an open-minded talk.*



## Special Interest Area Communities



### Why Join a SIAC?

#### Get Involved!

Special Interest Area Communities (SIACs) are a special benefit of DIA membership - they offer a discipline-specific, global community where members can share experiences and knowledge, find common ground, and network with others in their field. And, involvement in a SIAC is an excellent way to learn about other volunteer opportunities at DIA, such as speaking, program planning, and other leadership roles!

### Network and Learn...

SIACs provide a forum for members to exchange information, learn more about their field, explore industry hot topics, and build a professional network. SIAC members help DIA identify career and professional development needs in particular interest areas - so DIA can offer more to its members!

### Am I Qualified?

Participation in a SIAC is open to all current DIA members. SIACs are discipline-specific and global in scope, and they encourage balanced representation from professionals in industry, academia, contract service organizations, and government - throughout the world. There's something for everyone - for you - in DIA SIACs!

### Learn. Network. Lead. . . .

#### Join a DIA SIAC today!

To join a DIA SIAC, or to learn more, contact [siac@diaeurope.org](mailto:siac@diaeurope.org).

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Theme 9

### Future of Drug Development and Globalisation – Important Trends and Hot Topics

#### Theme Leaders:

**Barbara Sickmüller**, Deputy Director General, German Pharmaceutical Industry Association (BPI), Germany

**Christine Mayer-Nicolai**, Regulatory Coordination Europe and Global Regulatory Intelligence, Merck KGaA, Germany

This theme, broad in scope, will provide you with information about recent developments in the area of drug development and globalisation; taking into regard hot topics like new therapeutic opportunities in the field of therapeutic vaccines and medicinal products in the area of advanced therapies. In addition, the aim of the theme is to discuss possibilities to strengthen incremental research and to give an overview about the current political situation concerning added therapeutic value as well as political activities to fight against counterfeit medicinal products in the supply chain. The roll-out of ICH to non ICH-countries will also be a topic.

**Tuesday, March 24, 2009**

**09:00**

**Session 1**

#### THERAPEUTIC VACCINES - PROMISING NEW CANCER TREATMENT OPTIONS IN NEED OF APPROPRIATE REGULATIONS

Session Chair:

**Karl-Jozef Kallen**, Biotherapy Development Association, Germany

As therapeutic vaccines become increasingly important and some companies are developing these kinds of products, this is regarded as an important topic.

#### Immunological Response Parameters: FDA Requirements for Approval

Bharat Joshi, Chemist/Staff Scientist, Office of Cellular, Tissues and Gene Therapies, CBER, FDA, USA

#### European Perspective on Cancer Vaccines: Does the Present Regulatory Environment Suffice to Give These Promising Approaches a Chance for Approval?

Thomas Hinz, Head of Section Therapeutic Vaccines, Paul-Ehrlich-Institute, Germany

#### New Data from Relevant Trials and Experiments: Present Regulations Pose a Hurdle for Demonstrating Efficacy

Bernard A. Fox, Chief, Laboratory of Molecular and Tumor Immunology, Robert W. Franz Cancer Research Center in the Earle A. Chiles Research Institute, Providence Health & Services, USA

**11:00**

**Session 2**

#### ADDED THERAPEUTIC VALUE - ARE COMMON CRITERIA FOR EFFECTIVENESS ASSESSMENT POSSIBLE? WHAT ARE THE DISCUSSIONS AT THE EUROPEAN LEVEL?

Session Chair:

**Barbara Sickmüller**, Deputy Director General, German Pharmaceutical Industry Association (BPI), Germany

Effectiveness assessment is done in nearly all European Member States by different institutions. We will look at the latest developments at European Level to achieve common positions on how the criteria for such an assessment should be laid down. What will the next steps be and what are the scientific discussions?

#### Appraisal of Health Care from Patients' Value to Societies' Benefit

Franz Porszolt, Klinische Ökonomik, Universitätsklinikum Ulm, Germany

#### Deliverables on the Relative Effectiveness Working Group of the Pharmaceutical Forum and their Implementation

Jérôme Boehm, Head of Pharmaceuticals Sector, Health and Consumers Directorate General, European Commission, EU

#### Relative Effectiveness Assessment – Development at European Level and Industry's Position

Markus Frick, Manager, Market Access and Reimbursement, sanofi-aventis GmbH, Germany

**14:00**

**Session 3**

#### INCREMENTAL RESEARCH - IMPORTANT BENEFITS, NEGLECTED IN EUROPEAN LAW?

Session Chair:

**Michael Popp**, CEO, Bionorica AG, Germany

Incremental research with known active substances leads to important benefits for patients, but is often neglected in companies and politics. Coming from the current legislative framework it can be shown that there are manifold approaches to find new indications for known substances although the possibilities to protect the collected data are limited. What could be done in future to promote incremental research by setting adequate incentives will be discussed.

#### Value of Research with Known Active Substances – Access to Innovation for Patients / Regulatory Data Protection for Industry

Burkhard Sträter, Lawyer, Kanzlei Sträter, Germany

#### Research and Clinical Evidence with Herbal Medicinal Products – Interesting Approaches and Missing Incentives

Michael Heinrich, Centre for Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy, The School of Pharmacy, University of London, UK

#### Incremental Research with Known Active Substances – Current Legislative Framework and Commission's Viewpoint for Future Developments

European Commission speaker invited

**16:00**

**Session 4**

#### ADVANCED THERAPIES IMPLEMENTATION - RECENT DEVELOPMENTS AND OPEN QUESTIONS

Session Chair:

**Klaus Cichutek**, Head, Department of Medical Biotechnology, Paul-Ehrlich-Institute, Germany

Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products are regulated by pharmaceutical law, but need special regulations due to their specific characteristics. Regulation (EC) 1394/2007 lays down these specific requirements. What are the latest developments in relation to the implementation of this Regulation at EMEA? What are the latest developments concerning further technical requirements and guidance for these products? And what is Industry's perspective? These questions will be discussed within the session.

#### Implementation of Advanced Therapies Regulation at the EMEA – Latest Developments and Open Questions

Patrick Celis, Scientific Administrator, EMEA, EU

#### Advanced Therapies – Technical Requirements for Quality, Safety and Efficacy for Cell-Based Products in Relation to Existing Rules and Guidance

Paula Salmikangas, Senior Researcher, National Agency for Medicines, Finland

#### Gene Therapy Medicinal Products – Latest Developments and Special Requirements

Valerie Pimpaneau, Project Director, Voisin Consulting, France

#### Cell-Based Medicinal Products – Latest Developments and Special Requirements

Andreas Emmendörffer, Managing Director, EuroDerm, Germany

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Wednesday, March 25, 2009

09:00

Session 5

### IMPLEMENTATION OF ICH IN NON-ICH COUNTRIES: WHERE ARE WE NOW AND WHAT WILL HAPPEN IN THE FUTURE?

Session Chair:

**Yves Juillet**, Senior Advisor, LEEM, France

The aim of harmonisation is to avoid duplication of studies for ethical and economic reasons and to allow quicker access to new treatments. One of the main difficulties is the extrapolation of clinical trial results in new regions/countries. In this session we will consider how implementation is addressed at the ICH level and how industry and regulators are moving forward particularly in Asia.

#### Implementation of ICH Guidelines in Non-ICH Countries: The Way Forward

Kohei Wada, General Manager, Asia Clinical Development Department, Daiichi Sankyo Co. Ltd., Japan

#### Experiences in East Asia: Impact on Global Development

Joseph Scheeren, Senior Vice President, Head Global Regulatory Affairs, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, USA

#### Global Development: How to Move Forward – Joint Initiative of Japan, China and Korea

Yoshiaki Uyama, Review Director, Office of New Drugs III, PMDA, Japan

#### Discussion: ICH Roll-out in India & China: Challenges of an Emerging Healthcare Environment

Nermeen Varawalla, President & CEO, ECCRO, UK

11:00

Session 6

### DRUG COUNTERFEITING IN EUROPE: TRENDS AND NEW INITIATIVES

Session Co-Chairs:

**Yves Juillet**, Senior Advisor, LEEM, France

**Thomas Zimmer**, Head, CD Safety, Quality and Environmental Protection, Boehringer-Ingelheim GmbH, Germany

Drug counterfeiting is an increasing risk in Europe, depriving patients of effective treatment and possessing direct safety consequences. It has led the European Commission to take some initiatives which will be presented and discussed. The objective of the session is to update participants on the current situation, identifying in particular the channels used by counterfeiters to inform and exchange about the different initiatives taken.

#### Counterfeiting in Europe: Commission Activities to Combat Counterfeit

Sabine Atzor, Principal Administrator, European Commission, EU

#### Distribution and Wholesaling: How to Secure the Chain

Monika Derecque-Pois, Director General, GIRP, Belgium

#### Initiatives Taken by the Council of Europe: The Way Forward

Sabine Walser, Administrative Officer, Council of Europe, EDQM, EU

Discussion with Thomas Zimmer, Head, CD Safety, Quality and Environmental Protection, Boehringer-Ingelheim GmbH, Germany

# Thank You!

The DIA would like to express its  
sincere gratitude to the EuroMeeting

2009 Co-Chairs,

Theme Leaders, Advisors and  
Session Chairs who have made  
this EuroMeeting possible –  
a truly comprehensive, neutral  
platform for the exchange of  
information and knowledge.

All are volunteers who have  
dedicated considerable time and  
energy to putting together this  
scientific programme.

## Thank you!



This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Theme 10

### Impact of Technology and Standards on Drug Development: Now Better, Safer and Faster?

#### Theme Leaders:

**Peter Stokman**, Senior Director Clinical Data Management, Organon Schering-Plough, The Netherlands

**Valdo Arnera**, General Manager - Europe, PHT Corporation, Switzerland

Over the last few years, electronic data capture (eCRF, ePRO, IVRS/IVRS, etc.) has rapidly and dramatically changed clinical drug development. At the current speed of EDC adoption, by the end of this decade the paper trial may have become extinct. However, neither the loose ends in EDC nor the interfacing of the many systems involved yet make for an optimal process, which impedes the leap from 'using EDC' to true eClinical. Rigorous standardisation is one of the answers. The various CDISC models offer an end-to-end solution in which the use of standards can bring an unprecedented streamlining of data flows, encompassing the healthcare community, investigational sites, pharma companies and regulators. Although most companies are able to provide regulators with data in SDTM format, the tremendous improvements offered by the various CDISC models remain largely untapped. Both EDC and CDISC are causing a paradigm shift regarding efficiency and quality in clinical development. This theme will give us a sense of where we are, and a vision of where we are headed.

**Tuesday, March 24, 2009**

**09:00**

**Session 1**

#### INTEGRATING DIFFERENT ECLINICAL DATA STREAMS: NEEDS AND BENEFITS

Session Chair:

**Valdo Arnera**, General Manager - Europe, PHT Corporation, Switzerland

With the ever increasing use of electronic means of collecting data during clinical trials, it is becoming tempting to integrate all these data streams as soon as possible. But how feasible and how useful is it, and in which circumstances? This session will explore some answers to these questions presenting today's solutions and exploring what the future could look like. We will also learn from a case study that both the technology and the human aspects of a programme of change projects must be addressed to ensure the success of the rationalisation and streamlining of data flows within the clinical value chain.

#### Integration of Technology – Today's Fad or Tomorrow's Standard?

Bill Byrom, Vice President, Product Strategy, Perceptive Informatics, UK

#### A Process Approach to Integration of the Clinical Trial Value Chain

Mike Bartlett, Technical Architect - eClinical Programme, H. Lundbeck A/S, Denmark

#### Integration: a Case Study

Inge Hansen, Section Head/Change Project Manager Lündbeck, Denmark

**11:00**

**Session 2**

#### ARE BENEFITS OF ECLINICAL METHODS IN STEP WITH REGULATIONS AND RECENT EMEA GUIDANCE?

Session Chair:

**Steve Raymond**, Chief Scientific Officer and Quality Officer, PHT Corporation, USA

There are many advantages in efficiency, cost and data quality when eSource data are captured directly from electronic health records and from eRecords completed directly by subjects in clinical studies. This session takes the view that useful advances make sense even when they challenge regulatory guidance, and that familiar approaches that fall within current regulations (isolated trials performed in sequence with paper methods) do not meet the test of better, safer, or faster. Speakers directly engaged in conducting, planning, reviewing or enabling clinical trials that rely on eSource documents will consider the promise

of eSource and the challenges to its optimum adoption that lurk in regulations developed during the age of paper methods.

#### Regulating eDiaries – From Planning to Retention of Archival eSource Records

Steve Raymond, Chief Scientific Officer and Quality Officer, PHT Corporation, USA

#### eSource, EHR, Metadata Standards - A Road to Better, Safer, Faster

Isabelle de Zegher, Belgium

#### Achieving the Benefits of Electronic Methods under EMEA Regulatory Guidance

Fergus Sweeney, Principal Scientific Administrator, GCP and Pharmacovigilance Inspector, EMEA, EU

**14:00**

**Session 3**

#### TECHNOLOGY & STANDARDS... HOW ABOUT THE PROCESS?

Session Chair:

**Peter Stokman**, Senior Director Clinical Data Management, Organon Schering-Plough, The Netherlands

Initially, adoption of a new technology will typically lead to continuation along the old lines of thinking. In the last couple of years a lot of new technology has become available in clinical development. Did that just improve the old process or are we defining new concepts? Nick Lucas will evaluate where we are as an industry, and contrast with best practices in other lines of business, Martin Semrau will give an example of how new thinking can change the way we utilise technology and Joe Anderson will stretch it further and help us envision an optimised picture based on people, process and current technologies.

#### An Honest Look at the eClinical Process: How We Can Learn from Best Practices Outside the Industry

Nick Lucas, Vice President, Global Data Management, INC Research, UK

#### Service Oriented Clinical Trials

Martin Semrau, Enterprise Architect, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

#### We're Halfway There: Making Full Use of EDC

Joseph Anderson, Principal Associate, Waite & Associates, Inc., USA

**16:00**

**Session 4**

#### THE LOOSE ENDS IN EDC AND HOW TO ADDRESS THEM

Session Chair:

**Johann Proeve**, Global Head, Data Management, Bayer Schering Pharma, Germany

This session is taking a closer look at the non-core areas of EDC that, however, add value once the users go beyond the basic features of EDC. You will learn about the interfacing from EDC to the pharmacovigilance database, about external data to be made available in EDC and about the usefulness of edit checks in EDC. Overall, the audience will get a good overview of the value-added features of EDC.

#### SAE Reporting from EDC Data - A Seamless Flow of Information?

Jens Knoesel, eClinical Project Manager, Metronomia Clinical Research GmbH, Germany

#### Integrating External Data into EDC for Better, Safer, and Faster Online Adjudication

Vincent Cabane, Clinical Director, Kika Medical, France

#### EDC and Edit Checks: How Far Can We Go?

Ricky Dolphin, Professional Services Consultant, eResearchTechnology, UK

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Wednesday, March 25, 2009

09:00

Session 5

### A LOOK INTO THE FUTURE: WHAT IS ON THE HORIZON AFTER WE HAVE MANAGED EDC PROPERLY?

Session Chair:

**Massimo Raineri**, Head of System Development, Biometry, Actelion, Italy

A few years ago EDC was perceived as being "the future". Now EDC is real and solid; it is our present, but we still face a lot of challenges: adding integration, simplicity and intuitive user interface to existing applications in order to obtain next generation systems. The session will analyse and discuss emerging trends which might design the new future of the EDC world.

#### The Next Generation of EDC Integration

David Stein, Vice President, Product Management, Perceptive Informatics, USA

#### Removing the Boundaries between the Worlds of Data, Trial and Safety Management through EDC

Simon Neville Hawken, Director, Business Development, eResearch Technology Inc., USA

#### The Digital Pen and Paper Technology in Clinical Trials Data Collection: Transforming Paper into an Easy and Smart Interface to Collect Patients' Data

Massimo Raineri, Head of System Development – Biometry, Actelion, Italy

11:00

Session 6

### EXPERIENCE WITH CDISC STANDARDS

Session Chair:

**David Iberson-Hurst**, Vice President, Technical Strategy, CDISC, UK

This session will look in detail at the use of the CDISC standards and provide the audience with detailed practical experiences of how the standards have performed and the issues raised.

#### Using ODM Standard to Gain Efficiency in the Management of Hybrid Trials

Gilles Rondeau, System Architect, eclinical Solutions, Canada

#### Integration of Direct Data Capture, ePRO and EDC Data via CDISC ODM

Wolfgang Summa, Vice President Europe & Asia Pacific, invivodata, inc., UK

#### Use of Standards to Automate the Creation of CDISC ADaM Data Structures and Metadata

Jon Roth, Director, S-Cubed ApS, Sweden

14:00

Session 7

### CDISC END-TO-END: TO WHAT EXTENT?

Session Chair:

**Stephane Rouault**, Global Head, Data Management Services, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., Switzerland

Currently clinical care and clinical research organisations are two totally separate worlds from an electronic perspective, still both striving towards standards. Connecting patients, healthcare providers and medical research sponsors is opening a totally new world of possibilities and opportunities. The interoperability afforded by CDISC and HL7 standards is providing the capability of integrating patient care, clinical research and safety assessments. The following presentations will provide some further insights.

#### Electronic Health Records - Practical Experiences

Stephane Rouault, Global Head, Data Management Services, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., Switzerland

#### CDISC End-to-End: To What Extent Do the CDISC Standards Achieve Information System Independence?

Ricky Dolphin, Professional Services Consultant, eResearchTechnology, UK

### Standardisation of Basic Data Collection Standards - An Idea Whose Time Has Come

Rhonda Facile, CDASH Project Director, CDISC, USA

16:00

Session 8

### CDISC AS AN AVENUE TO PROCESS IMPROVEMENT

Session Chair:

**John Aggerholm**, eClinical Senior Project Manager, NNIT A/S, Denmark

When optimising processes today with the support of integrated IT systems, it is important to use standards. This session will show the benefits of using CDISC, both in the processes themselves, and for integration both internally and externally. The benefits of the use of EDC, metadata repositories and the business as a whole will be demonstrated in the presentations.

#### CDISC Standards, EDC Libraries, and Integrations are Key Drivers for Process Improvement and Innovation

Ward Lemaire, Senior Manager Biometrics, Data Management, Tibotec, Belgium  
**Metadata Repository Use in an End-to-End, CDISC Standards-Based, Clinical Data Lifecycle**

Barry Cohen, Senior Director, Clinical Data Strategies, Octagon Research Solutions, USA

#### How to Use an eClinical CDISC-based Business Architecture to Optimise R&D

John Aggerholm, eClinical Senior Project Manager, NNIT A/S, Denmark

## *Did you know?*

**The Drug Information Association is  
a neutral, non-profit member  
association that is funded from  
meeting and membership dues.**

**To preserve its neutrality,  
DIA does not accept any kind  
of sponsorship.**



This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Theme 11

### Optimising Drug Development through Sound Methodology

#### Theme Leaders:

**Jürgen Kübler**, Global Head, Statistical Safety Sciences, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

**Robert Hemmings**, Statistics Unit Manager, MHRA, UK and CHMP

The current R&D process is facing significant challenges: there is a need to develop efficacious and acceptably safe drugs more efficiently, and to improve in the early identification of drugs that will ultimately fail to prove a favourable profile of benefits and risks. Sound quantitative methods can play a major role in optimising drug development in terms of both design and conduct of an individual study, and design and decision making across a development programme. The theme will explore statistical approaches to improve the efficiency with which medicines are developed. This includes a thorough discussion of how to optimise implementation of established and new methodology and their applicability in a highly regulated environment. The theme aims to provide a forum for discussion between industry, academic and regulatory thought-leaders. Relevant experience will be shared and discussed, reflecting on lessons learned. By investigating the underlying processes, recommendations for best practice will be developed.

**Tuesday, March 24, 2009**

**09:00**

**Session 1**

#### ADAPTIVE DESIGNS - FROM STATISTICAL SCIENCE TO REGULATORY GUIDANCE

Session Chair:

**Armin Koch**, Biostatistician, BfArM, Germany

**Joachim Vollmar**, Executive Consultant, International Clinical Development Consultants, USA

For over 15 years, the concept of flexible trial designs has been a focus of statistical and regulatory research. Adaptive (flexible) trial designs allow redesigning the study (e.g. sample size, randomisation ratio, number of treatment arms) based on the results of interim analyses. The statistical theory underlying these trial designs and the availability of appropriate software allow for controlling the overall type I error probability (significance level) precisely. The regulatory impact of and view on adaptations midstream of a study are dealt with in the EMEA's Reflection Paper (2007) and FDA's draft guidance (2009) on methodological issues in confirmatory clinical trials with flexible design and analysis plan. This session includes the statistical background and the current view of the European and US regulators with a discussion on the general methodological issues and regulatory principles.

#### Statistical Principles of Flexible Designs – an Overview

Peter Bauer, Professor, University of Vienna, Austria

#### European Regulatory Perspective - EMEA Reflection Paper

Armin Koch, Biostatistician, BfArM, Germany

#### US Regulatory Perspective - Draft FDA Guidance

Robert T. O'Neill, Director, Office of Biostatistics, CDER, FDA, USA

Discussion with Joachim Röhmel, Department of Biostatistics and Clinical Epidemiology, Charité University, Germany

Michael Branson, Global Head, Clinical Advanced Statistical Solutions, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

**11:00**

**Session 2**

#### ADAPTIVE DESIGNS – A DISCUSSION WITH STAKEHOLDERS

Co-Chairs:

**Armin Koch**, Biostatistician, BfArM, Germany

**Joachim Vollmar**, Executive Consultant, International Clinical Development Consultants, USA

This session presents practical experience when employing adaptive designs. Benefits (including acceleration of the clinical trial process, enhancement of trial efficiency and improvements in patient safety) and risks (lack of integrated technologies for patient allocation, drug supply, data management, study monitoring and statistics) as well as the success factors of adaptive trials will be intensively discussed through various scenarios.

#### Examples from SAWP/CHMP

Robert Hemmings, Statistics Unit Manager, MHRA, UK and CHMP

#### Pushing the Boundaries for Adaptive Phase III Trial Designs

Brenda Gaydos, Research Advisor, Center for Applied Statistical Expertise, Eli Lilly and Company, USA

#### Practical Experience of a Sponsor with Adaptive Designs During Drug Development

Ralf Müller, Manager Clinical Research & Development, Dr. Falk Pharma GmbH, Germany

**14:00**

**Session 3**

#### SAFETY PLANNING IN DRUG DEVELOPMENT - REAL IMPROVEMENT OR YET ANOTHER DOCUMENT?

Session Chair:

**Jürgen Kübler**, Global Head, Statistical Safety Sciences, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

The session will present and discuss recent recommendations of PhRMA's Safety Planning, Evaluation and Reporting Team (SPERT). The goal of SPERT was to recommend an industry standard for safety planning, data collection, evaluation and reporting. SPERT's recommendations are based on our review of relevant literature and on consensus reached in our discussions, although we recognise that there might be other valid approaches.

#### Safety Planning - An Overview

Jürgen Kübler, Global Head, Statistical Safety Sciences, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

#### Planning for the Identification, Data Collection, and Integration of Adverse Events of Special Interest (AESI)

Manfred Oster, Deputy Head Clinical Safety Pharmacovigilance Unit, sanofi-aventis, USA

#### Approaching a Safety Analysis Plan to Address Adverse Events of Special Interest

George Rochester, Lead Mathematical Statistician, Drug Safety, Office of Biostatistics, CDER, FDA, USA

**16:00**

**Session 4**

#### BEYOND TRIAL DESIGN AND SAMPLE SIZE ESTIMATION - THE UTILITY OF CLINICAL SCENARIO ASSESSMENTS

Session Co-Chairs:

**Michael Branson**, Global Head, Clinical Advanced Statistical Solutions, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

Modern drug development is demanding more efficient approaches to develop new therapeutics, balancing the requirements of many stakeholders: patients, payors, regulators, etc. The aspect of leveraging scientific innovation through the use of novel trial designs and analysis techniques should be considered within an overarching framework of improved efficiency for the clinical program. In this session, we describe a framework that considers the planning of a clinical development strategy taking the contributions of quantitative statistical scientists beyond the usual trial design and sample size calculation. This framework is illustrated with case study discussions.

#### Aspects of Modernising Drug Development Using Scenario Planning and Evaluation

Norbert Benda, Statistical Methodology, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

### Use of Trial Simulation to Optimise Trial Design and Analysis Strategies

Brenda Gaydos, Research Advisor, Center for Applied Statistical Expertise, Eli Lilly and Company, USA

### Regulatory Agency Panel :

Robert T. O'Neill, Director, Office of Biostatistics, CDER, FDA, USA  
Robert Hemmings, Statistics Unit Manager, MHRA, UK

**Wednesday, March 25, 2009**

**09:00**

**Session 5**

### STATISTICS FOR BIOMARKERS AND "OMICS" – ANYTHING NEW?

Session Chair:

**Richardus Vonk**, Head of Drug Discovery Statistics, Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Germany

The statistical challenges around "omics" and biomarker data are well discussed. How is statistical science progressing in this field? As the use of biomarkers, and in particular omics-data is slowly gaining importance in the pharmaceutical industry (again), this session will provide a brief overview of recent developments in statistical approaches towards such data.

### Selecting Promising New Compounds Earlier by Tapping into Modern Safety and Pharmacological Mechanism in Early Exploratory Clinical Research

Matthias Grossman, Vice President and Principal Consultant, PAREXEL International, USA

### Weibull Failure-Time Mixture Models for Evaluating Efficacy in the Presence of a Biomarker

Kallappa M. Koti, Division of Biometrics, FDA, USA

### Choice of Reference Genes for RT-PCR Normalisation

Susanne Schwenke, Director Non-Clinical Statistics, Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Germany

**11:00**

**Session 6**

### GETTING THE DOSE RIGHT - DOSE FINDING AND EXPOSURE RESPONSE

Session Chair:

**Mike K. Smith**, Director Pharmacometrics, Pfizer, UK

Selecting the right dose for confirmatory studies and regulatory submission involves characterising the relationships between dose, exposure, efficacy and safety balancing sufficient to effect an acceptable level of risk due to safety or tolerability issues. The choice should be based on the synthesis of all information, including prior information, knowledge of disease progression and physiological processes, previous experience with this drug and other similar compounds. The choice becomes more difficult in the case of special populations such as paediatrics – currently an important topic of discussion between the industry and regulatory agencies. We should aim to run efficient trials which optimise our learning and give us the best chance of getting the dose right for the majority of patients.

### Impact of Dose Selection Strategies on the Probability of Success in Phase III

Zoran Antonijevic, Senior Director, Strategic Development, Biostatistics, Quintiles, USA

### Leveraging Prior Quantitative Knowledge in Guiding Paediatric Drug Development: A Case Study

FDA speaker invited

### Case Study: Dose-Finding for Maraviroc Anti-Retroviral Therapy

Lynn Mc Fadyen, Pharmacometrician, Pfizer Ltd., UK

**14:00**

**Session 7**

### ADDRESSING KEY SAFETY CHALLENGES IN CLINICAL DRUG DEVELOPMENT: SIGNAL DETECTION AND CONTINUOUS RISK ASSESSMENT

Session Co-Chairs:

**Joachim Vollmar**, Executive Consultant, International Clinical Development Consultants, USA

**Trevor Gibbs**, Executive Vice President, Chief Medical Officer, ii4sm, Switzerland

Drug safety signal detection and continuous risk assessment throughout the lifecycle of a medicinal product are highly multi-disciplinary processes involving experts from pharmacovigilance, data management, statistics and epidemiology. The implications of these tasks and the day-to-day problems will be presented by specialists having hands-on experience in this field. A panel will address the challenges of detecting drug safety risks early in the pre-marketing and later in the post-marketing drug life cycle sooner by employing appropriate methodologies.

### Quantitative Signal Detection and Assessment of Safety Signals

Stephen Evans, Professor, The London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, UK

### Signal Detection from Clinical databases – The Pitfalls and the Pointers

Michael K. Williams, Principal Statistician, Biostatistics and Programming Development Partners, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

### Risk Management Plans - Practical Implications

Stella Blackburn, Principal Scientific Administrator, EMEA, EU

Panel with George Rochester, Lead Mathematical Statistician, Drug Safety, Office of Biostatistics, CDER, FDA, USA

**16:00**

**Session 8**

### 'MISSING DATA' FOR YOUR 'QUANTITATIVE BENEFIT-RISK' ASSESSMENT SUPPORTING 'CONDITIONAL MARKETING AUTHORISATION'? WHERE NEXT FOR REGULATORY GUIDANCE?

Session Chair:

**Robert Hemmings**, Statistics Unit Manager, MHRA, UK

EU regulators have, through CHMP, written and published guidance documents to set out and, hopefully, to agree standards and expectations for drug development programmes. This session looks at ongoing regulatory activities in the areas of conditional approval, handling of missing data and improving the quantification and transparent communication of benefit-risk assessments. Speakers will outline ongoing activities and try to promote discussion to shape future guidance.

### Methodological Guidance and Practical Implementation of Conditional Approval

Robert Hemmings, Statistics Unit Manager, MHRA, UK

### Methods for Quantification of Benefit: Risk

Jeff Kirsch, Director, Strategic Health Outcomes, Global Health Outcomes, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

### Updates on Regulatory Requirements for Missing Data

Ferran Torres, Clinical Pharmacologist, Statistician, Hospital Clinic de Barcelona, Spain

**Don't forget your business cards!**

**Speed Networking**

**New at the  
EuroMeeting 2009**

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Theme 12

### Health Economics and Health Technology Assessments – Supporting Sustainable, Socially Acceptable and Equitable Access to Innovation

#### Theme Leaders:

**Wills Hughes-Wilson**, Director Health Policy Europe, Genzyme, Belgium  
**Carolin Miltenburger**, Director Health Economics & Outcomes, i3 Innovus, Germany

Growing budget constraints in healthcare worldwide increasingly determine market access and new medical technologies. Evidence of product value is critical to meet the requirements of reimbursement agencies, clinical guideline committees but also the expectations of patients and physicians. Product value goals are therefore essential development objectives, equal in importance to safety, efficacy and quality. Ten years after the introduction of NICE into the European landscape almost all EU countries have established processes and standards for HTA and economic appraisal. This theme will explore the European health policy environment and the various methodologies used to build a product value platform as part of drug development. The theme aims to cover different phases of the product lifecycle, different perspectives and novel approaches. The theme aims to be a forum to exchange and discuss experience and to participate in the search for best practice.

**Tuesday, March 24, 2009**

**09:00**

**Session 1**

#### DEMONSTRATING COST-EFFECTIVENESS IN CHALLENGING SITUATIONS AND WHEN DATA IS LIMITED

Session Chair:

**Jeremy Chancellor**, Director, Health Economics & Outcomes Research, i3 innovus, UK

Health Technology Assessment and Health Economics rely on data to allow decisions to be taken. But what happens when, due to the very nature of the treatment in question, the data are not available? Our regulatory approval systems have evolved to take account of urgent, unmet medical needs, of rare diseases and other treatment needs requiring a special approach. But what happens after these products are approved? Are the reimbursement systems as understanding as the regulatory systems? And, if not, what needs to change?

#### HTA and Economics: When and How Can We Make Reasonable Assessments Earlier in the Lifecycle?

Jeremy Chancellor, Director, Health Economics & Outcomes Research, i3 innovus, UK

#### Orphan Medicinal Products – How to Ensure Patients Get Access to Treatment While at the Same Time Developing the Data that Health Technology Assessors and Health Economists Need?

Aline Gauthier, PhD Programme, University of Glasgow, UK

#### Approval under Exceptional Circumstances - Does It Mean Reimbursement in Exceptional Circumstances? How to Bridge the Gap?

Mark Rothera, European and Regional Sales & Marketing Director, Shire HGT, UK

**11:00**

**Session 2**

#### MARKET ACCESS IN EUROPE: HOW DOES INNOVATION GET TO THE PATIENTS?

Session Chair:

**Andrea Rappagliosi**, Vice President, Health Policy & Market Access, Merck Serono, Switzerland

Access to innovation is a key element of getting the newest and, arguably, most effective treatment to patients. But the situation across Europe is not uniform in the evaluation of what constitutes value. This session seeks to explore the current situation in several key therapeutic areas and provide insight into which factors influence decisions on value, pricing & reimbursement.

#### Access to Treatment in Europe – Challenges in Getting Innovation to Patients in Key Indications?

Catherine Steele, International Head Public Policy, F. Hoffman-La Roche Ltd., Switzerland

#### Value-Based Pricing – How Do We Get a Good Idea about the Value of a Product? What Role for Risk-Sharing?

Adrian Towse, Director, Office of Health Economics, UK

#### Innovative Oncology Treatments – The Role of HTA in the Assessment of Value

Carole Longson, Director, Centre for Health Technology Evaluation, National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE), UK

**14:00**

**Session 3**

#### WHAT DOES THE USER CONSIDER VALUE? INCLUDING THE PATIENTS' VOICE IN ASSESSMENTS

Session Chair:

**Mary Baker**, President, European Parkinson's Disease Association (EPDA), UK

Decisions are taken by various agencies on whether a treatment gets onto the reimbursement lists or not. Arguably, the payers are the customers. But the real customers – the ones that stand to benefit, or not – from treatments are the patients themselves. So how can we include their voice, their assessment and view in the overall Health Technology Assessment? Is it ever appropriate to not include them? And if so, when?

#### Structures for Including the Patients' Voice Early On in the Decision-Making Process. Where (Not) To Include the Patients' Voice in HTA

Mattias Neyt, Economic Analysis Expert, KCE – Belgian Health Care Knowledge Centre, Belgium

#### Patient-Reported Outcomes in Multinational Clinical Trials – Status in 2009 & Beyond?

Ingela Wiklund, Gothenburg University, Former Director Patient-Reported Outcomes, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

#### Patient-Reported Outcomes – Methodologies for Studies and Real Life

Thomas Kohlmann, Professor, Ernst Moritz Arndt University of Greifswald, Germany

**16:00**

**Session 4**

#### CLINICAL TRIALS - THE START NOT THE END. BUILDING INTEGRATED DATA SETS IN A EUROPEAN CONTEXT TO MEET NEEDS

Session Chair:

**Stefan Willich**, Director, Institute for Social Medicine, Epidemiology & Health Economics, Charité University Medical Center, Germany

Health Technology Assessment agencies and reimbursement authorities want data on which to base their evaluations. And sometimes that data is not there. Or is it? Research is happening all the time, but are we making the best use of it? This session aims to investigate if and how the data developed in other circumstances can be used to fill the gaps.

#### Patient-Registries and Databases – A Road to Understanding Effectiveness?

Bernd Brüggengjürgen, Coordinator Health Care System Research, Charité University, Germany

#### Sharing Data from Clinical Trials - How to Make the Most of It?

Martin Daumer, Scientific Director, Sylvia Lawry Centre for Multiple Sclerosis Research, Germany

#### Observational Trials - The Opportunity to Move from Efficacy to Effectiveness Evaluation?

Andrea Spannheimer, Vice President Late Phase International, i3 Innovus Late Phase Research, Germany

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Wednesday, March 25, 2009

09:00

Session 5

### HEALTH ECONOMICS AND HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT IN 2009 – A MORE DIVERSE OR MORE UNIFORM LANDSCAPE?

Session Chair:

**Bengt Jönsson**, Professor Health Economics, Stockholm School of Economics, Sweden

Much has happened over the past 10 years in the field of Health Economics & Health Technology Assessment. More countries are using the approach before granting reimbursement and patient access and agencies are increasingly working together. So where do we stand? Is there convergence in terms of methodologies used and, if so, is there best practice that should be shared? And how wide are the remaining differences, 10 years after NICE was established? How do newer EU Member States address public health challenges? This session will set out a “state of the nation” overview of where we stand with HTA and Health Economics in 2009.

### Cooperation Between Agencies: How Do the Leading Agencies such as HAS, IQWiG & NICE Cooperate?

Thomas Lönngren, Executive Director, EMEA, EU

### NICE 10 Years On – What Will the Next 10 Years Hold?

Andrew Dillon, Chief Executive Officer, National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE), UK

### The Newer EU Member States: HTA and Public Health Challenges. Are They Compatible?

László Gulácsi, Professor, Corvinus University, Hungary

11:00

Session 6

### BUILDING HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENTS INTO PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT FOR SUCCESS

Session Chair:

**Carolin Miltenburger**, Director Health Economics & Outcomes, i3 Innovus, Germany

How can developers use study design to create endpoints that produce the most effective data for both authorisation and reimbursement? And if the companies organise themselves internally to meet this challenge, can the Agencies cooperate in the same way? How should the process work? What will scientific advice look like in the future? And how can one ensure that the data-set developed is respected?

### Using Study Design to Create End-Points that Produce the Most Effective Dataset

Anita Burrell, Associate Vice President, Health Economics & Reimbursement (HERA), sanofi aventis, France

### Clinical Development and Health Economics Working Together in the Company. Can It Be Successful?

Clare McGrath, Senior Director Evidence Based Strategies, Medical Division, Pfizer Ltd., UK

### Designing Trials to Deliver Payer-Relevant Clinical and Economic Evidence. Can Scientific Advice Be Binding?

Jens Grueger, Head Global Pricing & Reimbursement, Global Marketing & Sales, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

14:00

Session 7

### MEDICINES ARE ABOUT MORE THAN MONEY. BUILDING SOCIAL VALUES INTO HEALTH ECONOMICS

Session Chair:

**Yann Le Cam**, CEO, EURORDIS, France

We are repeatedly told that healthcare budgets are restricted, but how do we decide how the money is to be spent? Non-reimbursement decisions are often challenged in a public arena after the fact. So how can we ensure that Health Technology Assessments include the values of the society that is ultimately paying the bill?

### Advisory Committees – Can Multi-Stakeholder Groups Ensure that Decisions Reflect Society's Wishes?

Hubert G.M. Leufkens, Division of Pharmacoepidemiology & Pharmacotherapy, Faculty of Science, Utrecht University, Utrecht Institute for Pharmaceutical Sciences (UIPS), The Netherlands

### Can More Public Discussions Ensure the Right Outcomes for Society?

James Whale, Chairman, James Whale Fund for Kidney Cancer, UK

### Challenging the System in Challenging Cases

Gabrielle Silver, CNS Director, Esai, Ltd., UK

16:00

Session 8

### ACCESS TO INNOVATION: A ROLE FOR NEW APPROACHES?

Session Chair:

**Ann-Christin Tauberman**, General Director, The Dental and Pharmaceutical Benefits Agency (TLV), Sweden

The old ways might not necessarily work for new products. Indeed, according to many stakeholders, the old systems are not working. Several companies have tried collaborative approaches with different parts of the decision-making chain. In this session, we will hear from the trail-blazers themselves on these new, innovative approaches to getting innovative treatments to patients.

### Is There a Role for Registries in the Health Economics and Clinical Added Value Assessment of Orphan Drugs?

Erik Tambuyzer, Senior Vice President, Corporate Affairs Europe and International, Genzyme, Belgium

### Risk-Sharing Schemes – The Way Forward?

Martin Price, Head of Market Access, Janssen-Cilag Ltd., UK

### Working with Agencies – A Shared Approach. First Feedback from Industry-Agency Cooperation in Development Co-Design – Speaking from Experience

Jens Grueger, Head Global Pricing & Reimbursement, Global Marketing & Sales, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

*Share your knowledge  
and make new contacts!*

**Knowledge Cafés**

*New at the  
EuroMeeting 2009*

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Theme 13

### Pre-Clinical/Clinical Interface: How to Improve Success in Developing Innovative Medicines

#### Theme Leaders:

**Bert Haenen**, Toxicologist, Schering-Plough, The Netherlands

**Klaus Olejniczak**, Scientific Director, BfArM, Germany

Pre-Clinical studies are generally used to explore the initial safety, pharmacology and pharmacokinetic behavior of new medicinal products before entering clinical studies. Even after reaching the clinical stage of development, preclinical testing continues. In pre-clinical R&D, researchers are continuously searching for new techniques, targets, safety endpoints, animal models etc. to improve the predictability of animal studies for the clinical situation. A session chaired by Beatriz Silva Lima, chairperson of EMEA-SWP focuses on juvenile animal studies for first in children trials. Another focuses on the safety and efficacy of the new emerging area of RNAi therapeutics.

**Tuesday, March 24, 2009**

**09:00**

**Session 1**

#### USE OF IMAGING IN NON-CLINICAL TOXICITY TESTING: WHAT IS ITS VALUE? WHAT ABOUT REGULATORY ACCEPTANCE?

Session Chair:

**Thomas Monticello**, Executive Director Toxicological Sciences Safety Assessment, Merck Research Laboratories, USA

Imaging technologies are widely used in clinical practice and are emerging as an important tool in efficacy assessment in clinical trials. Unfortunately, they have found limited use in preclinical settings and in toxicological research for various reasons. The growing promise of these technologies that will facilitate greater information sharing in the globalised non-clinical development of drugs, their promise to reduce the number of animals used in routine testing and their potential direct translation to clinical safety biomarkers will be discussed. In the presentations and discussions we will look at the implications for and regulatory acceptance of such methodologies for incorporation in routine non-clinical development of pharmaceuticals. This is a current challenge that needs to be addressed from a determination of what is raw data in the imaging world to the validation of the technologies.

#### Use of MicroCT in Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity Studies

Chris Winkelmann, Imaging Research, Merck and Company Inc., USA

#### Computer-Assisted Pathology Use in General Toxicology for Pharmaceutical Development

Stephen J. Potts, Global Director of Biotechnology and Pharmaceuticals, Aperio Technologies, USA

#### Development of PET Imaging Probes for Use in General Toxicology - The New Biomarker

William Slikker, Center Director, National Center for Toxicological Research, FDA, USA

**11:00**

**Session 2**

#### NON-CLINICAL EVALUATION OF HEPATOTOXICITY: WHERE DO WE STAND? HOW SCEPTICAL IS THE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY?

Session Chair:

**Bert Haenen**, Toxicologist, Schering-Plough, The Netherlands

Drug-induced liver injury is one of the major causes for market withdrawal of an approved medicinal product. Pharmaceutical industry is well aware of this issue and is searching for new strategies to improve signaling for hepatotoxic compounds. In addition, both EMEA and FDA have issued guidelines for evaluation of (pre) clinical hepatotoxicity. The EMEA guideline was received particularly with scepticism by the pharmaceutical industry. In this session both EMEA and FDA guidelines will be discussed. In addition, a contemporary approach to assessing the potential clinical relevance of hepatotoxic signals by

new drug candidates will be presented, as well as the search for new hepatotoxic biomarkers from using an integrated "omics" approach.

#### The EMEA and FDA Hepatotoxicity Guideline

Gerd Bode, Lecturer, University of Göttingen and Consultant, Germany

#### Current Industry Approach to Filter Potentially Livertoxic Compounds

Colin Fish, Director, Non Clinical Safety Projects, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

#### New Biomarkers for Hepatotoxicity: An Integrated Omics Approach

Kirstin Meyer, Non-Clinical Drug Safety, Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Germany

**14:00**

**Session 3**

#### NON-CLINICAL SUPPORT FOR FIRST-IN-MAN STUDIES

Session Chair:

**David R. Jones**, Expert Scientific Officer, Pharmacotoxicologist, MHRA, UK

It is recognised that in some cases insight on human physiology/pharmacology, knowledge of drug candidate characteristics and therapeutic target relevance to disease are benefited by earlier access to human data. Streamlined early exploratory approaches can accomplish this end. Exploratory Clinical Studies (ECS) for the purpose of this guidance are those intended to be conducted early in Phase 1, involve limited human exposure, have no therapeutic or diagnostic intent, and are not intended to examine maximum tolerated dose. They can be used to investigate a variety of parameters such as pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and other biomarkers, which could include PET receptor binding and displacement.

#### Non-Clinical Support for First-in-Human Studies

Paul Baldrick, Head of Regulatory Affairs, Covance Laboratories Ltd., UK

#### Exploratory Clinical Studies - Why, When and How?

Phil Wilcox, Vice President, World-Wide Non-Clinical Safety Projects Safety Assessment, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

#### First-in-Human Studies - An EU Regulatory Perspective

David R. Jones, Expert Scientific Officer, Pharmacotoxicologist, MHRA, UK

**16:00**

**Session 4**

#### UPDATE ON ANTI-CANCER DRUG GUIDELINE ICH9

Session Chair:

**Klaus Olejniczak**, Scientific Director, BfArM, Germany

There have been no internationally accepted objectives or recommendations on the design and conduct of non-clinical studies to support the development of anti-cancer drugs. The purpose of this session is to provide information to assist in the design of an appropriate program of non-clinical studies for the development of anti-cancer drugs.

#### Update on Anti-Cancer Drug Guideline ICH S9

Klaus Olejniczak, Scientific Director, BfArM, Germany

#### Industry Experience with Non-Clinical Development of Anti-cancer Drugs

Hermann Schweinfurth, Head of Non-Clinical Drug Safety, Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Germany

#### Cancer Research UK Experience with Non-Clinical Development of Anti-Cancer Drugs

Robert Williams, Head of Drug Development Projects & Non-Clinical Development, Cancer Research UK

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Wednesday, March 25, 2009

09:00

Session 5

### UPDATE ON ICH S6 NON-CLINICAL SAFETY TESTING OF BIOTECHNOLOGY-DERIVED PRODUCTS:

#### PART 1

**Jennifer Sims**, Director, Head NBx Translational Sciences & Safety, Novartis Biologics, Switzerland

In June 2008 it was decided by ICH that the S6 Guideline will be updated with a focus on a few topics. Over two sessions the topics will be highlighted and followed by a panel discussion of several members of the Expert Working Group dealing with these topics.

#### Update of S6 Guideline: Reprotox of Homologue Monoclonals: Is it Useful?

**Jan Willem Van der Laan**, Senior Assessor Section on Safety of Medicines and Teratology Centre for Biological Medicines & Medical Technology, RIVM, The Netherlands

#### Reproductive Toxicity Testing of Monoclonals

**Jane Stewart**, Reproductive Toxicology, AstraZeneca, UK

#### Immunogenicity

**Jeanine Bussiere**, Executive Director of Toxicology, Amgen Inc., USA

#### Selection of the Right Species

**Marguerite Dempster**, Safety Assessment, GlaxoSmithKline Research and Development Ltd., UK

11:00

Session 6

### UPDATE ON ICH S6 NON-CLINICAL SAFETY TESTING OF BIOTECHNOLOGY-DERIVED PRODUCTS:

#### PART 2

Session Chair:

**Jan Willem Van der Laan**, Senior Assessor Section on Safety of Medicines and Teratology Centre for Biological Medicines & Medical Technology, RIVM, The Netherlands

In addition to the topics in Part 1, Part 2 will discuss Study Design Issues followed by a panel discussion of several members of the Expert Working Group dealing with these topics.

#### Study Design Issues 1 PKPD

**Peter Lloyd**, Head of PKPD Biologics, Novartis Horsham Research Centre, UK

#### Study Design Issues 2

FDA speaker invited

Panel discussion with speakers and **Beatriz Silva Lima**, Professor, Pharmacology, iMED, University of Lisbon, INFARMED, Portugal

14:00

Session 7

### NEW CONCEPTS IN THE ASSESSMENT OF GENOTOXICITY

Session Chair:

**Peter Kasper**, Scientific Director, BfArM, Germany

The current revision of the ICH S2 genotoxicity guideline and a recent CHMP Q&A document on genotoxic impurities have provided several new recommendations for genotoxicity assessment. This session presents an overview of the key changes in these areas and highlights some of the challenges from industry perspective; in particular in relation to the integration of genotoxicity measurement into general toxicity studies and the controlling of genotoxic impurities during early drug development.

#### Revision of the ICH S2 Genotoxicity Guideline: What's New? Regulation of Genotoxic Impurities: Supplementary Advice from a CHMP Q&A Document

**Peter Kasper**, Scientific Director, BfArM, Germany

### Genetic Toxicology – Moving from Stand-Alone to Integrated Testing

**Andreas Rothfuss**, Genetic Toxicology, Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Germany

### Strategies for Managing Genotoxic Impurities During Preclinical and Clinical Development

**Bernard Leblanc**, Director, Regulatory Submissions & External Relations Safety Sciences, Pfizer, France

16:00

Session 8

### UPDATE ON THE USE OF JUVENILE ANIMAL STUDIES FOR PAEDIATRIC DRUG DEVELOPMENT

Session Chair:

**Beatriz Silva Lima**, Professor, Pharmacology, iMED, University of Lisbon, INFARMED, Portugal

An update on the current discussions regarding non-clinical development plan of paediatric drugs, the role of juvenile animal studies and the approaches in place in the European and US context, taking into consideration the recently approved regional guidelines, will be addressed by EU and US Regulators and by an industry representative.

#### The European Situation with Several Case Studies

**Beatriz Silva Lima**, Professor, Pharmacology, iMED, University of Lisbon, INFARMED, Portugal

#### FDA Experience of the Request for Juvenile Animal Studies and Guideline Management

FDA speaker invited

#### The Industry Experience Including the Highlight of Aspects Needing Harmonisation between Regions

**Mark Hurtt**, Head, Global Developmental and Reproductive Toxicology, Pfizer, USA

**Make the most of  
the experience!**

**Choose from 21  
Pre-Conference Tutorials**

**Monday, March 23, 2009  
09:00-12:30**

**Register for a  
pre-conference tutorial  
Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org)  
and click on the  
EuroMeeting icon**

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Theme 14

### Personalised Medicine in Drug Development: Adding Value with New Tools - Biomarkers, Diagnostics and Health Economics

#### Theme Leaders:

**Michael Zuehlis**, Biomarker Development Global Head, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

**Linda Surh**, Director, CEDD Global Regulatory Affairs, Neurology and Pharmacogenetics, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

Improved understanding of diseases, mechanisms of drug action, and human genome sequencing have raised public expectations for modern targeted medicines towards a personalised medicine approach. A doctor prescribing a medicine to a patient might say she/he already practices 'personalised medicine', so this term may be just a 'buzz' word for them. A payer reimbursing the patient's medicine might say that the economic pressures within the healthcare system must drive the future pharmaceutical market towards individualised treatment to improve efficacy, value and safety. Yet how does anyone (whether regulator, industry researcher or medical practitioner) convert complex population data gathered over decades for one new drug into prescriber decisions and actions that tailor treatment for an individual? This theme covers key new tools in drug development, from emerging biomarkers to health economics, with a focus on how these may be expected to meet the demands of the personalised medicine field. In turn, we will show how this creates specific challenges in the project management of a new drug by following benefit-risk assessments, moving through specific regulatory environments such as drug-diagnostic co-development, health economic evaluations and effective integration of biomarkers that need clinical validation.

**Tuesday, March 24, 2009**

**09:00**

**Session 1**

#### PERSONALISED MEDICINE: BEYOND THE BUZZ WORD

Session Chair:

**Michael Zuehlis**, Biomarker Development Global Head, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

Today, 'Personalised Medicine' is one of the most used buzzwords in healthcare. Each stakeholder has a different understanding of the term. This session will open the topic with specialists from the pharmaceutical industry, medical practice and health economics who will point out their views on the promises and problems. Topics covered include the understanding of individual responses to disease (prognosis) and drug (prediction) with value assessments optimising the rationalised use of limited resources in today's healthcare systems.

#### Industry View (Rx and Dx)

Jean-Jacques Garaud, Global Head Pharma Development, F. Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Switzerland

#### Clinician View

Munir Pirmohamed, Senior Lecturer, Dept of Pharmacology, University of Liverpool, UK

#### Economics View

Adrian Towse, Director, Office of Health Economics, UK

**11:00**

**Session 2**

#### FROM POPULATIONS TO INDIVIDUALS: INDUSTRY TOOLS AND ASSESSMENT OF BENEFIT/RISK BALANCE

Session Chair:

**Lawrence J. Lesko**, Director, Office of Clinical Pharmacology and Biopharmaceutics, CDER, FDA, USA

The session deals with two basic building blocks of Personalised Medicine: Biomarkers and Value (defined as quality/cost) assessment for an individualised therapy and/or labelling for a given drug. Key discussion topics will be the longitudinal translation and validation of biomarkers to "fit for purpose";

stratified development and labelling to reach regulatory approval, and payer reimbursement. The concluding panel discussion will be a forum to discuss the strategies, positive impact, and global challenges of Personalised Medicine with regulators from Europe, US, and Japan.

#### Established Biomarkers and How Much Time towards Medical Meaningfulness

Lawrence J. Lesko, Director, Office of Clinical Pharmacology and Biopharmaceutics, CDER, FDA, USA

#### Fitting Biomarkers for Purpose in Drug Development

Erik Tambuyzer, Senior Vice President, Corporate Affairs Europe and International, Genzyme, Belgium

#### Panel: Benefit Risk Assessment: How to Integrate the New Tools for Better Decision Making

Yoshiaki Uyama, Review Director, Office of New Drugs III, PMDA, Japan and Bruno Flamion, Chair Scientific Advice Working Party, CHMP, Professor Clinical Pharmacology, University of Namur, Belgium

**14:00**

**Session 3**

#### PERSONALISED MEDICINE: THINKING OUT OF THE BOX

Session Chair:

**Marisa Papaluca Amati**, Deputy Head of Sector, Safety and Efficacy of Medicines, EMEA, EU

Early integration of PM concepts (i.e., targeted therapies) in development is a decision with far-reaching consequences not only for the pharmaceutical and diagnostic industry but also for the regulatory environment. What will be the challenges for today's drug development and regulatory framework, and tomorrow's need for regulatory evolution? Does the scientific and regulatory complexity of Personalised Medicine require new ways of interaction among stakeholders including learning from each other, or is there not so much 'new' here? This session will try to give a potential outlook on the recommendation of future drug development geared to individualised medicines based on the experiences of the past and of today.

#### Impact of Personalised Medicine on the Regulatory Framework

Marisa Papaluca Amati, Deputy Head of Sector, Safety and Efficacy of Medicines, EMEA, EU

#### Diagnostic Challenges: Interaction with Regulators, Pharma and Users

Ron Lagerquist, Director, Regulatory Affairs and Quality Assurance, Dako North America, Inc. USA

#### Personalised Medicine and Orphan Drug Designation – Commonalities and Differences

Tim Coté, Director, Office of Orphan Products Development, FDA, USA

#### Q&A Panel: What to do Next beyond Regulations?

**16:00**

**Session 4**

#### REGULATORY GUIDELINES COMPARED TO MEDICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES: THEIR PLACES IN PERSONALISED MEDICINE

Session Chair:

**Linda Surh**, Director, CEDD Global Regulatory Affairs, Neurology and Pharmacogenetics, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

In this session, we focus on the frontline involvement of medical practitioners, government regulators, and industry researchers in drug development. For Personalised Medicine on a medicine label, complex population data will need to be converted into predefined cut-offs for stratification of patients and yet still enable decisions and actions for an individual prescriber and patient. An expert panel will discuss via brief highlights and audience Q&A on how innovations in understanding new genomic factors and other non-genomic covariates can be used to tailor treatment for a patient subgroup in drug development to maximise the probability of a positive drug response for an individual in medical practice.

**How Does a Medicine Label Influence Medical Practice: Advise vs. Drive?**  
Munir Pirmohamed, Senior Lecturer, Dept of Pharmacology, University of Liverpool, UK

**How Much Clinical Difference by an Emerging Biomarker Is Needed to Make a Difference to Alter Label and/or Medical Practice?**

Bruno Flamion, Chair Scientific Advice Working Party, CHMP, Professor Clinical Pharmacology, University of Namur, Belgium

Lawrence J. Lesko, Director, Office of Clinical Pharmacology and Biopharmaceutics, CDER, FDA, USA

Yoshiaki Uyama, Review Director, Office of New Drugs III, PMDA, Japan

**How does Drug Development Incorporate Innovation like Personalised Medicine with Medical Practice and Regulation?**

Oscar Della Pasqua, Director of Clinical Pharmacology, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

**Wednesday, March 25, 2009**

**09:00**

**Session 5**

**HOT TOPIC:**

**MAJOR PLAYERS IN PERSONALISED MEDICINE: EXPECTATIONS AND SPECIFIC NEEDS IN ONGOING EDUCATION**

Session Chair:

**Michael Zuehlis**dorf, Biomarker Development Global Head, Novartis Pharma AG, Switzerland

The application and development of Personalised Medicine approaches is dependent on biomedical progress and the application of newest medical and clinical pharmacological research data to drug development and medical practice. As the speed of biomedical research and diagnostics tools are increasing the need of ongoing education of all involved partners in Personalised Medicine will be a major challenge and prerequisite of appropriate applications. Speakers from academia, pharmaceutical industry, regulators and patient representation will discuss the education needs from their specific point of views and how offer ideas on how these needs can be met.

**Clinician's View**

Matthias Schwab, Professor and Chair of Clinical Pharmacology, Dr. Margarete Fischer-Bosch Institute, Department Clinical Pharmacology, University Hospital Tuebingen, Germany

**Pharma View**

Ansar Jawaid, Global Skills Group Leader Statistical Genetics, Research & Development Genetics, AstraZeneca, UK

**Patient's View**

David Haerry, Representative, European AIDS Treatment Group, Belgium

**Regulator's View**

Bruno Flamion, Chair Scientific Advice Working Party, CHMP, Professor Clinical Pharmacology, University of Namur, Belgium

## DIA TRAINING COURSES IN EUROPE

*Register for upcoming DIA  
training courses in 2009*

The DIA offers training courses in Europe in the following areas:

*Clinical Research*

*Non-Clinical Safety Sciences*

*Regulatory Affairs*

*Safety and Pharmacovigilance*

In 2009 we will also offer training courses on *Quality*.

For a list of all our training courses visit

[www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) > Click on Educational Offerings >

Select Region 'Europe' and Event Type 'Training

Course' > Click on Find.



This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Theme 15

### Regulatory Developments and Availability of Biosimilar, Generic, and Self-Care Medicines

#### Theme Leaders:

**Suzette Kox**, Senior Director, Scientific Affairs, EGA, Belgium

**Peter Bachmann**, Senior Expert European Affairs, BfArM, Germany

The regulatory environment is constantly evolving, bringing new opportunities but also new challenges that enhance the need for ongoing dialogue and adaptation by the regulatory authorities and industry.

The sessions in this theme will discuss how industry and regulators can meet these various new challenges and improve the efficiency of the current regulatory system in order to increase the availability of biosimilar, generic and self-care medicines for the benefit of the patients. The individual sessions will particularly discuss the current initiatives to optimise the use of resources at the level of the national competent authorities and the pharmaceutical industry, the issues surrounding the introduction of biosimilar products into high-prescribing areas, the feasibility of a global development programme for biosimilar medicines, the scientific and regulatory perspective regarding second generation biosimilar products and patent linkage in the EU regulatory systems. The sessions on self-care will reflect on how access to non-prescription medicines, whether of chemical or herbal origin, may be improved by a better regulatory system taking into account the characteristics of these medicines.

**Tuesday, March 24, 2009**

**09:00**

**Session 1**

#### WAYS TO IMPROVE THE CURRENT REGULATORY SYSTEM FOR ASSESSMENT OF MEDICINAL PRODUCTS WITH KNOWN ACTIVE SUBSTANCES

Session Chair:

**Beata Stepniewska**, Director Regulatory Affairs, EGA, Belgium

The purpose of this session is to discuss current initiatives to optimise the use of resources at the level of the national competent authorities and the pharmaceutical industry to improve the efficiency of the current regulatory system. The cooperation of the European network, sharing the workload among authorities in the assessment of new applications, variations, PSUR etc. will be discussed in particular. The outcome of on-going discussions at the HMA and CMD(h) level will be presented and supplemented with ideas from the industry side.

#### Heads of Medicines Agencies' Strategy to Improve Efficiency of the EU Regulatory System

Jean Marimbert, Director General, Afssaps, France

#### CMD(h) Initiative to Increase Access to DCP/MRP Procedures

Peter Bachmann, Senior Expert European Affairs, BfArM, Germany

#### Industry Contribution

Beata Stepniewska, Director Regulatory Affairs, EGA, Belgium

**11:00**

**Session 2**

#### INTRODUCTION OF BIOSIMILAR MEDICINES INTO CLINICAL PRACTICE

Session Chair:

**Sandy Eisen**, Chief Medical Officer, TEVA Europe, UK

The EU is leading worldwide in providing a legal framework for biosimilar medicines. This session will discuss the issues surrounding the introduction of biosimilar products into high-prescribing areas as well as the prescribing and pharmacovigilance practices in place in various Member States.

#### Update on Current EU Clinical Issues for European Biosimilar Medicines

Sandy Eisen, Chief Medical Officer, TEVA Europe, UK

#### Introduction of Biosimilars into Clinical Practice: A Company's Perspective and Experience

Michael Muenzberg, Global Head Medical Affairs Biopharmaceuticals, Sandoz, Germany

#### Ex-Regulator Point of View

Frits Lekkerkerker, Advisor MEB, Member NDA Advisory Board, NDA Regulatory Science Ltd, UK

**14:00**

**Session 3**

#### KNOWN ACTIVE SUBSTANCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHIC APPLICATIONS - CURRENT PROBLEMS, POSSIBILITIES AND SOLUTIONS

Session Chair:

**Peter Bachmann**, Senior Expert European Affairs, BfArM, Germany

The legal basis, the bibliographic application (Article 10 (a) of Directive 2001/83/EC, as amended) for known active substances with well established use is currently at the centre of various legal and procedural discussions in the European Community. One of the fundamental questions is the extent/quality of the bibliography and the degree of scientific interest which has to be provided by the applicant in such a case.

#### A Company's Perspective

Speaker to be confirmed

#### The European Commission Perspective

Irene Sacristan-Sanchez, Administrator, DG Enterprise, European Commission, EU

#### A Regulator's Perspective

Peter Bachmann, Senior Expert European Affairs, BfArM, Germany

**16:00**

**Session 4**

#### A GLOBAL PROGRAMME FOR THE NEXT GENERATION IN BIOSIMILARS

Session Chair:

**Cecil Nick**, Vice President (Biotechnology), PAREXEL Consulting, Uxbridge UK

The biosimilar approach is controversial for more complex biologicals such as monoclonals and vaccines. Furthermore, no clear pathway exists for approval of biosimilars in many regions outside Europe. The aim of this session is to explore how best to navigate the global regulatory environment to bring affordable high quality similar biologicals to the world markets. Both the simple and more complex proteins will be considered.

#### Challenges in Formulating a Global Biosimilar Development Program

Akundi Sriram, Scientific Manager, Research and Development (Biologicals), Biocon Ltd., India

#### Developing the Next Generation of Biosimilars

Brian Kim, Vice President of QA and Regulatory Compliance, Celltrion Inc., South Korea

#### Rising to the Future Challenges Faced by EU Regulators

Christian Schneider, Acting Head of Division EU Cooperation/Microbiology, Chairman Biosimilars Working Party, Paul-Ehrlich-Institute, Germany

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Wednesday, March 25, 2009

09:00

Session 5

### "SMART REGULATION" FOR NON-PRESCRIPTION MEDICINES

Session Chair:

**Helen Darracott**, Director of Legal and Regulatory Affairs, PAGB, UK

The session will reflect on how 'the better regulation principles' have so far been beneficial for the non-prescription sector at both EU and national levels and what remains to be done. Proposals for an adequate future regulatory environment are outlined in the AESGP document "Smart Regulation 2015". This builds on recent successful national initiatives such as the German synchronisation of PSURs which inspired a similar EU initiative or the Better Regulation of Over-the-Counter Medicines Initiative (BROMI) in the UK.

#### Update on BROMI

Helen Darracott, Director of Legal and Regulatory Affairs, PAGB, UK

### AESGP Vision for the Future of Non-Prescriptive Medicines-Smart Regulation

Christelle Anquez-Traxler, Regulatory and Scientific Affairs Manager, AESGP

### Better Regulation in Practice: Example of the BfArM Initiative

Michael Horn, Head of Division 'Licensing 1: Validation, Simplified Procedures, Parallel Import', BfArM, Germany.

11:00

Session 6

### PATENTS AND THE EU REGULATORY SYSTEMS

Session Chair:

**Elke Grooten**, Director Pharmaceutical Policy, EGA, Belgium

According to EU legislation, there is no linkage of the application or granting marketing authorisation to a generic medicine with the patent situation of the reference product. However there are various moves to patent linkage at the level of registration, pricing & reimbursement in some Member States. This session will provide an overview of patent linkage since the implementation of the pharmaceutical legislation (DIR 2001/83) in 2004 in the 27 Member States, and will explore the impact of patent linkage on the availability of generic medicines at patent expiry.

#### Overview of the Patent Linkage Situation in the EU

Elke Grooten, Director Pharmaceutical Policy, EGA, Belgium

#### Litigation Based on Patent Linkage in Different Member States

Kristof Roos, IP Partner, Crowell and Moring, Brussels Bar Association, Belgium

#### A Member State's Perspective

Speaker to be confirmed

14:00

Session 7

### NEWS ON RX TO OTC SWITCH

Session Chair:

**Christelle Anquez-Traxler**, Regulatory and Scientific Affairs Manager, AESGP, Belgium

This session will strive to provide a comprehensive overview on changing the legal status of a medicine from prescription to non-prescription by answering the following questions: Which factors are necessary to make a switch successful? What incentives can a country provide to increase switches? Which procedures need to be followed? What lessons can be learned from the latest switches?

#### EMA Experience to Date

Zaide Frias, Scientific Administrator, Legal/Regulatory Affairs, EMA, EU

#### Role of Pharmacists Pre- and Post-Switch

John Chave, Secretary General, PGEU, EU

#### The Calcipotriol Case Study

Bernd Eberwein, Executive Director, BAH, Germany

16:00

Session 8

### IMPROVED MARKET ACCESS TO HERBAL MEDICINAL PRODUCTS

Session Chair:

**Bernd Eberwein**, Executive Director, BAH, Germany

Four years after the implementation of the directive on traditional herbal medicinal products, the session will review the progress made by the EMA Herbal Medicinal Products Committee (HMPC) on the development of the monographs and list entries and the practical impact this had on the market for traditional and well-known herbal medicines in Europe. Based on practical experience gained, and taking into account the report of the European Commission, implementation issues and possible solutions aiming at facilitating the registration and authorisation of phyto-medicines in Europe will be discussed.

#### Regulatory Background and Practical Implementation in Europe: The Role of the HMPC

Werner Knöss, Head of Department for Herbal Medicines, Homeopathics and Anthroposophics, BfArM, Germany

#### Registration and Marketing Authorisation of Herbal Medicinal Products: Practical Experiences and Viewpoint of Industry

Christelle Anquez-Traxler, Regulatory and Scientific Affairs Manager, AESGP, Belgium

#### Toxicological Assessment of Herbal and Traditional Herbal Medicinal Products: New Developments

Olaf Kelber, Steigerwald GmbH, Germany

***Make the most of  
the experience!***

**Berlin is one of the most  
exciting cities in the world.  
Extend your trip.**

**Special hotel rates and  
exclusive EuroMeeting tours  
of Berlin can be found at  
[www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org)  
Click on the EuroMeeting icon**

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Theme 16

### Preparing for the Future – Challenges in Pharmaceutical Quality

#### Theme Leaders:

**Michael J. James**, Head of CMC Regulatory Advocacy and Intelligence, Global Regulatory Affairs, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

**Jean-Louis Robert**, Head, Department of Medicines Control Laboratory, National Health Laboratory, Luxembourg

It is foreseen that a number of important topics in the Quality area which have and continue to influence our current thinking will be addressed. The discussion at the ICH level on Pharmaceutical Development, Quality Risk Management and Pharmaceutical Quality System has provided new opportunities for development and manufacturing of medicinal products and aims at facilitating the introduction of innovative technologies. The possible impact of this new paradigm on pharmacopoeias and on lifecycle management of medicinal products will be addressed. In addition, other quality topics will cover the problem of API sourcing in a global pharmaceutical environment, the quality challenges in relation to paediatric formulations and the investigational medicinal product requirements for biological products.

**Tuesday, March 24, 2009**

**09:00**

**Session 1**

#### IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NEW ICH QUALITY PARADIGM

Session Chair:

**Jean-Louis Robert**, Head, Department of Medicines Control Laboratory, National Health Laboratory, Luxembourg

Q8 (Pharmaceutical Development), Q9 (Quality Risk Management), Q10 (Pharmaceutical Quality System) have reached broad consensus among the ICH partners. The devil is in the detail: how can these three complementary guidelines be effectively implemented by industry and acknowledged by regulators, so that full benefit can be gained? This session will present the work currently performed in the ICH Q8, 9, 10 Implementation Working Group and the challenges encountered. It will come up with some preliminary answers.

#### General Considerations in Implementation of Q8, Q9 and Q10

Jean-Louis Robert, Head, Department of Medicines Control Laboratory, National Health Laboratory, Luxembourg

#### GMP Inspections of the Future: Role of Inspector and Assessor

Jacques Morenas, Associate Director, Inspection and Companies Department, Chairman of PIC/S, Afssaps, France

#### How Can Industry Convince the Regulators?

Georges France, Vice President, Quality & Compliance Europe, Middle East, Africa, Wyeth Europe Ltd., UK

**11:00**

**Session 2**

#### INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN DRUG DEVELOPMENT AND MANUFACTURE

Session Chair:

**Gordon Muirhead**, Vice President and Dose Form Leader, Global Manufacturing and Supply, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

Compared with other industrial sectors, such as the aerospace and electronics industries, the pharmaceutical industry has historically been slow to adopt modern process design principles. However this situation is changing rapidly and there many drivers for this; alongside the adoption of ICH Q8, Q9 and Q10 recognition, there is a need to improve manufacturing efficiencies, as well as the requirement to meet patient needs for personalised medicines. Whilst this presents many opportunities and potential benefits for the pharmaceutical industry, it also presents a number of challenges. This session will provide a unique opportunity for industry and regulatory experts to present on the development, manufacturing, and regulatory challenges and opportunities associated with implementing such innovative technologies.

#### Innovative Technologies in Drug Development and Manufacture

Gert Ragnarsson, Scientific Director, MPA, Sweden

#### Using Innovative Technology Platforms to Customise Medicines for the Future

Patrick Crowley, USA

#### The Application of Innovative Technologies in Dosage Form Design and Drug Product Development

Alastair Coupe, Senior Director, WorldWide Pharmaceutical Sciences, Pfizer Global R&D, UK

**14:00**

**Session 3**

#### PHARMACOPOEIAS - DO THEY HAVE A PLACE IN A "QUALITY-BY-DESIGN" ENVIRONMENT?

Session Chair:

**Susanne Keitel**, Director, EDQM, EU

Pharmacopoeias define standards that are binding for the pharmaceutical industry. Recent developments in quality guidelines at an ICH level have seen a change in paradigm by the introduction of notions such as "quality-by-design" and "flexible regulatory approaches". How will pharmacopoeias fit into this changed environment? Is there still a need for legally binding standards? Is there a potential risk by hindering innovative approaches by industry? The session will discuss the present and future role of pharmacopoeias, focusing on expectations of industry from an API, excipient and finished-product point of view.

#### The Future Role of Pharmacopoeias in a "Quality-by-Design" Environment

Susanne Keitel, Director, EDQM, EU

#### "Quality-by-Design" and Pharmacopoeial Standards – A Contradiction?

Seppe de Gelas, Head of Regulatory Affairs & Quality Assurance Lonza Braine SA, Belgium

#### Can the Pharmacopoeias Have a Role in Fostering Innovation?

Janeen Skutnik, Director Quality & Regulatory Policy - Global Regulatory CMC, Pfizer Inc., Belgium

**16:00**

**Session 4**

#### THE PAEDIATRIC REGULATION - AN EASY WAY TO AGE-APPROPRIATE FORMULATIONS?

Session Chair:

**Diana van Riet-Nales**, Coordinator, Regulatory Affairs, RIVM, The Netherlands

Recently the EU Paediatric Regulation entered into the force with the aim of facilitating the development and availability of paediatric medicines. The regulation compels the pharmaceutical industry to provide the results of studies conducted in children when applying for a Marketing Authorisation for medicines containing a new active substance, or when adding a new indication, pharmaceutical form or route of administration to an existing medicine. These studies have to be conducted according to an approved Paediatric Investigation Plan and implicate the development of age-appropriate paediatric formulations. But what is an age appropriate formulation? And what should Regulatory Authorities consider appropriate?

#### Experiences and Recommendations from a Hospital Pharmacy

Françoise Brion, Director, Pharmacy Service, Department of Pharmacy, Hôpital Robert Debré AP-HP, France

#### The Challenge of Deciding on Acceptable and Unacceptable Age Appropriate Formulations

Diana van Riet-Nales, Coordinator, Regulatory Affairs, RIVM, The Netherlands

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

### Opportunities and Challenges from an Industry Perspective when Developing a Paediatric Formulation

Julie Williams, Executive Director, Global Chemistry, Manufacturing and Control (CMC), Pfizer Global Research and Development, UK

Discussion with George Wade, Scientific Administrator, EMEA, EU

**Wednesday, March 25, 2009**

09:00

Session 5

### CLINICAL TRIALS WITH BIOLOGICAL - IMPD REQUIREMENTS

Session Chair:

**Brigitte Brake**, Head of Unit Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, Biologics, Inspections, BfArM, Germany

Approval of clinical trials is the responsibility of individual EU Member States, which evaluate the products used in clinical studies. In view of the current lack of common reference guidance on which to base the assessment of quality of biological clinical trial material and the difference in experience among sponsors and competent authorities, there is a need to promote a harmonised approach throughout the European Union and facilitate multi-centre clinical trials in particular. The progress in drafting a guidance in this area will be discussed by regulators and industry. Views, experience and expectations of a regulator and representatives from industry will be shared.

### Filing an IMPD for Biologics in Europe Strategy, Experiences and Problems

Jason Hampson, Senior Manager, European Regulatory Affairs, Amgen Ltd., UK

### Chemical, Pharmaceutical & Biological Requirements for Biotechnology-derived Investigational Medicinal Products: Consolidated EFPIA & EBE Industry Perspective on the Content of the Future Guideline

Jens Schletter, Director, Regulatory Affairs, Human Genome Sciences Europe GmbH, Germany

### Quality Requirements for a Biological Investigational Medicinal Product Experiences and Expectations-A Regulator's View

Brigitte Brake, Head of Unit Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, Biologics, Inspections, BfArM, Germany

11:00

Session 6

### REVISION OF THE VARIATIONS REGULATIONS: AN UPDATE

Session Chair:

**Michael J. James**, Head of CMC Regulatory Advocacy and Intelligence, Global Regulatory Affairs, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

The European Commission launched the revision of the Variation Regulations in 2006, as part of the "Better Regulation" initiative. Its overall objectives were a clearer, simpler and more flexible system, a reduction in administration burden, adoption of ICH quality concepts, and further harmonisation. This session will provide an opportunity for industry and regulatory experts to present an update on the status of the overall legislative process, as well as the various implementation initiatives. Particular emphasis will be given to a discussion on the Commission's procedural and classification guidelines.

### Revision of the Variations Regulations – Present Status and Further Steps

Hilde Boone, Scientific Administrator - Regulatory Affairs and Organisational Support, EMEA, EU

### Revision to EU Variations - An Opportunity to Move to a Science and Risk-Based Approach?

Michael J. James, Head of CMC Regulatory Advocacy and Intelligence, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

### The UK Experience

Keith Pugh, Senior Assessor and Chair of the EMEA PAT Group, MHRA, UK

14:00

Session 7

### SOURCING OF API IN A GLOBALISED ENVIRONMENT

Session Chair:

**Nigel Hamilton**, Quality Director, Industrial Quality and Compliance, sanofi-aventis, France

This session discusses the opportunities and challenges facing the pharmaceutical industry and regulatory agencies in the context of global API sourcing.

Sabine Atzor, Principal Administrator, European Commission, EU

### API Inspections in the Framework of the Certification Scheme- The EDQM Experience

Thomas Hecker, Inspector, EDQM, France

### Risk-Based Approach for Sourcing of API's

Hanno Binder, Head Quality Management, Sandoz, Austria

16:00

Session 8

### WHAT'S NEW IN THE QUALITY ARENA?

Session Co-Chairs:

**Michael J. James**, Head of CMC Regulatory Advocacy and Intelligence, Global Regulatory Affairs, GlaxoSmithKline, UK

**Jean-Louis Robert**, Head, Department of Medicines Control Laboratory, National Health Laboratory, Luxembourg

The session will provide an update of the key quality initiatives in EU, and will reflect the higher-priority topics and activities of both the CHMP Quality Working Party and EFPIA Quality Ad Hoc Group. The following topics are foreseen, but may be revised due to future developments:

### Setting Specifications in the Future

Genotoxic impurities

Analytical methods: new paradigms in the future?

This will be a joint presentation, providing both an industry and EU regulatory agency perspective. It is envisaged that this will be an interactive session, and audience participation will be actively encouraged.

### Regulator's Point of View

Jean-Louis Robert, Head, Department of Medicines Control Laboratory, National Health Laboratory, Luxembourg

### EFPIA's Point of View

Michael J. James, Head of CMC Regulatory Advocacy and Intelligence, Global

**Special hotel rates for  
EuroMeeting 2009  
attendees now available.**

**Book now at  
[www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org)  
Click on the  
EuroMeeting icon**

# Hot Topics and Stand-Alone Sessions

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## Tuesday, March 24, 2009

09:00

Session 1

### UPDATE ON REGULATORY PHARMACOVIGILANCE

Session Chair:

**Noël Wathion**, Head of Unit, Post-Authorisation Evaluation of Medicines for Human Use, EMEA, EU

Pharmacovigilance and safety of medicines continue to be a priority for the EMEA in 2009. Various activities will be undertaken in line with the rolling Work Plan for the European Risk Management Strategy (ERMS), a joint initiative between the EMEA and Heads of Medicines Agencies (HMA). This session will focus on several initiatives important for both regulators and pharmaceutical industry, and will provide a status report on major progress made in 2008 and important work planned for 2009.

### Update on EU Risk Management Plans

**Stella Blackburn**, EMEA Risk Management Coordinator, EMEA, EU

### Signal Management in the EU

**Xavier Kurz**, Principal Administrator, EMEA, EU

### ENCePP: Current Status and Next Steps

**Henry Fitt**, Specialised Group Leader ONC/CVS, EMEA, EU

### Panel with Peter Arlett, Head of Sector for Pharmacovigilance and Risk Management, EMEA, EU

11:00

Session 2

### HOT TOPIC IN TELEMATICS

Session Chair:

**Timothy Buxton**, Head of Sector, Project Management, Communications and Networking Unit, EMEA, EU

The Annual DIA EuroMeeting provides the forum for a status review of key European pharmaceutical industry information initiatives. This Telematics "Hot Topics" session will assess where we are with the implementation of the telematics strategy and describe what we should expect in 2009/2010.

The EU telematics strategy supports the strategic objectives of the EU for the implementation of key information systems as required by various Directives and Regulations issued since 2001. The telematics management structure is putting into place a number of systems that implement these requirements of the legislation including EudraVigilance, EudraCT, EUDRApharm, EudraGMP and EudraNet.

14:00

Session 3

### HARNESSING INDUSTRY AND AUTHORITY EXPERIENCE: WORKING TOGETHER TO ACHIEVE EFFECTIVE GUIDELINES

Session Co-Chairs:

**Gesine Bejeuhr**, VFA German Association of Research-Based Pharm Co., Germany

**Marianne Koehne**, Head of Regulatory Intelligence Office, Boehringer Ingelheim GmbH, Germany

This session will address experiences in commenting on draft guidelines from an industry point of view, to focus on practical aspects in order to "break down the walls" between different business functions. Furthermore what happens behind the scenes of industry associations when compiling the final industry position will be outlined. And finally, an EMEA representative will illustrate the process of drafting a new guideline as well as experiences with the EMEA "Guideline on Guidelines", published in June 2005.

### Knowledge Exchange in the Development of Guidelines

**Julie Doel**, Manager, Regulatory Intelligence (Europe), GlaxoSmithKline, UK

### Contribution of Industry Associations in the Development of Guidelines

**Gesine Bejeuhr**, Regulatory & Safety Intelligence, Gruenenthal GmbH, Germany

### Working towards Better Legislation in Practice

**Hans-Georg Eichler**, Senior Medical Officer, EMEA, EU

16:00

Session 4

### TRANSLATING siRNA TO MEDICINES

Session Chair:

**Gunther Hartmann**, Director Department of Clinical Pharmacology, University Hospital Bonn, Germany

There is a recent debate on whether siRNA should be developed under the regulations of gene therapy, and there is great concern that this would slow down this innovative and promising new class of drugs on its way to the patient. This session will view the clinical development of siRNA from three different angles: regulatory agency, academia and industry.

### Gene Therapy and siRNA: Why Apply the Same Regulations?

**Harn Hermesen**, Assessor, Member Gene therapy Working Party EMEA, RIVM, The Netherlands

### Dedicated Phase I Unit in the University Hospital

**Gunther Hartmann**, Director Department of Clinical Pharmacology, University Hospital Bonn, Germany

### Clinical Development of siRNA: The Practical Experience

**Rachel Myers**, Director of Research, Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, USA

## Wednesday, March 25, 2009

09:00

Session 5

### THE PHARMACEUTICAL PACKAGE- RECENT DEVELOPMENT AND POSITIONS OF DIFFERENT STAKEHOLDERS

Session Chair:

**Barbara Sickmüller**, Deputy Director General, German Pharmaceutical Industry Association (BPI), Germany

The so-called Pharmaceutical Package is one of the last legislative activities of the current European Commission in the pharmaceutical field. It consists of a bundle of legal proposals in the fields of Pharmacovigilance, Counterfeiting and Patient Information. What are the main proposals within the Pharmaceutical package? How is the Package discussed at a European level? What are the views of different stakeholders? The session will bring together expertise taking into regard the view of the European Parliament and Council as well as industry representatives. The timeframe for the package will look like and what amendments might be needed in order to achieve a positive vote in Parliament and Council.

### The Pharmaceutical Package - Main Topics Regarding Pharmacovigilance and Patient Information and Industry Position

### The Pharmaceutical Package - Main Topics Regarding Counterfeiting and Industry Position

### Overview about the Discussions in the European Parliament Regarding the Pharmaceutical Package

### The Pharmaceutical Package from the perspective of the Council

09:00

Session 5

### UPDATE IN ADVANCED THERAPIES

Session Chair:

**John Purves**, Head of Sector, Quality of Medicines, EMEA, EU

The European Union's Regulation 1394/2007 on advanced therapy medicinal products (ATMP) came into force on the 30th December 2008.

During 2007-2008, EMEA and Commission worked closely together in planning and executing the implementation of this new Regulation. The EMEA established an internal Task Force, to co-ordinate the work it had to do to assist in the implementation exercise. This involved contributions to technical

# Hot Topics and Stand-Alone Sessions

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

requirements and the development of a number of procedures and guidelines, including for example Annex I revision, CAT Rules of Procedure, guidelines on Classification, Certification, Traceability, GMP and GCP.

The objective of this session is to update colleagues on the most current positions for the topics cited in the programme and to facilitate the introduction of the new procedures and guidelines in a transparent manner, the review of dossiers and, thus, the availability of ATMPs for patients in Europe.

## **Update on Advanced Therapies Regulation Implementation and Quality and Non-Clinical Certification**

Patrick Celis, Scientific Administrator, EMEA, EU

## **Review Process of Advanced Therapy Products: from Scientific Advice to CAT CHMP Opinion**

Marie-Helene Pinheiro, EMEA, EU

## **Risk Management of Advanced Therapy Products**

Jan Petracek, Risk Management Team, Sector Pharmacovigilance, Safety & Efficacy of Human Medicines, EMEA, EU

### **Panel with:**

**Christian Schneider, Acting Head, Division EU Cooperation/Microbiology and Acting Head, Section EU Cooperation Biomedicinal Products, Paul-Ehrlich-Institute**

11:00

Session 6

## **FDA'S PRO GUIDANCE AND EMEA'S HRQL REFLECTION PAPER- 3 YEARS ON**

Session Chair:

**Laurie Burke**, Director Study Endpoints and Label Development, FDA, USA

The FDA's draft Guidance for Industry 'Patient-Reported Outcome Measures: Use in medical product development to support labeling claims' and the EMEA's 'Reflection Paper on the Regulatory Guidance for the Use of Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQL) Measures in the Evaluation of Medicinal Products' were both published over three years ago. This session will compare and contrast the principles set forth in the two documents and will look at the impact they have had on clinical programs and international medical product development.

## **PRO Measurement: FDA Regulatory Concerns**

Laurie Burke, Director Study Endpoints and Label Development, FDA, USA

## **Comparing FDA and EMEA Regulatory Concerns**

Olivier Chassany, Medical Head, Clinical Head Research and Development Department, Assistance Publique – Hopitaux de Paris, Medical University Paris, France

## **Harmonising PRO Measurement Standards: EMEA Regulatory Perspective**

Mira Pavlovic, Vice-Chair Scientific Working Party (EMA) and Head of Scientific Advice Unit, Affsaps, France

11:00

Session 6

## **MOVING TOWARDS GREATER OPENNESS ON REGULATORY ACTIVITIES: THE NEW EMEA TRANSPARENCY POLICY**

Session Chair:

**Noël Wathion**, Head of Unit, Post-Authorisation Evaluation of Medicines for Human Use, EMEA, EU

One of the EMEA priorities for 2009 is to further increase transparency of operation. Building on the initiatives already taken in the past the EMEA will explore in 2009 how it can further improve in this field. This should result in the availability of an EMEA Transparency Policy in Autumn 2009. The EMEA will launch a public consultation on its proposals. This session will provide an opportunity to discuss with stakeholders the key principles of the proposed Transparency Policy.

## **The Key Principles of the Proposed EMEA Transparency Policy**

Valentina Stamouli, Scientific Administrator-Regulatory Affairs, EMEA, EU

## **The Transparency Challenge**

Vincenzo Salvatore, Head of Legal Sector, EMEA, EU

## **What Level of Transparency Does Civil Society Expect from Regulatory Authorities?**

11:00

Session 6

## **JAPANESE REGULATORY SESSION**

### **PMDA UPDATE: INITIATIVES AND CHALLENGES FOR PROMOTING GLOBAL DRUG DEVELOPMENT INCLUDING JAPAN**

Session Chair:

**Kyoichi Tadano**, Director, International Affairs Division, PMDA, Japan

In this session, the Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency (PMDA) will explain the current PMDA/Japanese drug regulatory environment and present PMDA perspectives for successful global drug development, including Japan.

Since 2004, the Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency (PMDA), the Japanese regulatory agency, has provided more efficient regulatory reviews of new drug applications (NDAs). The timing of new drug approval in Japan, however, is still longer than in US by approximately 2.5 years. To reduce this lag, shortening the review time and drug development period are major areas for improvement. In FY 2007, the PMDA announced a new plan to strengthen the review system in which the total time clock for drug approval (from a NDA submission to approval) will be decreased from approximately 24 months to 12 months. To achieve this, the PMDA has been introducing many new initiatives, including a new reviewer recruitment plan, a new project management system, a new training system and a new IND (investigational new drug) consultation system, etc. Regarding the number of reviewers, the PMDA will hire new 236 reviewers within 3 years starting from FY 2007. On the other hand, the PMDA has encouraged the inclusion of Japan in global drug development for synchronising the drug development period in Japan with that in US/EU. In the PMDA's IND consultations, numbers of cases focusing on issues in global drug development have been rapidly increasing since 2004. On September 2007, MHLW/PMMA published a paper entitled "Basic Principles of Global Clinical Trials" for promoting global drug development including Japan. In this session, the PMDA will explain current PMDA/Japanese drug regulatory environment and present the brief outlines of the next 5-year (FY2009-2013) mid-term plan and PMMA perspectives for successful global drug development including Japan.

## **Future Directions of the PMDA**

Tatsuya Kondo, Chief Executive, PMMA, Japan

## **PMMA Perspectives and Challenges to Promote Global Drug Development**

Satoshi Toyoshima, Executive Director and Director, Center for Product Evaluation, PMMA, Japan

## **Current Projects for Promoting Global Drug Development including Japan**

Yoshiaki Uyama, Review Director, Office of New Drug III, PMMA, Japan

14:00

Session 7

## **THE PHARMACEUTICAL SECTOR INQUIRY**

Session Chair:

**Bertold Bär-Bouyssère**, Partner EU & Competition, DLA Piper UK LLP, Belgium

The European Commission launched a Sector Inquiry in January 2008 to follow up on indications that competition in the EU's pharmaceutical market may not be working well, with fewer new medicines being brought to market and the entry of generics in some cases being delayed. A preliminary report was published in November 2008 for a two-month public consultation. This session will discuss the different positions of industry organisations and different scenarios of possible impact of the final report expected in the spring/summer of 2009.

## **The Pharma Sector Inquiry: The Role of Patients and Competition**

Fabio Domanico, Case Handler, Pharmaceuticals Sector Task Force, European Commission, EU

# Hot Topics and Stand-Alone Sessions

This is a preliminary programme. New speakers and sessions will be added. Visit [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) for the most recent additions to the programme.

## How to Enhance Innovation so that Patients Can Continue to Access Life-Saving Medicines

EFPIA representative invited

## How to Ensure Immediate Patient Access to Affordable Generic Medicines a Day on after Patent Expiry

Elke Grooten, Director Pharmaceutical Policy, EGA, Belgium

## Competition Rules and their Impact on Current and Future Rules in the Pharmaceutical Sector

Bertold Bär-Bouyssère, Partner EU & Competition, DLA Piper UK LLP, Belgium

14:00

Session 7

## DO WE ALWAYS NEED TO PERFORM EFFICACY TRIALS WITH CHILDREN?

Session Chair:

**Agnès Saint Raymond**, Head of Sector Scientific Advice and Orphan Drugs, EMEA, EU

Paediatric investigation plans and paediatric development should avoid unnecessary trials. This session will investigate what can be done, and how data should be used to maximise the use of existing data in adults, in older children or from various sources.

## Extrapolation of Efficacy from Adults to Children

FDA speaker invited

## Using Available Published Data and Systemic Review

Speaker invited

## Extrapolation of Efficacy in Paediatric Cardiovascular Diseases

Speaker invited

14:00

Session 7

## EU AGREEMENT: ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATORY SIMPLIFICATION – THE NEXT PHASE?

Session Co-Chairs:

**Marie A. Dray**, President, International Regulatory Affairs Group, LLC, USA  
**Brenton E. James**, Strategic Regulatory Affairs in the European Union, Consultant, UK

Since the establishment of the US-EU Confidential Arrangements to Exchange Information in 2004, reports on progress with exchanges of personnel and documents have been provided publicly via DIA Annual Meetings. This session will update the audience, to the extent not limited by confidentiality of sponsors' applications, on the current status of bilateral discussions under this arrangement. In addition, speakers will present the latest information on the newest US-EU dialogue, being conducted under the auspices of the Transatlantic Economic Integration project, whereby parties are implementing a roadmap for US-EU regulatory cooperation and transparency, to address simplification of regulatory processes.

## EMA Perspective

Thomas Lönngren, Executive Director, EMA, EU

## Regulatory Simplification – The Next Steps Forward

Murray Lumpkin, Deputy Commissioner, International and Special Programs, OC, FDA, USA

## Industry Perspective

Graham C Higson, Vice President & Head of Global Regulatory Affairs, AstraZeneca, UK

14:00

Session 7

## GLOBAL STRATEGY ON PUBLIC HEALTH, INNOVATION AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

Session Chair:

**Kees de Joncheere**, Regional Advisor, Health Technologies and Pharmaceuticals, Regional Office for Europe, WHO, Denmark

The World Health Assembly 2008 adopted resolution 61.21 including a global strategy and plan of action aimed at securing an enhanced and sustainable basis for needs-driven, essential health research and development relevant to diseases that disproportionately affect developing countries, proposing clear objectives and priorities for research and development, and estimating funding needs in this area. The report was based on the recommendations of the WHO Commission on Intellectual Property Rights, Innovation and Public Health. The session will discuss the strategy and the perspectives from different partners.

## A Global Strategy for Public Health, Innovation and Intellectual Property

Bart Wijnberg, Senior Advisor, International Strategy, Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport, The Netherlands

## Innovative Approaches for Medicines Innovation for Developing Countries

Michelle Childs, Director Policy Advocacy, Médecins sans Frontières, France

## How Industry Supports Innovation for Diseases Affecting the Poor in the World

Brendan Barnes, Director, Multilateral Issues & Health Policy, EFPIA, Belgium

16:00

Session 8

## SUMMARY OF THE ROUNDTABLE/WORKSHOP ON GCP INSPECTION AND AUDIT FINDINGS

Session Co-Chairs

**Fergus Sweeney**, Principal Scientific Administrator, GCP and Pharmacovigilance Inspector, EMA, EU

**Beat Widler**, Global Head, Clinical Quality Assurance, F. Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Switzerland

The session will present an overview of current issues being raised during inspection and audit. In particular the panel will focus on presenting feedback from discussions during a closed "Inspection Roundtable" (which will take place on Sunday, March 23, 2009), providing an overview of the key findings discussed, their impact and potential solutions. The panel will also highlight key developments in GCP compliance.

Leslie Ball, Director, Division of Scientific Investigations, Office of Compliance, CDER, FDA, USA

Pierre-Henri Bertoye, Head, Department of Inspection of Clinical & Non-Clinical Trials, Afssaps, France

David A. Lepay, Senior Advisor for Clinical Science, Science and Health Coordination, OC, FDA, USA

Gabriele Schwarz, Head, GCP Inspection Services, BfArM, Germany

## Sunday, March 22, 2009, 14:00-17:00

### CLOSED SESSION – Invitation Only

## GCP INSPECTION AND AUDIT FINDINGS - ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSION

Session Co-Chairs:

**Fergus Sweeney**, Principal Scientific Administrator, GCP & Pharmacovigilance Inspector, EMA, EU

**Beat Widler**, Global Head of Clinical Quality Assurance, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., Switzerland

During the course of GCP Inspections some important themes are identified by the inspectors as being common to multiple inspections. In a similar way GCP auditors working for industry identify key issues of concern. This round table provides an opportunity to identify and discuss some of these key findings with a panel of GCP inspection experts from regulatory authorities and GCP audit experts from industry/academia.

Leslie Ball, Director, Division of Scientific Investigations, Office of Compliance, CDER, FDA, USA

Pierre-Henri Bertoye, Head, Department of Inspection of Clinical & Non-Clinical Trials, Afssaps, France

David A. Lepay, Senior Advisor for Clinical Science, Science and Health Coordination, OC, FDA, USA

Gabriele Schwarz, Head, GCP Inspection Services, BfArM, Germany

# Greening the EuroMeeting: Towards an Environmentally-Friendly Conference



*The DIA in Europe is committed to ensuring that it minimises the affect that the EuroMeeting has on the environment and is taking proactive steps in Berlin 2009 to ensure that this happens. We know from participant feedback that greening the EuroMeeting is also something that our attendees want to see as well and we are confident that working together we can reduce our environmental impact.*



Berlin has an excellent public transport system and the convention centre is easily accessible by metro, suburban trains and public buses. The DIA in Europe encourages participants to use public transport during the EuroMeeting



The DIA in Europe is reducing its carbon footprint by offsetting the airmiles of staff travelling to the EuroMeeting. We offset our miles with a Swiss non-profit foundation, myclimate – The Climate Protection Partnership, at [www.myclimate.org](http://www.myclimate.org). We encourage all our participants travelling by air to the EuroMeting to consider offsetting their miles



All plastic names badge holders will be recycled



Participants will be offered the choice of a regular conference bag, an environmentally-friendly bag or no bag, as they wish



Conference bags will be recycled at the end of the EuroMeeting



**Mixed Sources**

Product group from well-managed  
forest, controlled sources and  
recycled wood or fibre  
[www.fsc.org](http://www.fsc.org) Cert no. SGS-COC-100208  
© 1996 Forest Stewardship Council

The paper used in all DIA's EuroMeeting publications is FSC-certified. The Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) is an independent, non-governmental, not-for-profit organisation established to promote the responsible management of the world's forests. FSC's standards are the highest social and environmental requirements in the forestry sector and they have proven to work across continents, forest types, sizes and ownership



Attendees can receive a reusable water bottle from the DIA booth to use during the conference and water coolers will be placed around the convention centre



Increased food options so that participants have the possibility to eat as low on the food chain as possible (e.g. more vegetarian options)



No styrofoam, paper or plastic cups, plates or cutlery will be used for catering during the EuroMeeting



The EuroMeeting Exhibitor Kit will be available online, significantly reducing paper waste



Exhibitors will be encouraged to use lead retrieval to send virtual brochures to attendees and to provide environmentally-friendly giveaways



Recycling facilities will be provided for participants, exhibitors and conference contractors for paper/cardboard, glass, plastic, packaging and wood. Recycling will be carried out by a registered recycling company to the standards of ISO 900. Transportation to the recycling centre will be in vehicles with low emission levels



Organic cleaning chemicals and recycled water will be used to clean the Convention Centre



# Exhibitors

## Exhibiting Companies as of October 13, 2008

AbCRO Inc, United States  
Accovion GmbH, Germany  
AKOS, United Kingdom  
Almac, United States  
Applied Clinical Trials, United States  
Aris Global LLC, United Kingdom  
Asian Clinical Trials, India  
Averion International Corp., United States  
B&C Group, Belgium  
Basecon AS, Denmark  
Berzelius Clinical Research Center, Sweden  
Bio-Kinetic Europe Ltd., United Kingdom  
Biomedical Systems, Belgium  
Bioskin GmbH, Germany  
BioStorage Technologies, Germany  
BT Global Services, Germany  
C3i, Inc., United States  
Cardinal Health Research Services, United States  
Cardiocore, United States  
CCRA, United Kingdom  
CDISC, United States  
CEMO S.A., France  
Charles River Clinical Research, United States  
Chiltern International, United Kingdom  
Cirion Clinical Trial Services Inc., United States  
CitySprint Medical Express, United Kingdom  
Clinical Research Services Andernach GmbH, Germany  
Clinical Trial EndPoint Ltd., Ireland  
clinIT AG, Germany  
ClinPhone, United Kingdom  
Clinsys Clinical Research, United States  
Comply Services, Belgium  
Covance, United Kingdom  
CRF Inc., United States  
Crom SI, Italy  
CROS NT, Italy  
Crown CRO Oy, Finland  
Datatrial Limited, United States  
DIA Patient Fellowship, Switzerland  
Diapharm International, Germany  
DOCS International, Ireland  
Dora Wirth (Languages) Ltd., United Kingdom  
Drug Safety Research Unit, United Kingdom  
Eclinso, Switzerland  
EMA (European Medicines Agency), EU  
Ennov/Clinsight, France  
entimo AG, Germany  
eResearchTechnology, United States  
EuroTrials Scientific Consultants, Portugal  
Extedo GmbH, Germany  
fme AG, Germany  
Fraunhofer ITC, Germany  
Global Clinical Trials, United States  
Globex Couriers GmbH, Germany  
Hays Pharma, United Kingdom  
i3, United States  
Image Solutions, Germany  
Imperial Clinical Research Services, United States  
INTERLAB central lab services – worldwide, Germany  
IRL Pvt. Ltd., India  
Kinapse Ltd., United Kingdom  
Levy Associates Ltd., United Kingdom  
Lionbridge Technologies, Inc., United States  
LORENZ Life Sciences Group, Germany  
Macron, Poland  
MDS Pharma Services, United States  
Meda Manufacturing GmbH, Germany  
MedDRA MSSO, United States  
Medicademy, Denmark

Medical Research Network Ltd., United Kingdom  
Medidata Solutions Worldwide, United States  
Medifacts International, United States  
Medikala Oy MedFiles, Finland  
Metronomia Clinical Research, Germany  
MNX Global Lifesciences, UK  
MSOURCE, Belgium  
NDA Regulatory Science Ltd., United Kingdom  
Online Business Applications, United States  
Oracle, United States  
Outcome, United States  
PAREXEL International, United States  
Perceptives Informatics, United States  
PFC Pharma Focus, Switzerland  
PharmaForms GmbH, Germany  
PharmaNet, United Kingdom  
Phase Forward, United Kingdom  
PHT, United States  
PMDA, Japan  
PRA International, United States  
Premier Research Group plc, United Kingdom  
Quadrantek Data Solutions Ltd., United Kingdom  
Quality Compliance Consulting, United States  
QuickSTAT, United States  
Quintiles, United Kingdom  
Regulatory Pharma Net, Italy  
Regulatory Resources Group Ltd., United Kingdom  
Relsys International, United States  
RSA, United Kingdom  
S-Clinica, Belgium  
SGS Life Science Services, France  
Tarius, Denmark  
TFS Trial Form Support, Sweden  
the Uppsala Monitoring Centre, Sweden  
Theradex (Europe) Ltd., United Kingdom  
Thomson Reuters, United States  
TOPRA, United Kingdom  
TransPerfect Translations Ltd., United Kingdom  
United BioSource Corporation, United States  
Virtify Inc., United States  
Vitalograph, United Kingdom  
WCI Consulting Limited, United Kingdom  
Woodley Equipment Company Ltd., United Kingdom  
World Courier, Belgium  
Xclinical GmbH, Germany

### Join us in Berlin Exhibit at the EuroMeeting 2009

Showcase your company's product or service to over 3000 drug development professionals at the EuroMeeting 2009 in Berlin. Join over 240 exhibitors to interact with professionals from the pharmaceutical, biotechnology, devices, healthcare delivery and related industries, as well as government and academia from over 50 countries.

For further information, please contact Phyllis Suter at the DIA European office: [phyllis.suter@diaeurope.org](mailto:phyllis.suter@diaeurope.org) or call +41 61 225 51 54

## Berlin – A Great City For Conference Attendees



At the very heart of Europe, Berlin is a bridge between east and west and over the last few years has evolved into a major platform for communication and knowledge transfer.

Berlin is ranked first among Germany's best conference locations and is in the international top five. Easily accessible, Berlin has three airports providing flight connections to the whole world, with 91 airlines operating flights to Berlin from 157 cities in 49 countries. When in Berlin, one of the most extensive underground and regional train systems of any major city in the world as well as over 1,000 buses and trams will help you get around. If that's not enough, over 7,000 taxi cabs will take you wherever and whenever you want to go.

Berlin can boast an outstanding selection of hotels, with more than 600 available across all categories, offering one of the largest and most modern selections of accommodation in Europe. Nearly all the major international hotel chains are represented.

Berlin is cosmopolitan and open 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. About 1,700 events every day entertain people from all over the world. A variety of restaurants from all different kinds of cultures and countries, as colourful and diverse as the city itself, are on offer. Every district, every street corner offers something new and exciting.

One thing is certain: Berlin is always changing and it is this dynamism that gives Berlin its special appeal. For more information go to [www.visitBerlin.de](http://www.visitBerlin.de). DIA Berlin tours are a great way to see the city and to meet other conference participants. **Tours** can be booked by going to [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) and clicking on the EuroMeeting icon.



### INTERNATIONALES CONGRESS CENTRUM (ICC) BERLIN

ICC Berlin ranks among the biggest, most advanced and most successful congress venues in the world. It was designed by architects Ralf Schüller and Ursulina Schüller-Witte.

The ICC Berlin is a landmark of postwar German architecture and the model for many new congress centres all over the world. With its 80 halls and rooms seating between 20 and 9,100, its versatile facilities, superb technical installations and comprehensive range of services, ICC Berlin is the perfect choice for any convention.

# Special Hotel Rates for your EuroMeeting 2009 Accommodation in Berlin

Hotel Name	Single Room incl. Breakfast	Double Room for single use incl. Breakfast	Double Room incl. Breakfast
5-Star Hotels			
Concorde Berlin		200.00	220.00
Kempinski Bristol		190.00	215.00
Palace Berlin	195.00	195.00	215.00
Steigenberger Berlin		235.00	255.00
Intercontinental Berlin		210.00	230.00
		237.00	257.00
The Westin Grand		268.00	337.00
		298.00	367.00
4-Star Hotels			
California Hotel		135.00	150.00
Crowne Plaza Berlin City Centre		210.00	230.00
Ellington Hotel		135.00	162.00
Golden Tulip Hotel Hamburg		150.00	180.00
Hecker's Hotel	146.00	166.00	182.00
Hollywood Media Hotel	140.00	150.00	160.00
Maritim proArte		211.00	244.00
		227.00	260.00
Melia Berlin		197.00	219.00
NH Berlin Kurfürstendamm		197.00	215.00
NH Jolly Berlin Friedrichstrasse		208.00	227.00
Novotel Tiefgarten		199.00	232.00
Park Inn Berlin	136.00	136.00	163.00
Seehof		210.00	250.00
3-Star Hotels			
Art'hotel Kudamm		130.00	145.00
Berlin Plaza Hotel	89.00	109.00	126.00
Concorde am Studio		95.00	115.00
Ku'damm 101	87.00	105.00	105.00
Mark Apart Hotel		110.00	130.00
Mark Hotel Berlin		110.00	140.00
Sylter Hof	90.00	112.00	134.00
2-Star Hotels			
Ibis Messe		99.50	129.00
Headquarter Hotels			
Hilton Berlin (HQ Central)		228.00	272.00
Pullmann Schweizerhof (HQ West)		200.00	220.00

The DIA's accommodation agency in Berlin, KIT, has negotiated special rates exclusively for EuroMeeting 2009 participants. Download a hotel location map and book hotel rooms online by going to [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) and clicking on the EuroMeeting icon. All enquiries regarding room reservations should be directed to Kirstin Leifels at K.I.T. GmbH Berlin at +49 30 24 60 3226 or [dia2009-hotel@kit-group.org](mailto:dia2009-hotel@kit-group.org).

***Make the most of your stay in Berlin and meet other EuroMeeting participants by reserving a place on one of our city tours. There are four tours to choose from: two on Sunday and one each on Tuesday and Wednesday.***

Tours can be booked online by going to [www.diahome.org](http://www.diahome.org) and clicking on the EuroMeeting icon. Enquiries should be directed to Stephanie Kiewitz (K.I.T.) at [dia2009tours@kit-group.org](mailto:dia2009tours@kit-group.org).

## **1) Orientation Tour through Berlin's architecture**

**Sunday, March 22, 2009, 9:00-13:00**

**EUR 27 per person**



The reunified city of Berlin offers a kaleidoscope of all the most important trends in the recent history of architecture: from Neo-Classical to Post Modern, from Neo-Gothic to Bauhaus, from Socialist to the American 1950s, without forgetting the German Art Nouveau.

This tour will show you some of the best examples of all these sources of inspiration:

Alexanderplatz, Gendarmenmarkt, Unter den Linden, Karl-Marx Allee and of course what we commonly now call the "New Berlin" designed since the fall of the Wall by world-famous architects like IM Pei, Jean Nouvel, Daniel Libeskind, Frank O. Gehry and Renzo Piano.

## **2) Jewish Life in Berlin**

**Sunday, March 22, 2009, 13:00-17:30**

**EUR 36 per person including guided visit of the Jewish Museum**



The tour focuses on the Jewish past and present in Berlin. We will first go to the Jewish Museum housed in one of the most spectacular buildings erected in Berlin since the Second World War. The plan of Daniel Libeskind's building is interpreted as an exploded Star of David.

Participants will then continue the tour in the "Scheunenviertel" where the Jewish community lived before the war. There are few traces of the Jewish residents of this quarter except for a few reopened restaurants and, of course, the beautifully restored synagogue in the Oranienburgerstrasse.

Lastly, we will have a look at the huge memorial for the victims of the Holocaust situated close to the Brandenburg Gate.

# Berlin Tours

## 3) Berlin Panoramic Tour

Tuesday, March 24, 2009, 13:00-16:00

EUR 22 per person



The goal of this tour is to show visitors the sights of Berlin that are most closely connected to its history of change, including the following:

**Charlottenburger Schloss** - a jewel of baroque art and the favourite retreat of Queen Sophie Charlotte, wife of Frederick I

**Kurfürstendamm** - the longest shopping street in town, with its famous KaDeWe, the largest department store in Europe

**Philharmonie** - the famous concert hall designed by Hans Scharoun, where Herbert von Karajan was once conductor

**Potsdamer Platz** - the new and old heart of Berlin was the most crowded square of the Golden 1920s and has been brought back to life out of a no man's land

**Schloss Bellevue** - built as a summer residence for Prince August Ferdinand, it is now the official residence of the German Federal President

**Siegessäule** - built from 1865 to 1873 to commemorate the Prussian campaigns against France, Austria and Denmark

**Reichstag** - the seat of the Bundestag (parliament) since May 1999

**Bundeskanzleramt** - the new headquarters, as well as private residence, of the German Chancellor

**Brandenburger Tor** - the landmark of Berlin was built for King Frederick William II between 1788 to 1791 and has become, with the Quadriga on top, the strongest symbol of German unity

**Gendarmenmarkt** - the most beautiful square in Berlin and one of the most beautiful squares in Europe, is bordered by two churches - the Französischer Dom and the Deutscher Dom; the Schauspielhaus is the central building of the square

**Unter den Linden** - The most beautiful boulevard in the German capital

**Berliner Dom** - with the Hohenzollern tombs below - built at the end of the 15th century and completed in 1905, this church, in Italian Renaissance style, was destroyed during the Second World War and was beautifully restored afterwards

**Alexander Platz** - centre of the former East Berlin with the Fernsehturm and the Rote Rathaus, today the official office of the mayor of Berlin

**Checkpoint Charlie** - the former famous border crossing for diplomats, Allied military and foreigners; some parts of the Berlin Wall can still be seen

## 4) The Government Quarter

Wednesday, March 25, 2009, 09:00-13:00

EUR 27 per person



This tour focuses on the government buildings beginning at Pariser Platz. There we find the French, the English and the American embassies all surrounding the Brandenburg Gate. The next stop is the Reichstag, the home of the German parliament. Burned and bombed in World War II, it has been completely renovated with a new glass dome giving visitors an amazing view over Berlin. On to the Chancellery, which serves as both office and private residence of the German Chancellor. You will also see Schloss Bellevue, residence of the German President, as well as many embassies in the area.

# Berlin Underground Map

# Networking Opportunities

## There have never been more ways to network at the EuroMeeting!

Networking is an integral part of the EuroMeeting. Attendees tell us that the networking opportunities presented by the EuroMeeting are one of the key reasons for attending. Each year, the EuroMeeting offers numerous opportunities to catch up with existing contacts and to make new ones in a relaxing setting.

**ALL NETWORKING EVENTS AT THE EUROMEETING ARE INCLUDED IN THE REGISTRATION FEE.**

## Speed Networking Sessions – New at the EuroMeeting for 2009 Dates and times to be confirmed



All participants in the EuroMeeting try to use it as an opportunity to network. It is not easy to walk right up to someone, introduce yourself and have a conversation. The EuroMeeting Speed Networking sessions aim to make this process a lot easier.

Speed networking, which is based on the original concept of speed dating, brings together individuals who are attending a conference. It will help you to make new contacts and intensify your networking experiences. The goal is to ensure that each participant will make at least six new professional contacts during the speed networking sessions.

Please check the EuroMeeting website for updates and further information or contact Dermot Ryan in DIA European office at [dermot.ryan@diaeurope.org](mailto:dermot.ryan@diaeurope.org) or +41 61 225 51 32.

## SIAC Knowledge Cafés - New at the EuroMeeting for 2009 Tuesday, March 24 and Wednesday, March 25, 2009 – Times to be confirmed



The Drug Information Association's Special Interest Area Communities (SIACs) are just one of the many benefits that DIA offers. Each SIAC provides a discipline-specific, global community where members can share common experience and knowledge and connect with others in their particular field. SIACs provide a forum for volunteers to network and exchange information. Further information about SIACs can be found on DIA's website at: <http://www.diahome.org/DIAHOME/Membership/SIAC.aspx>

### What is a Knowledge Café?

Everybody gets a coffee and sits in groups of about 6 in a circle of chairs. The facilitator then introduces the café topic and poses one or two open-ended questions which the group discuss. At the end the participants reflect on the group discussions.

Some SIACs will be running Knowledge Cafés at the 21st Annual EuroMeeting, which provide an excellent opportunity to discuss topics of mutual interest while expanding your professional contacts at the same time. Watch the EuroMeeting website for updates or contact the DIA Volunteer Services Coordinator in Europe, Sarah Schuppener, on +41 61 225 51 62.

## Jitterbug Reception Monday, March 23, 2009, 18:00-20:00 at the Palast am Funkturm (beside the ICC)



Monday evening will see DIA rocking and rolling at a networking banquet reception at the Palais Am Funkturm. The Palais is one of the largest and most beautiful ballrooms in Berlin and is a premiere venue for social functions. Located just a short walk from the Convention Centre, it is the perfect choice for Monday's reception. In a well-preserved 1950s interior, from the gilded ceiling to the elegant staircase to the panoramic bay windows, the Palais Am Funkturm cannot fail to impress. The theme of the reception will be the 1950s, with music and dance to fit the theme. At 18:15 there will be a Jitterbug dance performance by three couples. The Palais am Funkturm is beside the famous Berlin Funkturm (Radio Tower), completed in 1926.

A special "Berlin" menu will be served

### Cold

Slices of beetroot with a sauce of green horseradish and young pees  
Marinated asparagus tips with Neuenhagen smoked ham  
Tiny meatballs on a salad of Teltow turnips and white beans  
Salad of Kenya Beans with cauliflower marinated in walnut oil and young thyme  
Fried Havel zander fillet on a sweetcorn and chicory salad  
Vegetarian Crêpes with Vegetables, sprouts and fresh Cheese with chive  
A selection of green salads with different dressings

### Soup

Vegetarian Potato soup

### Warm

Potato boulangère with brisket of beef and horseradish sauce  
Gratinated pumpkin with slices of green asparagus  
Poached Salmon on creamy spinach with horseradish and coconut  
Different kind of fresh vegetables gratinated with manchego cheese  
Selection of market cheeses with 10 different types of bread

### Dessert

"Berliner Luft" and Berliner Donuts  
Garden-fresh fruit salad with lemon balm  
Home-made red berry compote with vanilla sauce

# Networking Opportunities

## **The Tuesday Reception** **Tuesday, March 24, 2009, 17:30-18:30 on the Exhibition Floor**

A drinks reception will take place on Tuesday on the Exhibition Floor. Entertainment will be provided.

## **DIA Special Interest Area Communities (SIACs) – Meet and Eat** **Wednesday, March 25, 2009, 12:30-14:00**



An opportunity for all SIAC members – and those interested in joining one – to get together for a networking lunch. The DIA Volunteer Service Coordinator in Europe will be on hand to answer any questions.

## **Network on the Exhibition Floor**



In response to attendee feedback, the Exhibition Floor is open even longer for the EuroMeeting 2009 in Berlin. Attendees now have the opportunity to visit the Exhibition Floor before sessions start with the door opening at 08:00 on Tuesday and Wednesday. All refreshments will be served on the Exhibition Floor, making it the ideal place to meet the people you want to meet.

## **Special Targeted Networking Activities**

The DIA in Europe has developed a programme of activities to facilitate networking for patients representatives, students, emerging professionals, regulators and industry leaders.

### **Patients**

Sunday, March 22, 2009

DIA Reception for representatives of Patients' Organisations – Patients Only

Monday, March 23, 2009, 12:30-13:30

Regulators and Patients Reception – An opportunity for patients and regulators to come together. Regulators and patients only

### **Students/Young Professionals**

Monday, March 23, 2009, 12:30-13:30

Students/Young Professionals Networking Lunch at the ICC Convention Centre

### **Regulators from the EMEA and national regulatory authorities**

Monday, March 23, 2009, 12:30-13:30

Regulators and Patients Reception – An opportunity for patients and regulators to come together. Regulators and patients only

### **Industry Leader Reception**

Wednesday, March 25, 2009, 11:00-12:00

Industry Leader Reception – Invitation Only

If you require further information about any of the above receptions, please contact Dermot Ryan at [dermot.ryan@diaeurope.org](mailto:dermot.ryan@diaeurope.org) or call +41 61 225 5132

# DIA 21st Annual EuroMeeting 2009 at a Glance

## Monday, March 23, 2009

09:00-12:30						
12:30						
14:00-17:30						
17:30-20:00	Jitterbug Networking					
	Theme 1	Theme 2	Theme 3	Theme 4	Theme 5	
	Audits and Inspections – The Evolution of GCP into a Holistic Approach to Quality Management	Regulatory Challenges and Controversies – Balancing Early Access with Safety and Affordability Aspects	Clinical Research: Increased Complexity by Integration of Real Life Settings	Safety throughout the Product Lifecycle: Strategies to Better Protect Public Health	Knowledge Management – The Key to Inexpensive, Timely, Safe and Effective Drug Development and Approval	

## Tuesday, March 24, 2009

<b>Session 1</b> 09:00-10:30	International GCP Inspection Experiences	Safeguarding Public Health: Balancing Early Access with Safety and Affordability Aspects	Paediatric Trials - Better Medicines through Regulatory Efforts	Surveillance: Diseases and Pharmacovigilance – How Good are our Baseline Data?	The EU Landscape	Ne H I
<b>Session 2</b> 11:00-12:30	Audits and Inspections on Pharmacovigilance	Benefit – Risk	Patient Reported Outcomes	Safety Surveillance Plans: Strategies for Monitoring Safety during the Development Life Cycle	The "Electronic-only" Centralised Procedure - Now a Reality	Q M
<b>Session 3</b> 14:00-15:30	Audits and Inspections on e-CRFs and e-Source Data	Novel Pharmacometric Approaches	Infectious Diseases	Special Requirements for the Safety of Biologicals and Biosimilars	Towards "Electronic-only" Mutual Recognition, Decentralised and National Procedures	H I Su Co
<b>Session 4</b> 16:00-17:30	Delegation of Sponsor Functions to CROs and other Third Parties	How Can Regulators Engage in Novel Drug Development? Revisiting the Regulator – Industry Dialogue	First- in-Man: Clinical Trials Issues	Performance and Quality Control in Pharmacovigilance and Risk Management – Too Much or too Little? What is the Impact on Public Health?	Product Information Management (PIM)- Towards Full Implementation	R Te D
17:30-18:30	The Blechharmonike					
18:00-19:30						

## Wednesday, March 25, 2009

<b>Session 5</b> 09:00-10:30	Serious GCP Breaches Including Falsification and Fraud	Building HTA into the Process: Meeting the Needs of Licensing Authorities, Payers and Patients	Patient Recruitment	EU- Harmonised Pharmacovigilance Requirements versus Global Pharmacovigilance – Supplement or Contradiction?	Key Initiatives in Drug Development Optimisation	E ar
<b>Session 6</b> 11:00-12:30	Quality Risk Management and Risk Detection	Enabling Early Access	Novel Approaches in Clinical Research	Safety Decision-Making – How Much Science is Deployed? An Update on Methodology	Product Partnering: The Effective Management of Information Assets	S
<b>Session 7</b> 14:00-15:30	Audits and Inspections of Quality Aspects of Advanced Therapy Products	Communicating Benefit – Risk to the Public: 12 Years after the Erice Declaration, How much Progress Has Been Made	Multinational Trials in China, Africa and South America	Drug Safety Personnel – What Qualification and How much Education are Needed? Where do Regulators and Industry Find Talent?	Risk Management & Pharmacovigilance - Between a Regulatory Rock and the Litigation Hard Place	
<b>Session 8</b> 16:00-17:30	Audits and Inspections of Data Analysis	Regulatory Implications of Outsourcing Clinical Development to Emerging Markets	Strategy of Clinical Development	Post-Marketing Studies – Commitments and Reality	Knowledge Management & Innovation	M

Half-Day Tutorials
Exhibit Hall Opening
Plenary Session
Reception at the Palast am Funkturm with food, drink and entertainment

Theme 6	Theme 7	Theme 8	Theme 9	Theme 10	Theme 11	
Medical Device Drug Combination: The Medical Opportunities and Regulatory Challenges of the 21st Century	Project Management in a Changing Environment	Media, Society and Research	Future of Drug Development and Globalisation – Important Trends and Hot Topics	Impact of Technology and Standards on Drug Development: Now Better, Safer and Faster?	Optimising Drug Development through Sound Methodology	H T

ew and Updated Regulations: How Do They Effect Medical Device Drug Combinations?	Project Management Tools, Processes and Organisation	Is There Mistrust in Drug Research?	Therapeutic Vaccines – Promising New Cancer Treatment Options in Need of Appropriate Regulations	Integrating Different eClinical Data Streams: Needs and Benefits	Adaptive Designs - From Statistical Science to Regulatory Guidance	:
Quality Assurance Systems for Drug Device Combination Manufacturers: Experiences of QA Manager and Auditors	Key Success Factors for Portfolio and Project Management	Media Coverage of Clinical Research	Added Therapeutic Value- Are Common Criteria for Effectiveness Assessment Possible? What are the Discussions at the European Level?	Are the Benefits of eClinical Methods in Step with Regulations and Recent EMEA Guidance?	Adaptive Designs - A Discussion with Stakeholders	El
Expanding our Regulatory Horizon to Deliver Device and Drug Combination Products Successfully to Market: Where Could Drugs and Devices Meet?	Project Management Applied in Functional Areas – is PM the New Middle Management?	The Transparency Imperative	Incremental Research - Important Benefits, Neglected in European Law?	Technology & Standards... How about the Process?	Safety Planning in Drug Development - Real Improvement or yet Another Document?	V V
oundtable: The Spirit of One am Created from Two Worlds – the Key to Successful development of Devices/Drug Combination Products.	Role of Project Management in Partnerships	The 'Informed Patient' - A Controversial Goal	Advanced Therapies Implementation- Recent Developments and Open Questions	The Loose Ends in EDC and How to Address Them	Beyond Trial Design and Sample Size Estimation - The Utility of Clinical Scenario Assessments	Cl El S

Dr Reception: Drinks Reception with entertainment on the Exhibition Floor
German Satellite Session

Borderlines between Devices and Other Product Categories: Opportunity or Mystery?		Optimising The Dilemma of the Informed Consent Process Between Ethical Requirements and Organisational Burden	Implementation of ICH in non-ICH countries: Where Are We Now and What Will Happen in the Future?	A Look into the Future: What Is on the Horizon after We Have Managed EDC Properly?	Statistics for Biomarkers and 'Omics' - Anything New?	H Te
Medical Assessments and Safety Evaluations for Medical Devices, Drugs and their Combinations		Society, Patients and Advanced Therapy	Drug Counterfeiting in Europe: Trends and New Initiatives	Experience with CDISC Standards	Getting the Dose Right- Dose Finding and Exposure Responce	
Inspection Findings at Investigation Sites and the Sponsor of Drug Device Combination Trials		Communicating Benefit – Risk to the Public: 12 Years after the Erice Declaration, How much Progress Has Been Made? See Theme 2		CDISC End-to-End: To What Extent?	Addressing Key Safety Challenges in Clinical Drug Development: Signal Detection and Continuous Risk Assessment	M M
New Developments in Drugs and Devices : Therapeutic Shift or Synergy?		Can We Draw a New Social Contract in Drug Development?		CDISC as an Avenue to Process Improvement	'Missing Data' for Your 'Quantitative Benefit-Risk' Assessment Supporting 'Conditional Marketing Authorisation'? Where Next for Regulatory Guidance?	A

Theme 12	Theme 13	Theme 14	Theme 15	Theme 16	
Health Economics & Health Technology Assessments – Supporting Sustainable, Socially Acceptable and Equitable Access to Innovation	Pre-Clinical/Clinical Interface: How to Improve Success in Developing Innovative Medicines	Personalised Medicine in Drug Development: Adding Value with New Tools - Biomarkers, Health Economics and Diagnostics	Regulatory Developments and Availability of Biosimilar, Generic and Self-Care Medicines	Preparing for the Future – Challenges in Pharmaceutical Quality	Hot Topics and Stand Alone Sessions
Demonstrating Cost-Effectiveness in Challenging Situations and when Data is Limited	Use of Imaging in Non-Clinical Toxicity Testing: What Is its Value? What about Regulatory Acceptance?	Personalised Medicine: Beyond the Buzzword	Ways to Improve the Current Regulatory System for Assessment of Medicinal Products with Known Active Substances	Implementation of the New ICH Quality Paradigm	Update on Regulatory Pharmacovigilance
Market Access in Europe: How Does Innovation Get to the Patients?	Non-Clinical Evaluation of Hepatotoxicity: Where do We Stand? How Sceptical is the Pharmaceutical Industry?	From Populations to Individuals: Industry Tools and Assessment of Benefit/Risk Balance	Introduction of Biosimilar Medicines into Clinical Practice	Innovative Technologies in Drug Development and Manufacture	Hot Topic in Telematics
What Does the User Consider Value? Including the Patients' Voice in Assessments	Non-Clinical Support for First-in-Man Studies	Personalised Medicine: A Challenge for Drug Development?	Known Active Substances and Bibliographic Applications - Current Problems, Possibilities and Solutions	Pharmacopoeias – Do They Have a Place in a “Quality-by-Design” Environment?	Harnessing Industry and Authority Experience: Working Together to Achieve Effective Guidelines
Clinical Trials - the Start not the End. Building Integrated Data Sets in a European Context to Meet Needs	Update on Anti-Cancer Drug Guideline ICH9	Regulatory Guidelines Compared to Medical Practice Guidelines: Their Places in Personalised Medicine	A Global Programme for the Next Generation in Biosimilars	The Paediatric Regulation – An Easy Way to Age - Appropriate Formulations?	Translating siRNA to Medicines
Health Economics and Health Technology Assessment in 2009 – a More Diverse or More Uniform Landscape?	Update on ICH S6 Non-Clinical Safety Testing of Biotechnology-Derived Products: Part 1	Major Players in Personalised Medicine: Expectations and Specific Needs in Outgoing Education	“Smart Regulation” for Non-Prescription Medicines	Clinical Trials with Biological – IMPD Requirements	Update on Advanced Therapies
Building Health Technology Assessments into Product Development for Success	Update on ICH S6 Non-Clinical Safety Testing of Biotechnology-Derived Products: Part 2		Patents and the EU Regulatory Systems	Revision of the Variations Regulations: An Update	Japanese Regulatory Session: PMDA Update ? Initiatives and Challenges for Promoting Global Drug Development including Japan
Medicines Are about More than Money. Building Social Values into Health Economics	New Concepts in the Assessment of Genotoxicity		News on Rx to OTC Switch	Sourcing of API in a Globalised Environment	EU Agreement: Administrative Regulatory Simplification – The Next Phase?
Access to Innovation: A Role for New Approaches?	Update on the Use of Juvenile Animal Studies for Paediatric Drug Development		Improved Market Access to Herbal Medicinal Products	What's New in the Quality Arena?	Summary of the Roundtable/Workshop on GCP Inspection and Audit Findings